97% Unique

Total 52618 chars, 7500 words, 309 unique sentence(s).

Results	Query	Domains (original links)
Unique	Water Sensitive Urban Design (WSUD) is an integrated management of stormwater	-
Unique	The paper also explains the application of structural and non-structural WSUD measures	-
Unique	An integrated approach to stormwater management is the key to Water Sensitive Urban Design	-
Unique	WSUD techniques have been implemented all over Australia	-
Unique	Some guidelines and procedures for WSUD have been provided by Local or State Governments	-
Unique	“Water Sensitive Urban Design Technical Guidelines for Western Sydney” (UPRCT 2004)	-
1 results	“WSUD Engineering Procedures: Stormwater” (Melbourne Water 2005)	scribd.com
Unique	However, other research studies such as by Foley and Daniell (n	-
Unique	It is well known that various WSUD measures have been widely used in Australia	-
Unique	3) Protection of the quality of water draining from urban catchments	-
Unique	5) Minimisation of the drainage infrastructure development cost	-
Unique	Figure 1: Incorporation of BPPs and BMPs in WSUD (Whelans et al	-
Unique	- Self funding mechanisms of stormwater facilities	-
Unique	- Integrating stormwater management with other aspects of the water cycle	-
Unique	- Building capacity of government staff, consultants, developers and community	-
Unique	- Management of wash-water from boats and mobile industries	-
Unique	- Technical focused stormwater education on WSUD involving new estates	-
Unique	Different WSUD measures for managing stormwater quality will provide different levels of treatment	-
Unique	Mouritz (2006) divides WSUD treatment measures into three different levels,	-
22 results	primary, secondary and tertiary treatment	iessouthwest.com college.lattc.edu bgohio.org prosep.com dunnheat.com college.lattc.edu

		exterran.com ncc-kw.com ongov.net lacsd.org
Unique	(1997) have defined that gross pollutants are the debris items larger than 5 mm	-
Unique	A number of different types of gross pollutant traps are available	-
Unique	Each of them has different specification and may have different target pollutants	-
Unique	Followings are some gross pollutant traps gathered from some references (Victorian Stormwater Committee 1999	-
Unique	2007): ✓ Grated entrance screens	-
Unique	baskets that sit below the entry point of the inlet pipe	-
Unique	Debris larger than basket pore size is retained	-
Unique	Trash racks are installed in stormwater drainage pipes to intercept floating and submerged materials	-
Unique	sediment trap with trash racks constructed of vertical bars	-
Unique	The check dams reduce flow velocities and protect the vegetation from erosion	-
Unique	They are often provided incorporating vegetated swales	-
Unique	A study in Veneto Region (north-east Italy) undertaken by Vianello et al	-
Unique	(2005) showed that vegetative filter strips can also reduce the concentration of herbicides	-
Unique	(1999) and Fiener & Auerswald (2005)	-
Unique	The processes are far more complex and remain little understood	-
Unique	Therefore, appropriate studies should be addressed to provide better understanding of these processes	-
Unique	Retention basins can also allow infiltration of stormwater during the detention period	-
Unique	Therefore, the main objective of retention basins relates to stormwater quantity control	-
Unique	However, during very dry weather, the pool could be totally dry	-
Unique	Sediments that are deposited in the basin bed are also protected from re-suspension	-
Unique	As can be seen from Figure 6, constructed wetlands contain four vegetation zones,	-
Unique	Figure 5: Typical constructed wetland system Source: Virginia DEQ Stormwater Design Specification No	-
Unique	13 Runoff flows entering the macrophyte zone are controlled in the inlet zone	-
Unique	Wetland plants also promote the growth of biofilms, which assimilate dissolved nutrients	-
Unique	Phosphorus removal in a wetland takes place through sedimentation, filtration, biological uptake and sorption	-
Unique	(2000), and Reinelt and Horner (1995)	-
13 results	The model involves two parameters,	www2.math.umd.edu link.springer.com sciencedirect.com sciencedirect.com link.springer.com researchgate.net techno-press.org adsabs.harvard.edu researchgate.net

Unique	Stormwater pre- treatment measures can also help to avoid clogging of the infiltration system	-
Unique	Infiltration systems typically have two main functions	-
Unique	to detain stormwater temporarily and to promote infiltration of stormwater into the soil	-
Unique	When the storage is full, the exceeded runoff is bypassed through the overflow system	-
Unique	Infiltration of stormwater from soakwell is calculated using two approaches	-
Unique	First approach assumes that the infiltration occurs and follows the unsaturated flow model	-
Unique	The second approach assumes that the flows from the soakwell are below saturated conditions	-
Unique	Infiltration trenches usually have an overflow pipe for large storm events	-
Unique	Infiltration trenches have a similar function with soakwells to detain and infiltrate stormwater	-
Unique	The theory and models used for soakwells are applicable for infiltration trenches	-
Unique	There are two broad groups of porous pavements	-
Unique	(2002) as cited in Martens et al	-
Unique	REFERENCES Allison, R., Chiew,	-
Unique	Browne, D., Deletic, A., Mudd,	-
Unique	D., 2008, 'A new saturated/unsaturated model for stormwater infiltration systems', Hydrological Processes, Vol	-
9 results	Environmental Protection Agency	epa.gov epa.gov airnow.gov dnrm.qld.gov.au epa.vic.gov.au
9 results	Environmental Protection Agency	epa.gov epa.gov airnow.gov dnrm.qld.gov.au epa.vic.gov.au
Unique	wsud.org/downloads/Info%20Exchange%20&%20Lit/Coombes%20and%20Kuczera%20Tank%20Paddock.pdf (accessed 14 November 2008)	-
3 results	'Stormwater Management Manual for Western Australia'	water.wa.gov.au soakwells.com scribd.com
Unique	: Department of Water and Department of Environment Government of Western Australia	-
Unique	gov/owm/mtb/vegswale.pdf (accessed 12 November 2008)	-
Unique	wsud.org/downloads/Info%20Exchange%20&%20Lit/WSUD_04_Conf_Papers/WS040001	-
Unique	PDF (accessed 12 November 2008)	-
Unique	Jurnal Sipil Statik Vol.6 No.1 Januari 2018 (21-34) ISSN: 2337-6732 32 Knight,	-
Unique	H., 2000, 'Constructed wetlands for livestock wastewater management', Ecological Engineering, Vol	-
Unique	Martens, S., Perrigo, R., Torre, A., Chalmers, L., Monk, E., MacKay,	-
Unique	'Stormwater Management Manual for Western Australia: Structural Controls', edited by Torre,	-
4 results	: Department of Water, Government of Western Australia	en.wikipedia.org water.wa.gov.au aquaticinvasions.net scribd.com
Unique	au/userfiles/file/pdf/Draft-WSUD%20Guideliens%20BMT-WBM- Nov07.pdf (accessed 12-11-2008)	-

Unique	: Engineers Media for Australian Runoff Quality Authorship Team], pp	-
2 results	R., 1995, 'Site Planning for Urban Stream Protection', Environmental Land Planning Series, Washington	slideshare.net documents.tips
Unique	'Water Sensitive Urban Design Technical Design Guidelines for South East Queensland'	-
Unique	Brisbane: South East Queensland Healthy Waterways Partnership and Brisbane City Council	-
Unique	healthywaterways.org/filelibrary/seq_wsud_dos_nov_07_final_v2_001.pdf (accessed 18-11-2008)	-
Unique	'Stormwater Management Manual for Western Australia: Non-structural controls', edited by Monk, E., Torre,	-
Unique	: Department of Environment, Government of Western Australia	-
Unique	Taylor, A., Curnow, R., Fletcher,	-
13 results	', Journal of Environmental Management, Vol	tandfonline.com federationpress.com.au people.unisa.edu.au article.sciencepublishinggroup.com safecosmetics.org en.wikipedia.org idea.chlorofil.fr pt.wikipedia.org hindawi.com civil-hu.jp
Unique	Jurnal Sipil Statik Vol.6 No.1 Januari 2018 (21-34) ISSN: 2337-6732 33 Taylor,	-
Unique	P., 2005, 'Nitrogen composition in urban runoff-implications for stormwater management', Water Research, Vol	-
Unique	wsud.org/tech.htm (accessed 15-11-2008)	-
Unique	Vianello, M., Vischetti, C., Scarponi,	-
Unique	: Engineers Media for Australian Runoff Quality Authorship Team, Institution of Engineers Australia	-
Unique	URBAN DESIGN (WSUD) CONCEPT Isri Ronald Mangangka Fakultas Teknik, Jurusan Teknik Sipil Universitas Sam Ratulangi	-
Unique	id Abstract Water Sensitive Urban Design (WSUD) is a multidisciplinary approach to the integration of	-
Unique	It is an internationally recognised concept that offers an alternative to traditional development practices	-
Unique	to minimize negative impacts on the natural water cycle and protect the health of aquatic	-
Unique	The WSUD objectives can be achieved by implementing the integration of various Best Planning	-
Unique	capability of stormwater management, while BMPs involve managing stormwater quantity and quality with the application	-
Unique	enforcement, while structural BMPs are stormwater treatment measures which are used to achieved the multiple	-
Unique	This literature review paper presents the philosophy of Water Sensitive Urban Design (WSUD), its	-
Unique	is a philosophical approach to urban planning and design that aims to minimise the hydrological	-
Unique	WSUD has been promoted and developed on the premise of integrating development with the	-

