

From: Archie - The Cochrane server archie@ims.cochrane.org

Subject: Review left as checked out: Interventions for reducing stigma and discrimination toward people living with HIV/AIDS

Date: 7 August 2018 08.05

To: Windy MV Wariki wwariki@unsrat.ac.id



Dear Windy MV Wariki,

Your review 'Interventions for reducing stigma and discrimination toward people living with HIV/AIDS' has been checked out from Archie for more than a week (specifically for 7 days).

There are two reasons why reviews should not be left as checked out for too long:

- A. The best way to ensure that you are working on the current version of the review is to always check it out from Archie for every working session and then check it back in at the end.
- B. Keeping a review checked out will prevent others from working with it.

Our advice for what to do next depends on why the review is checked out:

IF YOU ARE ACTIVELY WORKING ON THE REVIEW:

- Check it in to save a backup.
- Then check it out again to continue working.

IF YOU KNOW (OR THINK) YOU HAVE MADE CHANGES TO THE REVIEW

- Locate the review file and check it in.

IF YOU HAVE NOT MADE ANY CHANGES

- Use Undo Checkout in Archie. You can use this link to find the review: <http://archie.cochrane.org/search.jsp?resourceType=document&search=BB18CFC782E26AA200700EDCDF7B6F75> (requires login), then right-click the review and choose Undo Checkout.

This is an automated message generated by Archie. For more details on this message and how to carry out the above actions see Archie Help:

http://www.cochrane-net.org/imshelp/review_left_as_checked_out_reminders.htm

If you have any other questions about working with your review, please contact The HIV/AIDS Group at Deirdre.Walsh@lstmed.ac.uk.

Fwd: Interventions for reducing stigma an discrimination towards people with HIV

9 messages

Erika Ota <otaerika@gmail.com>

Thu, Jul 7, 2016 at 11:11 PM

To: Windy Wariki <wwariki@gmail.com>, Koji Wada <kwada-sgy@umin.ac.jp>, Shuhei Nomura <nom3.shu@gmail.com>

Hi All

We need to revise according to editor's comments for stigma review.
I had a telephone meeting last week and summary is below.
Windy could you proceed the revision?

Best wishes
Erika

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **David Sinclair** <David.Sinclair@lstmed.ac.uk>

Date: 2016-07-07 16:10 GMT+09:00

Subject: Interventions for reducing stigma an discrimination towards people with HIV

To: "otaerika@gmail.com" <otaerika@gmail.com>

Cc: Philomena Hinds <Philomena.Hinds@lstmed.ac.uk>, Anne-Marie Stephani <Anne-Marie.Stephani@lstmed.ac.uk>, Paul Garner <Paul.Garner@lstmed.ac.uk>

Dear Erica,

Great to talk to you. Here is a brief summary of what we discussed on the call. Apologies it has taken over a week to send this summary.

Re: Mass Media Interventions for reducing HIV – We confirmed that:

- This review will not be republished through Cochrane as the topic is no longer being actively researched.

Re: Interventions to reduce Stigma – We confirmed that:

- The review is not currently of a high enough quality to be published within the Cochrane library.
- The CIDG editorial group does not currently have the capacity to provide author support to bring the review up to standard.
- If the author team decides to revise the review in the light of the comments below, and resubmit to Cochrane, there will still be no guarantee that it will be accepted for publication.

The following are suggestions for how to improve the Stigma review. These are the things we discussed, and it is not an exhaustive list of what needs to be done:

1. Logic Framework – We discussed the draft model suggested by DS. This is only a draft and needs further work from the authors. However, this diagram demonstrated one important factor which should influence the whole structure of the review: There are two target groups for interventions to reduce stigma:

- a. People without HIV (the general population and sub-populations such as children, health workers etc) – e.g. Educational interventions might aim to improve knowledge about HIV and change attitudes towards people with HIV
- b. People with HIV – e.g. Interventions might aim to reduce the consequence of stigma, or improve a persons ability to cope with it.

These are two different questions, and the review currently includes both.

