Subject: Plant Pathology - Decision on Manuscript ID PP-14-348



Matthew.Dickinson@nottingham.ac.uk <Matthew.Dickinson@nottingham.ac.uk> to Edward Liew

You are viewing an attached message. UNSRAT Mail can't verify the authenticity of attached messages.

07-Nov-2014

Dear Dr. Liew,

I am pleased to accept this manuscript following minor revision as outlined below.

Revise your manuscript using a word processing program and save it on your computer. Please also highlight the chang referee(s) and the editor. In order to expedite the processing of the revised manuscript, please be as specific as possible

It is very important that you refer to our online guidelines for electronic artwork available at http://authorservices.wiley.com

Once the revised manuscript is prepared, you can upload it and submit it through your Author Centre. To do this, log into Revision." Your original manuscript number will be appended to denote a revision (.R1).

IMPORTANT: Your original files are available to you when you upload your revised manuscript. Please delete any redun

Because we are trying to facilitate timely publication of manuscripts submitted to Plant Pathology, your revised manuscript

Once again, thank you for submitting your manuscript to Plant Pathology and I look forward to receiving your revision.

Yours sincerely,

1. 1.

Professor Matt Dickinson Senior Editor, Plant Pathology Matthew.Dickinson@nottingham.ac.uk

Referee: 1

Comments to the Author I found this to be a clear presentation of what appears to have been a well-conceived and executed study. I recommend t

L 43 If this assertion is to be defended, a different reference is needed. Skorgaard et al do not even mention managemen of evolutionary origins of a pathogen has had an impact on breeding for resistance or other approaches to management,

L 52 This is not really true. Formae speciales are defined based on host range, with no expectation of a close relationship

L 80 Delete "more than"

L 180 Substitute "speciales" for "species"

L 208 correct the spelling of rejecting

USING e-ANNOTATION TOOLS FOR ELECTRONIC PROOF CORRECTION

WILEY

Once you have Acrobat Reader open on your computer, click on the Comment tab at the right of the toolbar:



1. Replace (Ins) Tool – for replacing text.

Strikes a line through text and opens up a text box where replacement text can be entered.

How to use it

Ŧ

- Highlight a word or sentence.
- Click on the Replace (Ins) icon in the Annotations section.
- Type the replacement text into the blue box that appears.

idard framework for the analysis of m

ic). Itereference		eneg
ble of strateg	<u> </u>	n f
aborofoomr	🗩 🕻 dthreshe	
inter of comp	08/06/2011 15:58:17	O
: is that the st	, which led	of
nain compo	·	b
lanal and and		
level, are exc		
important w	OIRS ON CHUY BY	- ir
Mhencefort	h) ¹ we open the 'h	lack h

3. Add note to text Tool – for highlighting a section to be changed to bold or italic.



Highlights text in yellow and opens up a text box where comments can be entered.

How to use it

- Highlight the relevant section of text.
- Click on the Add note to text icon in the Annotations section.
- Type instruction on what should be changed regarding the text into the yellow box that appears.

namic responses of mark ups ent with the **VAR** evidence

satior	♥ * dthreshe 08/06/2011 15:21:28	ith
y Ma		ell
and		ed
on n		ber
to a		on
stent	also with the demai	nd-

2. Strikethrough (Del) Tool – for deleting text.



How to use it

- Highlight a word or sentence.
- Click on the Strikethrough (Del) icon in the Annotations section.

there is no room for extra profits and c ups are zero and the number of (et) values are not determined by Blanchard and Kiyotaki (1987), erfect competition in general equilibries ts of aggregate demand and supply classical framework assuming monop een an exogenous number of firms

4. Add sticky note Tool – for making notes at specific points in the text.
Marks a point in the proof where a comment needs to be highlighted.
How to use it
Click on the Add sticky note icon in the Annotations section.
Click at the point in the proof where the comment should be inserted.
Type the comment into the yellow box that appears.

тапи апи ѕиррту впоскъ. мозгот

alamir	<u> </u>	+
appunn	🖻 * dthreshe	U
numbe	08/06/2011 15:18:08	ff
dard fr	51	S
cy. Nev)	(
ole of sti	Х	N
ber of e	ompentors and the mi	р
is that t	he structure of the sect	0

USING e-ANNOTATION TOOLS FOR ELECTRONIC PROOF CORRECTION





Phylogeny and origin of *Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. *vanillae* in [,2] Indonesia