Unique	The principles of WSUD are now recognised and adopted internationally to reduce impacts of	-
Unique	WSUD approach primarily focuses on stormwater quantity and quality management, and the main objective	-
Unique	minimising the extent of impervious surfaces, mitigating the changes to the natural water balance and	-
Unique	all aspects of stormwater runoff within a development area, including environmental, social and cultural issues	-
Unique	New Brompton Estate and Salisbury City Council ASR scheme in South Australia (McAlister & BMT	-
Unique	For example: "Water Sensitive Urban Design Technical Design Guidelines for South East Queensland" (SEQHWP	-
Unique	and "Stormwater Management Manual for Jurnal Sipil Statik Vol.6 No.1 Januari 2018 (21-34) ISSN:	-
Unique	to be used by both developers and assessors in formulating and evaluating WSUD strategies (McAlister	-
Unique	The integrated approach of WSUD has become more popular since it has the potential	-
Unique	it is seem to have high operation and maintenance cost, and in some cases it	-
Unique	WSUD Concept Recently, some research studies have focused in evaluating the performance of WSUD applicable	-
Unique	Coombes and Kuczera (2000) have studied the WSUD development site, "Tank Paddock" to compare	-
Unique	volume, reduce the construction cost up to 53% and create other indirect benefit such as	-
Unique	(2000) it is not clearly possible to scientifically relate the output of WSUD devices	-
Unique	There is no real doubt about their ability to reduce stormwater quantity and peak	-
Unique	The real doubts are with regards to water quality since there is no scientific	-
Unique	Also, there is no real scientific understanding of the pollutant removal processes in the	-
Unique	planning and design of the urban environment that is 'sensitive' to the issues of environmental	-
Unique	protection and enhancement of natural water systems such as creeks, rivers and wetlands within urban	-
Unique	provide a variety of benefits including water quality treatment, wildlife habitat, public open space and	-
Unique	4) Reduction of runoff volume and peak flows from urban development by using on-site	-
Unique	The achievement of WSUD objectives above can be gained by implementing the integration of	-
Unique	The incorporation of Best Planning Practices and Best Management Practices in Water Sensitive Urban	-
Unique	perform the prevention, conveyance, treatment, collection, storage and Jurnal Sipil Statik Vol.6 No.1 Januari 2018	-
Unique	Non-structural WSUD measures complement the performance of structural WSUD measures which are installed or	-
Unique	Non-structural WSUD Measures Non-structural stormwater WSUD measures are institutional	-

	and pollution-prevention practices designed to	
Unique	They typically do not involve fixed or permanent facilities, and usually work by changing	-
Unique	Research studies undertaken in countries such as Australia, New Zealand, the United States and	-
Unique	2007) have found a trend of increasing use of non- structural stormwater measures including	-
Unique	They also found that the combination of non-structural and structural stormwater measures proved to	-
Unique	five core groups (Taylor & Wong 2002) and explained further in Stormwater Management Manual for	-
Unique	Town planning controls: - Stormwater planning controls that promote WSUD and BMPs on construction	-
Unique	- Site-based non-structural WSUD measures for new residential developments, applied to public open space,	-
Unique	- Site-based non-structural WSUD measures for new commercial/industrial areas, applied to green parking design	-
Unique	Strategic planning and institutional controls: - Stormwater management plans for stormwater quality improvement and	-
Unique	commercial site practices, loading and unloading areas maintenance, swimming pools discharges management, storage of dangerous	-
Unique	- Waste management practices including domestic waste and recycling collection, litter collections, bin design	-
Unique	media campaigns, signs provision, community programs, displays, community water quality programs, launches, local action committees	-
Unique	- Training - Community participation - Regional stormwater awareness programs - Education and participation	-
Unique	Regulatory controls: - Law enforcement in relation to diffuse sources of stormwater pollution	-
Unique	Structural WSUD Measures WSUD structural measures are stormwater treatment measures which collect, convey, and	-
Unique	2337-6732 24 contaminants and protecting and enhancing the environmental, social and economic values of receiving	-
Unique	Selection of appropriate treatment measures depends on site conditions, target pollutants and hydrological geometry	-
Unique	according to the range of particle size grading including dissolved pollutants which are assumed to	-
Unique	Inter-relationships between stormwater pollutants physical sizes, suitable treatment measures and appropriate hydraulic loading are	-
Unique	As can be seen from Figure 2, treatment measures which target coarse solids such	-
Unique	biological adsorption and transformation of the pollutants, and these occur under low hydraulic loading which	-
Unique	applying WSUD measures to a specific catchment, it is more effective to combine two or	-
Unique	A series of treatment measures for stormwater pollutant removal is analogous to the carriages	-
Unique	A treatment train provides a guarantee of a better performance and overcomes factors which	-

Unique	Primary treatment measures that target litter, gross pollutants and coarse sediment include gross pollutant	-
Unique	Secondary stormwater treatment measures that aim to remove sediments, heavy metals partially and bacteria	-
Unique	Tertiary treatment measures that aim to remove fine sediments, nutrients, bacteria and heavy metals	-
Unique	COMMON WSUD STRUCTURAL MEASURES Some common WSUD structural measures are selected to be discussed	-
Unique	They are gross pollutant traps, vegetated swales incorporating buffer strips and bioretention, detention/retention basins,	-
Unique	Debris and Gross Poluttan Trap Gross pollutants are large pieces of urban debris which	-
Unique	These pollutants, which typically include urban-derived litter and vegetation debris, can look unpleasant, have	-
Unique	Gross pollutants are generally the most noticeable water pollution indicator to the community, due	-
Unique	gross pollutants to stormwater, with significant amount of litter items, comparably about one item per	-
Unique	The study also found that the gross pollutants mobilisation rate is highly correlated with	-
Unique	To reduce gross pollutants in urban waterways, both structural measures (gross pollutant traps) and	-
Unique	Non-structural measures include changing the attitudes of the community, public awareness, litter bin provision,	-
Unique	Gross pollutant traps are stormwater pre- treatment measures that are very important to be	-
Unique	They protect Jurnal Sipil Statik Vol.6 No.1 Januari 2018 (21-34) ISSN: 2337-6732 25 downstream	-
Unique	consist of metal screens that cover the inlet of the drainage network to prevent	-
Unique	baskets placed below the invert of road gutters, inside the drainage pit and used	-
Unique	consist of either vertical or horizontal steel bars, typically spaced 40 to 100 mm	-
Unique	They consist of a large concrete lined wet basin upstream of a weir, used	-
Unique	made by stringing partly submerged floating booms across very slow moving waterways, used to	-
Unique	stormwater pits modified with a series of baffles, used to trap floating debris and	-
Unique	cylindrical tanks that are divided into an upper diversion chamber and a lower retention	-
Unique	exits the chamber through an outlet riser pipe, sediments are collected in the base of	-
Unique	/ Bioretention Swales 1) Vegetated Swales A vegetated swale is a broad, commonly parabolic or	-
Unique	Vegetated swales are used in road medians, verges, carpark areas, and park and recreation	-
Unique	They are often used as an alternative to kerb and gutter with low flow	-
Unique	discharge (Fiener & Auerswald 2005), as well as stormwater quality improvement device by promoting pollutant	-
Unique	EPA 1999) Vegetated swales support the achievement of WSUD objectives by disconnecting	-

	impervious areas	
Unique	The swales provide an important pre-treatment function for tertiary treatment systems such as wetlands	-
Unique	slopes of between 1% and 4% in which they can generally operate best to convey	-
Unique	Subsoil drains need to be installed beneath the swales if longitudinal slopes are less	-
Unique	On the contrary, for slopes steeper than 4%, check dams should be constructed across	-
Unique	(or buffer strips) are open vegetated areas where runoff flows over while travelling to	-
Unique	Runoff flowing across the filter strips should be distributed as Jurnal Sipil Statik Vol.6	-
Unique	roads or carparks, or otherwise require flow spreaders across the width of the strips to	-
Unique	Filter strips are typically provided as a pre- treatment for other WSUD measures such	-
Unique	Filter strips not only reduce sediment loads but also reduce runoff volume and discharge	-
Unique	of 83% for sediment, 75% for hydrocarbons, 67% for lead (Pb), 63% for zinc (Zn)	-
Unique	zinc (Zn), but ineffective for removing nutrients with removal efficiencies of only 9% for phosphorus	-
Unique	Conversely, Deletic and Fletcher (2006) in their observations in Brisbane found more significant removal	-
Unique	They confirmed that the swales investigated in Brisbane removed 46% of total phosphorus (TP)	-
Unique	They also found lower removal efficiency of TSS with only 69% as compared to	-
Unique	processes which occur in filter strips and vegetated swales are relatively complex, and involve physical	-
Unique	Pollutant removal through physical processes is achieved by settling, filtration and infiltration of the	-
Unique	Biochemical processes occur in relation to certain pollutants, such as hydrocarbons which are digested	-
Unique	Therefore, in order to optimise pollutant removal, adequate contact time between stormwater runoff and	-
Unique	depends on the infiltration rate, because removal occurs when pollutants infiltrate into the soil where	-
Unique	length, slope, soil permeability and vegetation height and density, area of catchment, particle sizes, pollutant	-
Unique	3) Bioretention Swales Bioretention swales consist of excavated trenches which are filled up with	-
Unique	The bioretention component is typically located at the downstream end of a swale system	-
Unique	Figure 4: Cross section of typical bioretention swale (SEQHWP 2006) Stormwater quality treatment processes	-
Unique	whilst the bioretention system removes finer particulates including associated contaminants and suspended solids through Jurnal	-
Unique	solids (TSS), 50% for total nitrogen (TN), 60% for total phosphorus (TP) and 80% for	-
Unique	Sediment Transport Model The particles transported through the grass/vegetation swale system are usually very	-