2. Characteristics of included studies - It would be useful to have two additional tables. One table clearly describing the interventions in trials targeting people without HIV (point 1a above), and one clearly describing the interventions in trials targeting people with HIV (point 1b above). These tables help you decide which trials can be combined in a meta-analysis. Currently in the review, there are almost no meta-analyses. This is understandable given the variation in interventions, populations, and outcomes measured, but if the trials are clearly described in this way it should be possible to combine some of the trials
3. Outcomes - Using examples from the review called ‘psychosocial group intervention’ - we discussed the importance of understanding the different scales used to measure outcomes (such as the Coping Self-efficacy scale). It is important to have a table describing how each scale is measured and how the result should be interpreted. Where different scales are measuring roughly the same thing, they can be combined in meta-analyses using the standardised mean difference.

Best wishes

David

David Sinclair

Clinical Lecturer, Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine

Co-ordinating Editor, Cochrane Infectious Diseases Group

Office: +44 151 705 3702

Skype: dave.f.sinclair



This e-mail message is sent on behalf of the Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine, a charitable company limited by guarantee registered in England and Wales with company number 222655 and whose registered office is at Pembroke Place Liverpool L3 5QA ("LSTM")

The contents of this e-mail are subject to LSTM's email disclaimer found at:
<http://www.lstmed.ac.uk/disclaimer/email-disclaimer>

Windy Wariki <wwariki@gmail.com>
To: Erika Ota <otaerika@gmail.com>
Cc: Koji Wada <kwada-sgy@umin.ac.jp>, Shuhei Nomura <nom3.shu@gmail.com>

Fri, Jul 8, 2016 at 7:27 AM

Dear Prof. Erika,

Thank you very much for your kindly share the editor's comments for stigma and mass media interventions reviews.
As for stigma review, I will do my best to revise it on the basis of editor's comments.
I have checked this review through Archie, and the status of write phase is editorial. I hope we cannot open the review through RevMan immediately.

Sincerely yours,

Windy Wariki
[Quoted text hidden]

Koji Wada <kwada-sgy@umin.ac.jp>
To: Windy Wariki <wwariki@gmail.com>

Fri, Jul 8, 2016 at 8:51 AM

Windy-sensei,

Thank you for your reply.

As Ota sensei mentioned I wish you would be
the first author to finalize this review
(if you do not mind let me be the equal contributor)

If you need any assistance please let me know.

Best,

Koji Wada

..... ☆

Koji Wada MD MSc PhD

Medical Officer

Bureau of International Health Cooperation

National Center for Global Health and Medicine (NCGM)

Address) 1-21-1 Toyama, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo, 162-8655, Japan

Tel)+81-(0)3-6228-0327 +81-(0)3-6228-0327 ext. 5230 or 2729

Fax)+81-(0)3-3205-7860

E-mail) k-wada@it.ncgm.go.jp

[Quoted text hidden]

Koji Wada <kwada-sgy@umin.ac.jp>
To: Windy Wariki <wwariki@gmail.com>, Erika Ota <otaerika@gmail.com>
Cc: Koji Wada <kwada-sgy@umin.ac.jp>, Shuhei Nomura <nom3.shu@gmail.com>

Fri, Jul 8, 2016 at 8:58 AM

Dear Wariki-san

Thank you for your mail.

The comment no1 was what I have also had a problem.

These two questions are totally different topic.

I was also wondering if we could make them separate...

I do not know if we need to talk with editors....

Best,

Koji Wada

1. Logic Framework – We discussed the draft model suggested by DS. This is only a draft and needs further work from the authors. However, this diagram demonstrated one important factor which should influence the whole structure of the review: There are two target groups for interventions to reduce stigma:

- a. People without HIV (the general population and sub-populations such as children, health workers etc) – e.g. Educational interventions might aim to improve knowledge about HIV and change attitudes towards people with HIV
- b. People with HIV – e.g. Interventions might aim to reduce the consequence of stigma, or improve a persons ability to cope with it.

These are two different questions, and the review currently includes both.

From: Windy Wariki [mailto:wwariki@gmail.com]

Sent: Friday, July 08, 2016 8:27 AM

To: Erika Ota <otaerika@gmail.com>

Cc: Koji Wada <kwada-sgy@umin.ac.jp>; Shuheii Nomura <nom3.shu@gmail.com>

[Quoted text hidden]

[Quoted text hidden]

Erika Ota <otaerika@gmail.com>

To: Windy Wariki <wwariki@gmail.com>, Koji Wada <kwada-sgy@umin.ac.jp>

Mon, Jul 11, 2016 at 9:43 AM

Dear Windy

This is example revised manuscript in confidence.
Stigma review, you can check in now.