Unique	Therefore, it can be assumed that they are transported as fine suspended solids, because	-
Unique	It is understood by researchers that there is a positive correlation between pollutant removal	-
Unique	The relationship indicates that that there is an exponential decrease of such pollutants along	-
Unique	Physical pollutant removal processes within the grass strips and swale systems have been observed	-
Unique	sediment factor s, which is a function of the particle fall number N f,s and	-
Unique	removal processes in the grass strips and swale systems, limited information is available to explain	-
Unique	stormwater facilities that provide storage for stormwater runoff to be retained during storm events and	-
Unique	Some retentions basins have a permanent pool in order to also function as	-
Unique	In order to maintain sufficient volume of water in the permanent pool, a reliable	-
Unique	peak discharge through retention and reducing stormwater quantity through infiltration, and only little attention was	-
Unique	However, the growing public awareness on environmental issues has led to the application for	-
Unique	Retention basins provide downstream flood control and channel erosion control by temporarily storing stormwater	-
Unique	Retention basins can also provide aesthetic and recreation benefits as well as water supply	-
Unique	settling of Jurnal Sipil Statik Vol.6 No.1 Januari 2018 (21-34) ISSN: 2337-6732 28 fine suspended	-
Unique	A better result in improving stormwater quality will be achieved where retention basins are	-
Unique	According to Schueler (1992), monitoring studies have shown that retention basins have sediment removal	-
Unique	The pollutants removal efficiencies of a retention basin have also been monitored by Birch	-
Unique	50%, whereas the concentration of Cu, Pb and Zn were also reduced by an average	-
Unique	Constructed Wetlands Constructed wetlands are manmade shallow, extensively vegetated water bodies that are designed	-
Unique	Constructed wetlands are intentionally created on non-wetland sites to improve landscape amenity and temporary	-
Unique	During rainfall events, water levels in wetlands rise, and then slowly released through configured	-
Unique	Stormwater is retained in the wetland system typically for up to two or three	-
Unique	A constructed wetland generally consists of an inlet zone, a macrophyte zone as the	-
Unique	In the inlet zone, it is a constructed a sedimentation pond with a relatively	-
Unique	The pond is generally located upstream of the wetland, and it commonly incorporates primary	-
Unique	Low flow of stormwater in the pond allows fine sediments to settle in the	-
Unique	Macrophyte zone is the main zone of the wetland system, comprising of a shallow	-
Unique	There are some specific zones of vegetation throughout the wetland, where each zone is	-

Unique	zone of shallow marsh vegetation, marsh vegetation, deep marsh vegetation and submerged vegetation (Victorian	-
Unique	Open water located near the outlet of the wetland promotes ultra violet exposure, which	-
Unique	When the flows exceed the design flow, ‘above design flows’ are by-passed around the	-
Unique	Thereby, this protects the vegetation in the macrophyte zone against scour during high flows	-
Unique	stormwater contains high concentrations of soluble material which is difficult to remove by other stormwater	-
Unique	High removal rates of particulates and soluble pollutants including nutrients can be achieved by	-
Unique	of nutrients and other pollutants through roots, stems and leaves, and by using nutrients when	-
Unique	Changing deep and shallow zones in wetlands, perpendicular to the stormwater flow, can transform	-
Unique	The shallow zones are Jurnal Sipil Statik Vol.6 No.1 Januari 2018 (21-34) ISSN: 2337-6732	-
Unique	Mineralisation is the breakdown of organic nitrogen to ammonium while nitrification is the breakdown	-
Unique	While the water flows to the deeper zones, denitrification occurs, converting nitrate to gaseous	-
Unique	(2008) reported that nutrient removal performance of Putrajaya Wetlands in Malaysia was 82.11% for	-
Unique	Other studies which have also reported on nutrient removal by constructed wetlands including those	-
Unique	TSS, up to 80% for TN, up to 85% for TP, up to 95% for	-
Unique	Heavy metals can be removed from the water column through sedimentation, adsorption and plant	-
Unique	been reported by Walker and Hurl (2002) whilst the removal of other metals including Ca,	-
Unique	Other researchers have also reported that constructed wetlands can significantly reduce organic pollutants such	-
Unique	Pathogens can be destroyed by exposure to ultra violet light in open water and	-
Unique	Reinelt and Horner (1995) have reported that urban wetlands in Washington, USA reduced fecal	-
Unique	by researchers such as Wong and Geiger (1997), Wood and Shelley (1999), and Werner and	-
Unique	in the removal of stormwater pollutants is a first order kinetic model (Wong & Geiger	-
Unique	The model uses a first order decay function, which is simplified from a large	-
Unique	When stormwater carrying pollutants moves through the wetland system, the quality of water is	-
Unique	However, the overall effect is that contaminant concentration in the water tends to move	-
Unique	model given above is also adopted by Cooperative Research Centre (CRC) for Catchment Hydrology, and	-
Unique	However, the model seems to be very simplistic because a lot of parameters have	-
Unique	Furthermore, each calibrated parameter can only be used for the specific device where the	-

Unique	without a lot of calibration data required, but should be based on the catchment and	-
Unique	Infiltration Systems Infiltration systems capture stormwater runoff and promote infiltration into surrounding soils where	-
Unique	The primary focus of infiltration systems is on stormwater quantity for reducing stormwater runoff	-
Unique	However, this raises the implication on stormwater quality improvement through filtration of stormwater runoff	-
Unique	ISSN: 2337-6732 30 directly disposed into the soil ground, and finally the disposed water reaches	-
Unique	Therefore, to protect groundwater quality, an appropriate pre-treatment of stormwater entering infiltration systems is	-
Unique	Hence, they require sufficient detention storages and infiltration areas comprising high permeable materials such	-
Unique	The detention storage can be located above or below the ground, and is designed	-
Unique	The infiltration area is the interface area between the detention storage and the on	-
Unique	There are a number of infiltration systems which are widely used for urban stormwater	-
Unique	Among them, leaky wells/ soakwells, infiltration trenches and porous/modular pavements are selected to be	-
Unique	1) Leaky Wells/ Soakwells Leaky wells or soakwells are the traditional stormwater source control	-
Unique	A Soakwell commonly consists of a concrete or PVC cylinder located vertically above	-
Unique	with geotextile, promote the stormwater runoff stored in the soakwell to infiltrate into the surrounding	-
Unique	The model calculates the emptying time base on the infiltration capacity of the soil	-
Unique	This model uses the theory of flow through porous media, therefore Darcy’s Law is	-
Unique	2) Infiltration Trenches An infiltration trench is a shallow, typically 0.5 – 1.5	-
Unique	The trench is lined with geotextile fabric to prevent soil migration into the filled	-
Unique	Infiltration trenches promote pollutant removal by retaining particulates and dissolved pollutants in the trench	-
Unique	on the top of a highly porous aggregate or gravel base layer with a geotextile	-
Unique	Porous pavements are suitable for areas with light traffic loads such as driveways and	-
Unique	the open-graded asphalt/concrete pavements with large porosities and the modular pavement with large gaps	-
Unique	through the gaps between modules into the filled aggregate layer, which provides temporary storage as	-
Unique	Pervious pavements can remove sediments, nutrients, heavy metals and hydrocarbons from polluted stormwater via	-
Unique	Field studies have also shown that porous pavements are very effective at retaining dissolved	-
Unique	design that aims to minimise the hydrological impacts of urban development on the surrounding environment	-

Unique	traps, detention and retention basins, filter strips, vegetated swales and bioretention swales, constructed wetlands, and	-
Unique	WSUD devices protect downstream aquatic habitats, treat runoff by removing contaminants, and protect and	-
Unique	However, the pollutant removal processes in the various WSUD treatment devices are very complex	-
Unique	Through detailed investigation of selected systems, it is expected to develop better understanding of	-
Unique	and McMahon, T., 1997, 'Stormwater Gross Pollutants', Industry Report, Clayton, Victoria, CRC for Catchment	-
Unique	P., 2004a, 'Stormwater Best Management Practice Design Guide, Volume 2: Vegetative Biofilters', Cincinnati, OH:	-
Unique	P., 2004b, 'Stormwater Best Management Practice Design Guide, Volume 3: Basin Best Management Practices',	-
Unique	and Kuczera, G., 2000, 'Tank Paddock: A comparison between traditional and Water Sensitive Urban	-
Unique	CRCCH, 2005, 'MUSIC version 3.0.1 User Guide', Melbourne, VIC: Cooperative Research Centre (CRC) for	-
Unique	DCR, 1999, 'Virginia Stormwater Management Handbook', First Editon, Volume I, Richmond Virginia: Department of	-
18 results	Deletic, A., 2001, 'Modelling of water and sediment transport over grassed areas', Journal of	researchgate.net sciencedirect.com tandfonline.com deepdyve.com inderscienceonline.com repositorium.sdum.uminho.pt link.springer.com slideshare.net adsabs.harvard.edu academia.edu
Unique	Deletic, A., 2005, 'Sediment transport in urban runoff over grassed areas', Journal of Hydrology,	-
Unique	D., 2006, 'Performance of grass filters used for stormwater treatment--a field and modelling study',	-
Unique	EPA, 1999, 'Storm Water Technology Fact Sheet: Vegetated Swales', United States Environmental Protection Agency,	-
Unique	and Auerswald, K., 2005, 'Measurement and modeling of concentrated runoff in grassed waterways', Journal	-
Unique	Melbourne, Victoria: NSW Environmental Protection Authority and Institute for Sustainable Water Resources, Department of Civil	-
Unique	d., 'The role of WSUD in improving the sustainability of urban developments', School of Civil	-
Unique	and Hardy, M., 2005, 'Beyond demonstration mode: the application of WSUD in Australia', Australian	-
Unique	F., 2004, 'Nutrient, metal, and pesticide removal during storm and nonstorm events by	-
Unique	J., 2002, 'Water Sensitive Uban Design - A Stormwater Management Perspective', Industry Report 02/10,	-
Unique	and BMT WBM, 2007, 'National Guidelines for Evaluating Water Sensitive Urban Design (WSUD)', New	-
Unique	and McAlister, T., 2006, 'Water sensitive urban design', In Australian runoff quality: a guide	-
14	W., 1999, 'Modeling hydrology and sediment transport in vegetative filter strips', Journal of	sewrpc.org iopscience.iop.org