Best wishes
Erika

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Anne-Marie Stephani** <Anne-Marie.Stephani@lstmed.ac.uk>

Date: 2016-07-08 18:39 GMT+09:00

Subject: RE: Interventions for reducing stigma an discrimination towards people with HIV

To: Erika Ota <otaerika@gmail.com>, David Sinclair <David.Sinclair@lstmed.ac.uk>

Cc: Philomena Hinds <Philomena.Hinds@lstmed.ac.uk>

Dear Erika,

I have unlocked the review for you and the latest version of the psychosocial review is attached here. Please note that the psychosocial review is currently with peer referees and so may be subject to change before publication. As it is a draft review, it is also sent in confidence and we trust that you will not share the review outside of the authorship team.

Best wishes

Anne-Marie

From: Erika Ota [mailto:otaerika@gmail.com]

Sent: 07 July 2016 16:10

To: David Sinclair <David.Sinclair@lstmed.ac.uk>

Cc: Philomena Hinds <Philomena.Hinds@lstmed.ac.uk>; Anne-Marie Stephani <Anne-Marie.Stephani@lstmed.ac.uk>; Paul Garner <Paul.Garner@lstmed.ac.uk>

Subject: Re: Interventions for reducing stigma an discrimination towards people with HIV

Dear David

Thank you for the summary of our telephone meeting.

Also Thank you for the chance to revise for stigma review.

We will revise according to your comments and get back to you soon.

We would like to ask you 2 things.

1. Could you change the status of stigma review as authoring, now it is editorial phase. So we can check in and work on the revision.
2. Could you send me the example of "Psychosocial group interventions for improving psychological wellbeing in adults living with HIV" draft. We can follow the way. I could not see the draft from my Archie account.

Best wishes

Erika

[Quoted text hidden]



Psychosocial group interventions for improving psychological wellbeing in adults living with HIV.pdf

1261K

Windy Wariki <wwariki@gmail.com>

To: Koji Wada <kwada-sgy@umin.ac.jp>

Mon, Jul 11, 2016 at 2:13 PM

Dear Wada Sensei,

My apologize for being late in reply. I just currently return back from the field with limited access to internet.

Yes, Ota Sensei contact me to revise the draft of stigma review. I am happy to do it, if you are have less time for revising.

About the authorship, of course you can still become the first author, because I think your contribution is more.

Sure, I will contact you when I need your help.

Thank you very much for your kindness.

Sincerely yours,

Windy Wariki

[Quoted text hidden]

Koji Wada <kwada-sgy@umin.ac.jp>

Mon, Jul 11, 2016 at 2:40 PM

To: Windy Wariki <wwariki@gmail.com>

Dear Wariki sensei,

Thank you so much..

I am currently fully occupied by my project in Viet Nam.

Regards,

Koji

[Quoted text hidden]

Windy Wariki <wwariki@gmail.com>

Mon, Jul 11, 2016 at 5:05 PM

To: Erika Ota <otaerika@gmail.com>

Cc: Koji Wada <kwada-sgy@umin.ac.jp>

Dear Ota Sensei,

Thank you very much for the information.

I have a look at the Archie, and I found the new write phase of stigma review as authoring, so we can access through RevMan. And I have also briefly read the Psychosocial group interventions, and quite understand what is desired by editor for stigma review.

However, I need the full paper of 12 included studies for improving the review. If the papers are in you, could you please share with me?

Thank you

Sincerely,

Windy Wariki

[Quoted text hidden]

Koji Wada <kwada-sgy@umin.ac.jp>

Mon, Jul 11, 2016 at 9:02 PM

To: Windy Wariki <wwariki@gmail.com>, Erika Ota <otaerika@gmail.com>

Dear Windy sensei,

I attached these manuscripts

Best,

Koji

From: Windy Wariki [mailto:wwariki@gmail.com]

Sent: Monday, July 11, 2016 6:05 PM

To: Erika Ota <otaerika@gmail.com>

Cc: Koji Wada <kwada-sgy@umin.ac.jp>

[Quoted text hidden]

[Quoted text hidden]

4 attachments



Barroso2014.pdf
350K



Bhana 2013.pdf
413K



Bhat 2013.pdf
273K



Gill1993.pdf
538K