results	Hydrology,	link.springer.com jswconline.org koreascience.or.kr koreascience.or.kr aapq.org lib.ncsu.edu naturalcapitalproject.org springerprofessional.de
Unique	R., 1995, 'Pollutant removal from stormwater runoff by palustrine wetlands based on comprehensive budgets',	-
Unique	C., Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments and the Center for Watershed Protection, United States of	-
Unique	SEQHWP, 2007, 'Water Sensitive Urban Design: Developing design objectives for urban development in South	-
Unique	T., 2004, 'Feasibility of constructed wetlands for removing chlorothalonil and chlorpyrifos from aqueous mixtures',	-
9 results	and Klein, M., 1998, 'Best management practices for stormwater-runoff with alternative methods in	link.springer.com academia.edu mafiadoc.com ascelibrary.org academia.edu tandfonline.com
10 results	and Mansor, M., 2008, 'Nutrient removal in a pilot and full scale constructed wetland,	iiste.org academia.edu tandfonline.com scribd.com tandfonline.com researchgate.net
Unique	and Lewis, J., 2007, 'Education campaigns to reduce stormwater pollution in commercial areas: Do	-
Unique	and Wong, T., 2002, 'Non-structural Stormwater Quality Best Management Practices - An Overview of	-
Unique	A., 1999, 'Lead and petroleum hydrocarbon changes in an urban wetland receiving stormwater runoff',	-
Unique	UPRCT, 2004, 'Water Sensitive Urban Design Technical Guidelines for Western Sydney', Upper Parramatta River	-
Unique	and Zanin, G., 2005, 'Herbicide losses in runoff events from a field with	-
Unique	Victorian Stormwater Committee, 1999, 'Urban stormwater: Best practice environmental management guidelines', Collingwood VIC: CSIRO	-
3 results	and Hurl, S., 2002, 'The reduction of heavy metals in a stormwater wetland', Ecological	tandfonline.com tandfonline.com
Unique	and Lloyd, S., 2000, 'Water sensitive road design - Design options for improving stormwater	-
Unique	F., 2006, 'Australian runoff quality: a guide to water sensitive urban design', Crows Nest,	-
Unique	Jurnal Sipil Statik Vol.6 No.1 Januari 2018 (21-34) ISSN: 2337-6732 34 Halaman ini sengaja	-

Top plagiarizing domains: **tandfonline.com** (7 matches); **link.springer.com** (5 matches); **epa.gov** (4 matches); **researchgate.net** (4 matches); **scribd.com** (4 matches); **academia.edu** (4 matches); **sciencedirect.com** (3 matches); **airnow.gov** (2 matches); **water.wa.gov.au** (2 matches); **koreascience.or.kr** (2 matches); **en.wikipedia.org** (2 matches); **adsabs.harvard.edu** (2 matches); **epa.vic.gov.au** (2 matches); **dnrm.qld.gov.au** (2 matches); **slideshare.net** (2 matches); **college.lattc.edu** (2 matches); **sewrpc.org** (1 matches); **iopscience.iop.org** (1 matches); **repositorium.sdum.uminho.pt** (1 matches); **inderscienceonline.com** (1 matches); **civil-hu.jp** (1 matches); **deepdyve.com** (1 matches); **jswconline.org** (1 matches); **iessouthwest.com** (1 matches); **mafiadoc.com** (1 matches); **ascelibrary.org** (1 matches); **iiste.org** (1 matches); **springerprofessional.de** (1 matches); **naturalcapitalproject.org** (1 matches); **aapq.org** (1 matches); **lib.ncsu.edu** (1 matches); **hindawi.com** (1 matches); **pt.wikipedia.org** (1 matches); **exterran.com** (1 matches); **soakwells.com** (1 matches); **dunnheat.com** (1 matches); **ncc-kw.com** (1 matches); **ongov.net** (1 matches); **www2.math.umd.edu** (1 matches); **lacsd.org** (1 matches); **aquaticinvasions.net** (1 matches); **prosep.com** (1 matches); **article.sciencepublishinggroup.com** (1 matches); **safecosmetics.org** (1 matches); **idea.chlorofil.fr** (1 matches); **people.unisa.edu.au** (1 matches); **federationpress.com.au** (1 matches); **documents.tips** (1 matches); **bgohio.org** (1 matches); **techno-press.org** (1 matches);

Jurnal Sipil Statik Vol.6 No.1 Januari 2018 (21-34) ISSN: 2337-6732 21 UNDERSTANDING WATER SENSITIVE URBAN DESIGN (WSUD) CONCEPT Isri Ronald Mangangka Fakultas Teknik, Jurusan Teknik Sipil Universitas Sam Ratulangi Manado e-mail: isri.mangangka@unsrat.ac.id Abstract Water Sensitive Urban Design (WSUD) is a multidisciplinary approach to the integration of water cycle management into urban planning and design. It is an internationally recognised concept that offers an alternative to traditional development practices of stormwater management. Water Sensitive Urban Design (WSUD) is an integrated management of stormwater. using a holistic approach to the planning and design of urban development that aims to minimize negative impacts on the natural water cycle and protect the health of aquatic ecosystems. The WSUD objectives can be achieved by implementing the integration of various Best Planning Practices (BPPs) and Best Management Practices (BMPs). BPPs involve site analysis, land capability assessment and land use planning for enhancing the capability of stormwater management, while BMPs involve managing stormwater quantity and quality with the application of structural and non-structural measures. Non-structural BMPs include development policy, environmental consideration at project site, education programs and law enforcement, while structural BMPs are stormwater treatment measures which are used to achieved the multiple objectives of stormwater management (Lloyd et. Al., 2002). This literature review paper presents the philosophy of Water Sensitive Urban Design (WSUD), its implementation through the integration of BPPs and BMPs. The paper also explains the application of structural and non-structural WSUD measures. Key words : WSUD, stormwater management, urban stormwater, urban drainage system INTRODUCTION Background WSUD is a philosophical approach to urban planning and design that aims to minimise the hydrological impacts of urban development on the surrounding environment (Lloyd et al. 2002). WSUD has been promoted and developed on the premise of integrating development with the principles of environmental sustainability (Gardiner & Hardy 2005). The principles of WSUD are now recognised and adopted internationally to reduce impacts of urbanisation on receiving waterways (SEQHWP 2007). WSUD approach primarily focuses on stormwater quantity and quality management, and the main objective of WSUD techniques is to improve stormwater quality. WSUD approach offers an alternative to the traditional conveyance approach to stormwater management by minimising the extent of impervious surfaces, mitigating the changes to the natural water balance and improving stormwater quality. An integrated approach to stormwater management is the key to Water Sensitive Urban Design. This integrated approach views stormwater as a resource rather than a threat and considers all aspects of stormwater runoff within a development area, including environmental, social and cultural issues (Victorian Stormwater Committee 1999). WSUD techniques have been implemented all over Australia. Some development areas in Australia are well known as successful WSUD large scale projects such as the Pimpama Coomera Water Futures Project and The Healthy Home in Queensland, Fig Tree Place and Kogarah Town Square in New South Wales, Lynbrook Estate in Victoria, and New Brompton Estate and Salisbury City Council ASR scheme in South Australia (McAlister & BMT WBM 2007). Some guidelines and procedures for WSUD have been provided by Local or State Governments. For example: “Water Sensitive Urban Design Technical Design Guidelines for South East Queensland” (SEQHWP 2006); “Water Sensitive Urban Design Technical Guidelines for Western Sydney” (UPRCT 2004); “WSUD Engineering Procedures: Stormwater” (Melbourne Water 2005); and “Stormwater Management Manual for Western Australia” (DWGWA & DEGWA 2007). The “National Guidelines for Evaluating Water Sensitive Urban Design (WSUD)” which provides a framework to be used by both developers and assessors in formulating and evaluating WSUD strategies (McAlister & BMT WBM 2007). The integrated approach of WSUD has become more popular since it has the potential to reduce development costs, minimise pollution and safeguard urban water quality. However, the adoption of this integrated approach in many cases has been constrained because it is seem to have high operation and maintenance cost, and in some cases it can reduce the size of developable land (McAlister & BMT WBM 2007). Therefore, providing knowledge on the benefits which can be gained by the application of WSUD techniques should include the capability to safeguard urban water quality as it can motivate institutions to accept and implement a holistic approach to WSUD Current Lack of Understanding to WSUD Concept Recently, some research studies have focused in evaluating the performance of WSUD applicable techniques. Coombes and Kuczera (2000) have studied the WSUD development site, “Tank Paddock” to compare the benefit of using WSUD approaches to the traditional approaches. The results proved that the WSUD scenario could significantly reduce stormwater peak and discharge volume, reduce the construction cost up to 53% and create other indirect benefit such as reduced potential erosion, reduced pollutant transport and safer roads during large storm events. However, other research studies such as by Foley and Daniell (n.d.), and Coombes et al. (2000) it is not clearly possible to scientifically relate the output of WSUD devices to water quality improvement. It is well known that various WSUD measures have been widely used in Australia. There is no real doubt about their ability to reduce stormwater quantity and peak flow. The real doubts are with regards to water quality since there is no scientific information to confirm how efficient they are in removing pollutants. Also, there is no real scientific understanding of the pollutant removal processes in the various WSUD treatment devices. IMPLEMENTATION OF WSUD CONCEPT Water Sensitive Urban Design (WSUD) is commonly used in the planning and design of the urban environment that is 'sensitive' to the issues of environmental protection and water sustainability. According to the Victorian Stormwater Committee (1999) as presented in the Urban Stormwater: Best Practice Environmental Management Guidelines, the five key objectives of WSUD are as follows: 1) The protection and enhancement of natural water systems such as creeks, rivers and wetlands within urban catchments. 2) The integration of stormwater treatment into the landscape by incorporating multiple uses that provide a variety of benefits including water quality treatment, wildlife habitat, public open space and visual and recreational amenity for the community. 3) Protection of the quality of water draining from urban catchments. 4) Reduction of runoff volume and peak flows from urban development by using on-site detention measures and minimising impervious areas. 5) Minimisation of the drainage infrastructure development cost. The achievement of WSUD objectives above can be gained by implementing the integration of various Best Planning Practices (BPPs) and Best Management Practices (BMPs). The incorporation of Best Planning Practices and Best Management Practices in Water Sensitive Urban Design is illustrated in Figure 1. Figure 1: Incorporation of BPPs and BMPs in WSUD (Whelans et al. 1994) Combining BPPs and BMPs in WSUD requires both structural and non-structural elements that perform the prevention, conveyance, treatment, collection, storage and Jurnal Sipil Statik Vol.6 No.1 Januari 2018 (21-34) ISSN: 2337-6732 23 reuse of urban water. Non-structural WSUD measures complement the performance of structural WSUD measures which are installed or retrofitted within urban stormwater systems. Non-structural WSUD Measures Non-structural stormwater WSUD measures are institutional and pollution-prevention practices designed to prevent or minimise pollutants from entering stormwater runoff. They typically do not involve fixed or permanent facilities, and usually work by changing community behaviour through government regulation, persuasion and economic instruments (Taylor & Wong 2002). Research studies undertaken in countries such as Australia, New Zealand, the United States and Germany (Sieker & Klein 1998; Taylor & Wong 2002; Taylor et al. 2007) have found a trend of increasing use of non- structural stormwater measures including education campaigns. They also found that the combination of non-structural and structural stormwater measures proved to be the best solution in overcoming stormwater management problems. CRC for Catchment Hydrology in their research categorised non-structural WSUD measures into the following five core groups (Taylor & Wong 2002) and explained further in Stormwater Management Manual for Western Australia: Non-structural controls (Taylor 2005): 1. Town planning controls: - Stormwater planning controls that promote WSUD and BMPs on construction sites including erosion and sediment control. - Site-based non-structural WSUD measures for new residential developments, applied to public open space, residential housing lots layout, road layout, street-scaping layout, and conservation. - Site-based non-structural WSUD measures for new commercial/industrial areas, applied to green parking design and on-site detention for large areas. 2. Strategic planning and institutional controls: - Stormwater management plans for stormwater quality improvement and aquatic ecosystems protection. - Self funding mechanisms of stormwater facilities. - Risk assessments. - Integrating stormwater management with other aspects of the water cycle. - Building capacity of government staff, consultants, developers and community. 3. Pollution prevention procedures: - Site-based non-structural measures for land development and construction sites including drainage controls, erosion and sediment controls, dust controls, waste management controls, and soil amendment - Infrastructure maintenance operations including street sweeping, stormwater measures maintenance, road pavement repairs, public open spaces maintenance, vehicle, equipment and plant maintenance, building maintenance, building wash-down and graffiti removal, industrial and commercial site practices, loading and unloading areas maintenance, swimming pools discharges management, storage of dangerous goods, sewerage maintenance ,and septic system management. - Waste management practices including domestic waste and recycling collection, litter collections, bin design and cleaning, animal wastes management, illegal dumping management, hazardous household chemicals collection. - Management of wash-water from boats and mobile industries. 4. Education and participation programs: - Education program on source control measures using printed material, media campaigns, signs provision, community programs, displays, community water quality programs, launches, local action committees and groups, consumer programs, business programs, and school education programs. - Training - Community participation - Regional stormwater awareness programs - Education and participation campaigns for garden care practices, industrial and commercial premises. - Technical focused stormwater education on WSUD involving new estates. 5. Regulatory controls: - Law enforcement in relation to diffuse sources of stormwater pollution - Stormwater discharge regulation - Illegal discharge elimination programs - Vegetated buffer areas provision. Structural WSUD Measures WSUD structural measures are stormwater treatment measures which collect, convey, and detain or retain stormwater to improve water quality. They treat runoff by removing Jurnal Sipil Statik Vol.6 No.1 Januari 2018 (21-34) ISSN: 2337-6732 24 contaminants and protecting and enhancing the environmental, social and economic values of receiving waterways. Selection of appropriate treatment measures depends on site conditions, target pollutants and hydrological geometry of the catchment. A treatment measure can be addressed towards the target pollutants found in stormwater runoff according to the range of particle size grading including dissolved pollutants which are assumed to have particle size less than 0.45 µm. Inter-relationships between stormwater pollutants physical sizes, suitable treatment measures and appropriate hydraulic loading are presented in Figure 2. As can be seen from Figure 2, treatment measures which target coarse solids such as gross pollutant traps and sediment basins, can operate under high hydraulic loading. However as the target pollutants physical size reduces, the treatment processes change to include biological adsorption and transformation of the pollutants, and these occur under low hydraulic loading which require larger land areas for treatment flows. Figure 2: Typical stormwater treatment measures, target pollutant sizeand hydraulic loading (Wong 2000) In applying WSUD measures to a specific catchment, it is more effective to combine two or more treatment measures. A series of treatment measures for stormwater pollutant removal is analogous to the carriages in a train and is therefore referred to as a 'treatment train' (Wong 2006). A treatment train provides a guarantee of a better performance and overcomes factors which may limit the effectiveness of a single measure. Different WSUD measures for managing stormwater quality will provide different levels of treatment. Mouritz (2006) divides WSUD treatment measures into three different levels, i.e. primary, secondary and tertiary treatment. Primary treatment measures that target litter, gross pollutants and coarse sediment include gross pollutant traps, trash racks, sediment traps and oil collectors. Secondary stormwater treatment measures that aim to remove sediments, heavy metals partially and bacteria include vegetated buffer strips, grass swales, detention basins, bioretention filters, infiltration trenches and infiltration basins. Tertiary treatment measures that aim to remove fine sediments, nutrients, bacteria and heavy metals include constructed wetlands. COMMON WSUD STRUCTURAL MEASURES Some common WSUD structural measures are selected to be discussed further in the next sections. They are gross pollutant traps, vegetated swales incorporating buffer strips and bioretention, detention/retention basins, con- structed wetlands, and infiltration systems. Debris and Gross Poluttan Trap Gross pollutants are large pieces of urban debris which are flushed from the catchment into the stormwater system during storm events. These pollutants, which typically include urban-derived litter and vegetation debris, can look unpleasant, have bad smell/odour, and be a threat to aquatic biodiversity. Gross pollutants are generally the most noticeable water pollution indicator to the community, due to their visibility (Wong et al. 2000). Allison et al. (1997) have defined that gross pollutants are the debris items larger than 5 mm. A study by Cooperative Research Centre (CRC) for Catchment Hydrology in Melbourne has found urban areas contribute about 20 to 40 kilograms (dry mass) per hectare per year of gross pollutants to stormwater, with significant amount of litter items, comparably about one item per person per day (Allison et al. 1997). The study also found that the gross pollutants mobilisation rate is highly correlated with rainfall. To reduce gross pollutants in urban waterways, both structural measures (gross pollutant traps) and non-structural efforts are required to be applied. Non-structural measures include changing the attitudes of the community, public awareness, litter bin provision, street sweeping, government regulation and law enforcement (Taylor 2005). Gross pollutant traps are stormwater pre- treatment measures that are very important to be applied within a treatment train. They protect Jurnal Sipil Statik Vol.6 No.1 Januari 2018 (21-34) ISSN: 2337-6732 25 downstream stormwater treatment measures from clogging and malfunction. A number of different types of gross pollutant traps are available. Each of them has different specification and may have different target pollutants. Followings are some gross pollutant traps gathered from some references (Victorian Stormwater Committee 1999; Allison et al. 1997; Wong et al. 2000; Martens et al. 2007): ✓ Grated entrance screens; consist of metal screens that cover the inlet of the drainage network to prevent the entry of gross pollutants. ✓ Side entry pit traps; baskets placed below the invert of road gutters, inside the drainage pit and used to retain materials larger than the basket mesh size (5-20mm). ✓ Litter collection devices; baskets that sit below the entry point of the inlet pipe. Debris larger than basket pore size is retained. ✓ Trash racks; consist of either vertical or horizontal steel bars, typically spaced 40 to 100 mm apart. Trash racks are installed in stormwater drainage pipes to intercept floating and submerged materials. ✓ Gross pollutant traps; sediment trap with trash racks constructed of vertical bars. They consist of a large concrete lined wet basin upstream of a weir, used to collect floating and submerged debris. ✓ Floating debris traps; made by stringing partly submerged floating booms across very slow moving waterways, used to collect floating objects. ✓ Baffled pits; stormwater pits modified with a series of baffles, used to trap floating debris and encourage heavy sediments to settle in the pit. ✓ Circular settling tanks; cylindrical tanks that are divided into an upper diversion chamber and a lower retention chamber. While stormwater is directed by a diversion weir into the lower retention chamber and exits the chamber through an outlet riser pipe, sediments are collected in the base of the retention chamber. ✓ Release nets; cylindrical nets that are secured over the outlet of a drainage pipe and capture all materials larger than the pore size of the net Vegetated Swales / Filter Strips / Bioretention Swales 1) Vegetated Swales A vegetated swale is a broad, commonly parabolic or trapezoidal shallow channel with vegetation covering the side slope and bottom. Vegetated swales are used in road medians, verges, carpark areas, and park and recreation areas. They are often used as an alternative to kerb and gutter with low flow velocities, therefore protects waterways from damage or erosion. The swales act as stormwater quantity improvement measure by reducing runoff volume and peak discharge (Fiener & Auerswald 2005), as well as stormwater quality improvement device by promoting pollutant removal (Deletic & Fletcher 2006; Schueler 1995; EPA 1999) Vegetated swales support the achievement of WSUD objectives by disconnecting impervious areas from downstream waterways. The swales provide an important pre-treatment function for tertiary treatment systems such as wetlands and bioretention basins. Swales are commonly designed with side slopes no steeper than 3:1, and with longitudinal slopes of between 1% and 4% in which they can generally operate best to convey stormwater and treat stormwater quality (SEQHWP 2006). Subsoil drains need to be installed beneath the swales if longitudinal slopes are less than 1% to avoid stagnant ponding and waterlogging. On the contrary, for slopes steeper than 4%, check dams should be constructed across the swale base at intervals along the invert of the swales (see Figure 3). The check dams reduce flow velocities and protect the vegetation from erosion. Figure 3: Vegetated swale with check dams (DCR 1999b) 2) Filter Strips Filter strips (or buffer strips) are open vegetated areas where runoff flows over while travelling to a discharge point. Runoff flowing across the filter strips should be distributed as Jurnal Sipil Statik Vol.6 No.1 Januari 2018 (21-34) ISSN: 2337-6732 26 sheet flow. Therefore filter strips typically require uniformly distributed flow or sheet flow that originates from roads or carparks, or otherwise require flow spreaders across the width of the strips to convert shallow concentrated flow to sheet flow before entering the filter strips. Filter strips are typically provided as a pre- treatment for other WSUD measures such as around detention/retention basins and wetlands. They are often provided incorporating vegetated swales. Filter strips not only reduce sediment loads but also reduce runoff volume and discharge rate through infiltration and reduction in velocity. Pollutant Removal Performance of Swales Systems Studies in the United States of America have shown that vegetated swales were capable of removing many stormwater pollutants, with reported removal efficiencies of 83% for sediment, 75% for hydrocarbons, 67% for lead (Pb), 63% for zinc (Zn) and 63% for aluminium (Al) (Schueler 1995). EPA (1999) has reported similar results with high removal efficiencies of some pollutants including 81% for total suspended solids (TSS), 67% for oxygen demanding (OD) substances, 62% for hydrocarbons, 42% for cadmium (Cd), 51% for copper (Cu), 67% for lead (Pb) and 71% for zinc (Zn), but ineffective for removing nutrients with removal efficiencies of only 9% for phosphorus and 38% of nitrate. Conversely, Deletic and Fletcher (2006) in their observations in Brisbane found more significant removal of nutrients in vegetated swales. They confirmed that the swales investigated in Brisbane removed 46% of total phosphorus (TP) and 56% of total nitrogen (TN). They also found lower removal efficiency of TSS with only 69% as compared to the results reported by Schueler (1995) and EPA (1999) above. A study in Veneto Region (north-east Italy) undertaken by Vianello et al. (2005) showed that vegetative filter strips can also reduce the concentration of herbicides. Water quality treatment processes in Vegetated Swales and Filter Strips The water quality treatment processes which occur in filter strips and vegetated swales are relatively complex, and involve physical and biochemical processes. Pollutant removal through physical processes is achieved by settling, filtration and infiltration of the particulates or suspended solids, and consequently include particle-bound pollutants such as phosphorus (Martens et al. 2007). Biochemical processes occur in relation to certain pollutants, such as hydrocarbons which are digested or processed by vegetation and soil micro-organisms. Therefore, in order to optimise pollutant removal, adequate contact time between stormwater runoff and vegetation and soil surface is required (Victorian Stormwater Committee 1999). Furthermore, Clar et al. (2004a) noted that the removal of soluble pollutants in vegetated swales or filter strips depends on the infiltration rate, because removal occurs when pollutants infiltrate into the soil where some of which is subsequently taken up by vegetation roots. Other factors which influence pollutant removal performance of filter strips and vegetated swales are length, slope, soil permeability and vegetation height and density, area of catchment, particle sizes, pollutant concentration, settling velocity, runoff velocity and flow rate, and contact time (Schueler 1987; Martens et al. 2007; Clar et al. 2004a). 3) Bioretention Swales Bioretention swales consist of excavated trenches which are filled up with porous media (typically sandy loam) and planted with vegetation on the surface (see Figure 4). The bioretention component is typically located at the downstream end of a swale system or can be complemented as a continuous trench along the full length. Figure 4: Cross section of typical bioretention swale (SEQHWP 2006) Stormwater quality treatment processes in bioretention swales are operated in combination by the swale component and the bioretention system. The swale component promotes pre- treatment of stormwater by removing coarse to medium sediments, whilst the bioretention system removes finer particulates including associated contaminants and suspended solids through Jurnal Sipil Statik Vol.6 No.1 Januari 2018 (21-34) ISSN: 2337-6732 27 filtration, infiltration and biological uptake. It has been reported that bioretention swales can remove pollutants more effectively than vegetated swales with the average removal efficiencies of 90% for coarse sediment, 80% for total suspended solids (TSS), 50% for total nitrogen (TN), 60% for total phosphorus (TP) and 80% for heavy metals (Martens et al. 2007). Sediment Transport Model The particles transported through the grass/vegetation swale system are usually very small, mostly below 20 µm (Neibling and Alberts 1979 as cited in Deletic (2001)). Therefore, it can be assumed that they are transported as fine suspended solids, because the coarser particles have been deposited before or when they just enter the system. It is understood by researchers that there is a positive correlation between pollutant removal (including TSS, TN and TP) and the length of swale or buffer strip. The relationship indicates that that there is an exponential decrease of such pollutants along the length of the systems (Clar et al. 2004a; Deletic 2005; Deletic & Fletcher 2006). Physical pollutant removal processes within the grass strips and swale systems have been observed and modelled by researchers such as Deletic (2001), Muñoz-Carpena et al. (1999) and Fiener & Auerswald (2005). Deletic (2001) developed a classical transport equation for sediment transport through the grass as follows: $\partial (hq_{s,s}/q) \partial t + \partial q_{s,s} \partial x = Dis\, \partial^2 (hq_{s,s}/q) \partial x^2 - \lambda_s q_{s,s}$ where: $q_{s,s}$ is the sediment loading rate of fraction s per unit width [$MS^{-1} L^{-1}$] Dis is the dispersion coefficient [$L^2 S$] λ_s is the trapping efficiency for fraction s per unit length [L^{-1}] which is obtained from, $\lambda_s = T_{r,s} (lV_s V h) l$ where: l is the grass length [L] V_s is the Stokes settling velocity [LS^{-1}] of the particle with diameter d_s [L] V is the average mean flow velocity between grass blades [LS^{-1}] h is the depth of the flow [L] $T_{r,s}$ is the trapping efficiency for sediment factor s, which is a function of the particle fall number $N_{f,s}$ and can be expressed by semi- empirical equation below, $T_{r,s} = N_{f.s}^{0.69} N_{f,s}^{0.69} + 4.95$ While numerous studies have focused on the physical removal processes in the grass strips and swale systems, limited information is available to explain the biochemical processes by vegetation and soil micro-organisms involved in removing hydrocarbons and dissolved pollutants. The processes are far more complex and remain little understood. Therefore, appropriate studies should be addressed to provide better understanding of these processes. Detention/Retention Ponds/Basins Detention/retention ponds/basins (thereafter in this section will refer as 'retention basins) are stormwater facilities that provide storage for stormwater runoff to be retained during storm events and then slowly released through a designed outlet. Retention basins can also allow infiltration of stormwater during the detention period. Therefore, the main objective of retention basins relates to stormwater quantity control. Some retentions basins have a permanent pool in order to also function as a recreation and landscape amenity. However, during very dry weather, the pool could be totally dry. In order to maintain sufficient volume of water in the permanent pool, a reliable source of runoff or ground water is required (Clar et al. 2004b). In the past, the aim of retention basins was mainly focused on reducing stormwater peak discharge through retention and reducing stormwater quantity through infiltration, and only little attention was paid to the stormwater quality aspect. However, the growing public awareness on environmental issues has led to the application for stormwater quality treatment. Retention basins provide downstream flood control and channel erosion control by temporarily storing stormwater runoff in the basin during rainfall events, therefore protect downstream wildlife and aquatic habitats. Retention basins can also provide aesthetic and recreation benefits as well as water supply for irrigation or fire protection (Clar et al. 2004b). Water quality treatment Retention basins provide long-term storage of stormwater runoff to allow physical settling of fine suspended sediments, which includes particle-bound pollutants such as phosphorus (Martens et al. 2007). Sediments that are deposited in the basin bed are also protected from re-suspension. A better result in improving stormwater quality will be achieved where retention basins are combined with other WSUD measures, forming a treatment train. According to Schueler (1992), monitoring studies have shown that retention basins have sediment removal efficiencies ranging from 50% to 90% and TP removal efficiencies ranging from 30% to 90%. The pollutants removal efficiencies of a retention basin have also been monitored by Birch et al. (2005). The results showed that TSS concentration in stormwater was reduced by an average of 50%, whereas the concentration of Cu, Pb and Zn were also reduced by an average 68%, 93% and 52%, respectively. Constructed Wetlands Constructed wetlands are manmade shallow, extensively vegetated water bodies that are designed and built specifically to enhance the quality of stormwater runoff. Constructed wetlands are intentionally created on non-wetland sites to improve landscape amenity and temporary storage of treated water for reuse schemes in addition to treat stormwater (Martens et al. 2007). During rainfall events, water levels in wetlands rise, and then slowly released through configured outlets. Stormwater is retained in the wetland system typically for up to two or three days (SEQHWP 2006). A constructed wetland generally consists of an inlet zone, a macrophyte zone as the main area of the wetland, and a high flow bypass channel (see Figure 5). In the inlet zone, it is a constructed a sedimentation pond with a relatively deep open water body with edge and possibly submerged macrophytes. The pond is generally located upstream of the wetland, and it commonly incorporates primary pre-treatment stormwater measures at the inlet to provide coarse sediment and gross pollutant removal. Low flow of stormwater in the pond allows fine sediments to settle in the pond bed, therefore protects the main area of the wetland system (Victorian Stormwater Committee 1999; Martens et al. 2007). Macrophyte zone is the main zone of the wetland system, comprising of a shallow water body with extensive emergent vegetation. There are some specific zones of vegetation throughout the wetland, where each zone is generally determined by the water depth. As can be seen from Figure 6, constructed wetlands contain four vegetation zones, i.e. zone of shallow marsh vegetation, marsh vegetation, deep marsh vegetation and submerged vegetation (Victorian Stormwater Committee 1999). Open water located near the outlet of the wetland promotes ultra violet exposure, which promotes bacteria die-off. Figure 5: Typical constructed wetland system Source: Virginia DEQ Stormwater Design Specification No. 13 Runoff flows entering the macrophyte zone are controlled in the inlet zone.

When the flows exceed the design flow, ‘above design flows’ are by-passed around the macrophyte zone through the high flow bypass channel. Thereby, this protects the vegetation in the macrophyte zone against scour during high flows (SEQHWP 2006). Water quality enhancement Constructed wetlands are useful for enhancing stormwater runoff quality, particularly where stormwater contains high concentrations of soluble material which is difficult to remove by other stormwater treatment devices. High removal rates of particulates and soluble pollutants including nutrients can be achieved by constructed wetlands through settling, vegetation uptake, absorption, filtration and biological decomposition (DCR 1999a). Wetland vegetation plays an important role in improving water quality by encouraging sedimentation, filtering of nutrients and other pollutants through roots, stems and leaves, and by using nutrients when in the growth phase. Wetland plants also promote the growth of biofilms, which assimilate dissolved nutrients. Changing deep and shallow zones in wetlands, perpendicular to the stormwater flow, can transform and remove nitrogen through various chemical reactions. The shallow zones are generally well oxygenated and therefore promote mineralisation and nitrification. Mineralisation is the breakdown of organic nitrogen to ammonium while nitrification is the breakdown of ammonium to nitrate. While the water flows to the deeper zones, denitrification occurs, converting nitrate to gaseous nitrogen, which is then released to the atmosphere (Martens et al. 2007). Phosphorus removal in a wetland takes place through sedimentation, filtration, biological uptake and sorption. Sim et al. (2008) reported that nutrient removal performance of Putrajaya Wetlands in Malaysia was 82.11% for TN, 70.73% for nitrate (NO_3^-), and 84.32% for phosphate (PO_4^{3-}). Other studies which have also reported on nutrient removal by constructed wetlands including those conducted by Knight et al. (2000), and Reinelt and Horner (1995). Fletcher et al. (2003) in their literature review have concluded that constructed wetlands can achieve high pollutant load removal with annual efficiencies of up to 95% for litter, up to 95% for TSS, up to 80% for TN, up to 85% for TP, up to 95% for coarse sediment, and up to 95% for heavy metals. Heavy metals can be removed from the water column through sedimentation, adsorption and plant uptake. The performance of wetlands in reducing heavy metals, particularly Zn, Pb and Cu has been reported by Walker and Hurl (2002) whilst the removal of other metals including Ca, Mg, Mn, and Na has been noted by Kohler et al. (2004). Other researchers have also reported that constructed wetlands can significantly reduce organic pollutants such as pesticides, insecticides, fungicides and hydrocarbons (Kohler et al. 2004; Sherrard et al. 2004; Thurston 1999). Pathogens can be destroyed by exposure to ultra violet light in open water and by predation, or removed through adsorption. Reinelt and Horner (1995) have reported that urban wetlands in Washington, USA reduced fecal coliforms with mean annual removal at 49%. Pollutant Removal Models Pollutant removal processes in constructed wetlands have been observed and modelled by researchers such as Wong and Geiger (1997), Wood and Shelley (1999), and Werner and Kadlec (2000). The most commonly adopted model widely used to compute the performance of constructed wetlands in the removal of stormwater pollutants is a first order kinetic model (Wong & Geiger 1997; Wong et al. 2000; Wong et al. 2001; Carleton et al. 2001; Holland et al. 2005). The model uses a first order decay function, which is simplified from a large number parameters involved. When stormwater carrying pollutants moves through the wetland system, the quality of water is influenced by several physical and biochemical processes which are very complex. However, the overall effect is that contaminant concentration in the water tends to move by an exponential decay process toward an equilibrium value. The model involves two parameters, i.e. the rate constant k and the background concentration C*, and can be written as the following equation: $C_o = C^* + (C_i - C^*)e^{-k/q}$ Where: C_o is the pollutant concentration at the outlet of the wetland (mg/l) C_i is the pollutant concentration at the inlet of the wetland (mg/l) C^* is the equilibrium value or the pollutant background concentration (mg/l) k is the rate constant of pollutant removal parameter (m/yr) q is the wetland hydraulic loading (m/yr) The first order kinetic model given above is also adopted by Cooperative Research Centre (CRC) for Catchment Hydrology, and used in MUSIC (Model for Urban Stormwater Improvement Conceptualisation) software (CRCCH 2005). However, the model seems to be very simplistic because a lot of parameters have been combined into two parameters (k and C*). Furthermore, each calibrated parameter can only be used for the specific device where the data was originally derived. Therefore, it is necessary to develop a synthetic model which can be used widely without a lot of calibration data required, but should be based on the catchment and device parameters. Infiltration Systems Infiltration systems capture stormwater runoff and promote infiltration into surrounding soils where the systems are installed. The primary focus of infiltration systems is on stormwater quantity for reducing stormwater runoff volumes and peak flows. However, this raises the implication on stormwater quality improvement through filtration of stormwater runoff in the subsurface soils, prevention of downstream flooding, and protection of downstream aquatic ecosystem. Through an infiltration system, stormwater is directly disposed into the soil ground, and finally the disposed water reaches the groundwater. Therefore, to protect groundwater quality, an appropriate pre-treatment of stormwater entering infiltration systems is required. Stormwater pre- treatment measures can also help to avoid clogging of the infiltration system. Infiltration systems typically have two main functions; to detain stormwater temporarily and to promote infiltration of stormwater into the soil. Hence, they require sufficient detention storages and infiltration areas comprising high permeable materials such as granular materials. The detention storage can be located above or below the ground, and is designed to detain a certain volume of stormwater runoff. When the storage is full, the exceeded runoff is bypassed through the overflow system. The infiltration area is the interface area between the detention storage and the on site soil through which the collected runoff is infiltrated (SEQHWP 2006). There are a number of infiltration systems which are widely used for urban stormwater control. Among them, leaky wells/ soakwells, infiltration trenches and porous/modular pavements are selected to be discussed further in this section as these are the most commonly used in Australia. 1) Leaky Wells/ Soakwells Leaky wells or soakwells are the traditional stormwater source control measures which are still widely used, typically in small-scale residential and commercial areas. A Soakwell commonly consists of a concrete or PVC cylinder located vertically above a circular base. Slots around the cylinder and a drainage hole on the base which are covered with geotextile, promote the stormwater runoff stored in the soakwell to infiltrate into the surrounding soil. Infiltration of stormwater from soakwell is calculated using two approaches. First approach assumes that the infiltration occurs and follows the unsaturated flow model. The model calculates the emptying time base on the infiltration capacity of the soil and the wetted area of the soakwell. The second approach assumes that the flows from the soakwell are below saturated conditions. This model uses the theory of flow through porous media, therefore Darcy's Law is applied (Browne et al. 2008). 2) Infiltration Trenches An infiltration trench is a shallow, typically 0.5 – 1.5 m deep, excavated trench filled with gravel or other coarse aggregate, into which stormwater runoff drains. The trench is lined with geotextile fabric to prevent soil migration into the filled material, and covered with topsoil. Infiltration trenches usually have an overflow pipe for large storm events. Infiltration trenches have a similar function with soakwells to detain and infiltrate stormwater. Infiltration trenches promote pollutant removal by retaining particulates and dissolved pollutants in the trench when stormwater exfiltrates from the trench into the surrounding soil (Victorian Stormwater Committee 1999). The theory and models used for soakwells are applicable for infiltration trenches. 3) Porous Pavement and Modular Pavement Porous pavements are pervious paved surfaces, typically laid on the top of a highly porous aggregate or gravel base layer with a geotextile in-between. Porous pavements are suitable for areas with light traffic loads such as driveways and car parks. There are two broad groups of porous pavements; the open-graded asphalt/concrete pavements with large porosities and the modular pavement with large gaps between impervious modules (Victorian Stormwater Committee 1999). Porous pavements allow runoff to infiltrate through the pore spaces of the pavement or through the gaps between modules into the filled aggregate layer, which provides temporary storage as the water gradually infiltrates into the subsoil. Pervious pavements can remove sediments, nutrients, heavy metals and hydrocarbons from polluted stormwater via the processes of adsorption, filtering and biological decomposition. Field studies have also shown that porous pavements are very effective at retaining dissolved metals (Dierkes et al. (2002) as cited in Martens et al. (2007)). CONCLUSIONS Water Sensitive Urban Design (WSUD) is a philosophical approach to urban planning and design that aims to minimise the hydrological impacts of urban development on the surrounding environment through the implementation of WSUD measures. WSUD devices which are most commonly used in an urban catchment include gross pollutant traps, detention and retention basins, filter strips, vegetated swales and bioretention swales, constructed wetlands, and infiltration systems. WSUD devices protect downstream aquatic habitats, treat runoff by removing contaminants, and protect and enhance the environmental, social and economic values of receiving waterways. However, the pollutant removal processes in the various WSUD treatment devices are very complex and there is no scientific information to confirm their efficacy in water quality improvement. Through detailed investigation of selected systems, it is expected to develop better understanding of the processes, and finally to develop mathematical models of the processes. REFERENCES Allison, R., Chiew, F. and McMahon, T., 1997, 'Stormwater Gross Pollutants', Industry Report, Clayton, Victoria, CRC for Catchment Hydrology. Browne, D., Deletic, A., Mudd, G. M. and Fletcher, T. D., 2008, 'A new saturated/unsaturated model for stormwater infiltration systems', Hydrological Processes, Vol. 22, No. 25, pp. 4838-49. Clar, M. L., Barfield, B. J. and O'Connor, T. P., 2004a, 'Stormwater Best Management Practice Design Guide, Volume 2: Vegetative Biofilters', Cincinnati, OH: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Clar, M. L., Barfield, B. J. and O'Connor, T. P., 2004b, 'Stormwater Best Management Practice Design Guide, Volume 3: Basin Best Management Practices', Cincinnati, OH: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Coombes, P. and Kuczera, G., 2000, 'Tank Paddock: A comparison between traditional and Water Sensitive Urban Design approaches', University of Newcastle, http://www. wsud.org/downloads/Info%20Exchange%20&%20Lit/Coombes%20and%20Kuczera%20Tank %20Paddock.pdf (accessed 14 November 2008). CRCCH, 2005, 'MUSIC version 3.0.1 User Guide', Melbourne, VIC: Cooperative Research Centre (CRC) for Catchment Hydrology. DCR, 1999, 'Virginia Stormwater Management Handbook', First Editon, Volume I, Richmond Virginia: Department of Conservation and Recreation, Division of Soil and Water Conservation. Deletic, A., 2001, 'Modelling of water and sediment transport over grassed areas', Journal of Hydrology, Vol. 248, No. 1-4, pp. 168-82. Deletic, A., 2005, 'Sediment transport in urban runoff over grassed areas', Journal of Hydrology, Vol. 301, No. 1-4, pp. 108-22. Deletic, A. and Fletcher, T. D., 2006, 'Performance of grass filters used for stormwater treatment--a field and modelling study', Journal of Hydrology, Vol. 317, No. 3-4, pp. 261-75. DWGWA and DEGWA. 2007. 'Stormwater Management Manual for Western Australia'. Perth W. A.: Department of Water and Department of Environment Government of Western Australia. EPA, 1999, 'Storm Water Technology Fact Sheet: Vegetated Swales', United States Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Water, Washington, D. C., http://www. epa.gov/owm/mtb/vegswale.pdf (accessed 12 November 2008). Fiener, P. and Auerswald, K., 2005, 'Measurement and modeling of concentrated runoff in grassed waterways', Journal of Hydrology, Vol. 301, No. 1-4, pp. 198-215. Fletcher, T. D., Duncan, H. P., Poelsma, P. and Lloyd, S. D., 2003, 'Stormwater Flow, Quality and Treatment: literature review, gap analysis and recommendations report', Melbourne, Victoria: NSW Environmental Protection Authority and Institute for Sustainable Water Resources, Department of Civil Engineering, Monash University. Foley, B. A. and Daniell, T. M., n.d., 'The role of WSUD in improving the sustainability of urban developments', School of Civil and Environmental Engineering, The University of Adelaide, http://www.wsud.org/downloads/Info%20Exchange%20&%20Lit/WSUD_ 04_Conf_Papers/WS040001.PDF (accessed 12 November 2008). Gardiner, A. and Hardy, M., 2005, 'Beyond demonstration mode: the application of WSUD in Australia', Australian Planner, Vol. 42, No. 4, pp. 16-21. Jurnal Sipil Statik Vol.6 No.1 Januari 2018 (21-34) ISSN: 2337-6732 32 Knight, R. L., Payne, V. W. E., Borer, R. E., Clarke, R. A. and Pries, J. H., 2000, 'Constructed wetlands for livestock wastewater management', Ecological Engineering, Vol. 15, No. 1-2, pp. 41-55. Kohler, E. A., Poole, V. L., Reicher, Z. J. and Turco, R. F., 2004, 'Nutrient, metal, and pesticide removal during storm and nonstorm events by a constructed wetland on an urban golf course', Ecological Engineering, Vol. 23, No. 4-5, pp. 285-98. Lloyd, S. D., Wong, T. H. F. and Chesterfield, C. J., 2002, 'Water Sensitive Uban Design - A Stormwater Management Perspective', Industry Report 02/10, Melbourne, Cooperative Research Centre for Catchment Hydrology. Martens, S., Perrigo, R., Torre, A., Chalmers, L., Monk, E., MacKay, J. and Till, B. 2007. 'Stormwater Management Manual for Western Australia: Structural Controls', edited by Torre, A. and Monk, E. Perth W. A.: Department of Water, Government of Western Australia. McAlister, T. and BMT WBM, 2007, 'National Guidelines for Evaluating Water Sensitive Urban Design (WSUD)', New Water Ways, West Perth, WA, http:// newwaterways.org.au/userfiles/file/pdf/Draft-WSUD%20Guideliens%20BMT-WBM- Nov07.pdf (accessed 12-11-2008). Mouritz, M., Evangelisti, M. and McAlister, T., 2006, 'Water sensitive urban design', In Australian runoff quality: a guide to water sensitive urban design, ed. Wong, T. H. F., [Crowst Nest N.S.W.: Engineers Media for Australian Runoff Quality Authorship Team], pp. 4.1 - 4.26. Muñoz-Carpena, R., Parsons, J. E. and Gilliam, J. W., 1999, 'Modeling hydrology and sediment transport in vegetative filter strips', Journal of Hydrology, Vol. 214, No. 1-4, pp. 111-29. Reinelt, L. E. and Horner, R. R., 1995, 'Pollutant removal from stormwater runoff by palustrine wetlands based on comprehensive budgets', Ecological Engineering, Vol. 4, No. 2, pp. 77-97. Schueler, T. R., 1995, 'Site Planning for Urban Stream Protection', Environmental Land Planning Series, Washington D.C., Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments and the Center for Watershed Protection, United States of America. SEQHWP. 2006. 'Water Sensitive Urban Design Technical Design Guidelines for South East Queensland'. Brisbane: South East Queensland Healthy Waterways Partnership and Brisbane City Council. SEQHWP, 2007, 'Water Sensitive Urban Design: Developing design objectives for urban development in South East Queensland', South East Queensland Healthy Waterways Partnership Brisbane, http://www.healthywaterways.org/filelibrary/seq_wsud_dos_nov_07_final_v2_001.pdf (accessed 18-11-2008). Sherrard, R. M., Bearr, J. S., Murray-Gulde, C. L., Rodgers, J. H. and Shah, Y. T., 2004, 'Feasibility of constructed wetlands for removing chlorothalonil and chlorpyrifos from aqueous mixtures', Environmental Pollution, Vol. 127, No. 3, pp. 385-94. Sieker, H. and Klein, M., 1998, 'Best management practices for stormwater-runoff with alternative methods in a large urban catchment in Berlin, Germany', Water Science and Technology, Vol. 38, No. 10, pp. 91-7. Sim, C. H., Yusoff, M. K., Shutes, B., Ho, S. C. and Mansor, M., 2008, 'Nutrient removal in a pilot and full scale constructed wetland, Putrajaya city, Malaysia', Journal of Environmental Management, Vol. 88, No. 2, pp. 307-17. Taylor, A. 2005. 'Stormwater Management Manual for Western Australia: Non-structural controls', edited by Monk, E., Torre, A. and Mazzella, L. Perth W.A.: Department of Environment, Government of Western Australia. Taylor, A., Curnow, R., Fletcher, T. and Lewis, J., 2007, 'Education campaigns to reduce stormwater pollution in commercial areas: Do they work?', Journal of Environmental Management, Vol. 84, No. 3, pp. 323-35. Taylor, A. and Wong, T., 2002, 'Non-structural Stormwater Quality Best Management Practices - An Overview of Their Use, Value, Cost and Evaluation': Cooperative Research Centre for Catchment Hydrology. Jurnal Sipil Statik Vol.6 No.1 Januari 2018 (21-34) ISSN: 2337-6732 33 Taylor, G. D., Fletcher, T. D., Wong, T. H. F., Breen, P. F. and Duncan, H. P., 2005, 'Nitrogen composition in urban runoff-implications for stormwater management', Water Research, Vol. 39, No. 10, pp. 1982-9. Thurston, K. A., 1999, 'Lead and petroleum hydrocarbon changes in an urban wetland receiving stormwater runoff', Ecological Engineering, Vol. 12, No. 3-4, pp. 387-99. UPRCT, 2004, 'Water Sensitive Urban Design Technical Guidelines for Western Sydney', Upper Parramatta River Catchment Trust, http://www.wsud.org/tech.htm (accessed 15-11-2008). Vianello, M., Vischetti, C., Scarponi, L. and Zanin, G., 2005, 'Herbicide losses in runoff events from a field with a low slope: Role of a vegetative filter strip', Chemosphere, Vol. 61, No. 5, pp. 717- 25. Victorian Stormwater Committee, 1999, 'Urban stormwater: Best practice environmental management guidelines', Collingwood VIC: CSIRO Publising. Walker, D. J. and Hurl, S., 2002, 'The reduction of heavy metals in a stormwater wetland', Ecological Engineering, Vol. 18, No. 4, pp. 407-14. Wong, T., Breen, P. and Lloyd, S., 2000, 'Water sensitive road design - Design options for improving stormwater quality of road runoff', Technical Report, Report 00/1, Cooperative Research Centre (CRC) for Catchment Hydrology. Wong, T. H. F., 2006, 'Australian runoff quality: a guide to water sensitive urban design', Crows Nest, N.S.W.: Engineers Media for Australian Runoff Quality Authorship Team, Institution of Engineers Australia. Halaman ini sengaja dikosongkan