3 A. G. Pinaria^a, M. H. Laurence^b, L. W. Burgess^c and E. C. Y. Liew^{b*}

^a Fakultas Pertanian, Universitas Sam Ratulangi, Kampus UNSRAT, Manado 95115, Indonesia; ^bThe Royal Botanic Gardens and Domain Trust, Mrs Macquaries Road, Sydney, NSW 2000, and ^cFaculty of Agriculture and Environment, The University of Sydney, Australian Technology Park, Eveleigh, NSW 2015, Australia

Vanilla stem rot, caused by *Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. *vanillae* (Fov), is the main constraint to increasing vanilla production in the major vanilla-producing countries, including Indonesia. The current study investigated the origin of Fov in Indonesia using a multigene phylogenetic approach. Nineteen Fov isolates were selected to represent Indonesia, the Comoros, Mexico and Réunion Island. The translation elongation factor 1 alpha gene and the mitochondrial small subunit ribosomal RNA gene phylogenetic resolved the Fov isolates into three distinct clades in both phylogenetic species of the *F. oxysporum* species complex, indicating a polyphyletic pattern of evolution. In addition, Fov isolates from Indonesia were also polyphyletic. These results suggest that the vanilla stem rot pathogen in Indonesia has a complex origin. The implications for disease management are discussed.

Keywords: introduction, pathogen evolution, spread, vanilla stem rot, wilt

Introduction

Vanilla stem rot, caused by *Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. *vanillae* (Fov; Tombe *et al.*, 1994), is the main production constraint in major vanilla-producing countries, including Indonesia (Thomas *et al.*, 2002). The pathogen has been recovered from all production areas in Indonesia (Pinaria *et al.*, 2010), causing devastating losses to smallholder farmers whose livelihood relies heavily on income generated from this high value crop. Management of diseases caused by *F. oxysporum* relies predominantly on host resistance (Fravel *et al.*, 2003), but to date all of the limited *Vanilla planifolia* cultivars available in Indonesia are highly susceptible to this pathogen. Although Fov is prevalent in most production regions worldwide, there is no information on the origins and evolutionary patterns of this pathogen.

No sexual structure has ever been observed in the *F. oxysporum* species complex (FOSC; Booth, 1971). This fungus is hence believed to transmit its whole genome as a unit from one generation to the next, with different parts of the genome sharing the same evolutionary history (Taylor *et al.*, 1999b). Isolates within a *forma specialis* were traditionally predicted to be genetically similar and separate from those with different host specificities. It was therefore thought that gene genealogies could be used to trace the evolution of phenotypic characters such as host specificity within this species complex (O'Donnel (1998) revealed that *F. oxysporum* f.sp. *cubense* evolved from multiple independent origins, i.e.

the host specificity to banana has a polyphyletic origin. There are now numerous evolutionary studies on pathogenic strains within the FOSC. Although there are examples of monophyletic formae speciales, e.g. lillii, tulipae and ciceris (Baayen et al., 2000; Jimenez-Gasco et al., 2002) the majority are not, e.g. asparagi, dianthi, glad *li, lini* (Baayen et al., 2000), vasinfectum (Skovgaa 2001), canariensis (Laurence et al., 2015) and cucumeri*sum* (Lievens et al., 2007).

Previous Fov population studies in Indonesia revealed the presence of multiple vegetative compatibility groups (VCG; Tombe *et al.*, 1994; Pinaria, 2010) and a number of distinct fingerprinting haplotypes. As VCG analysis can be used to infer evolutionary origin (Elias *et al.*, 1993), these findings suggest that the origin of Fov in Indonesia may be complex, with the possibility of a nonmonophyletic pattern of evolution.

The knowledge of whether Fov was introduced into Indonesia and/or evolved locally has practical disease management implications. At present most planting materials in vanilla-producing areas in Indonesia are based on clonal propagation sourced from established farms or nurseries, many of which have varying levels of disease incidence, hence facilitating the spread of the pathogen over large distances. However, these production areas are often geographically disparate island provinces, a scenario in which the control of pathogen spread can potentially be achieved to a great extent.

With an aim of elucidating the origin of Fov in Indonesia, this study was designed to test two hypotheses: (i) that Fov isolates obtained from Indonesia and overseas including Mexico, where the host vanilla originated, form a monophyletic evolutionary lineage; and (ii) that the Indonesian Fov isolates form a monophyletic lineage.

^{*}E-mail: edward.liew@rbgsyd.nsw.gov.au

Evolutionary inferences were made by constructing gene genealogies from partial DNA sequences of the translation elongation factor $(EF-1\alpha)$ and the mitochondrial small subunit ribosomal RNA genes (*mtSSU*).

Materials and methods

Fungal isolates

Nineteen Fov isolates, representative of different geographical regions, were used in this study (Table 1). These isolates were isolated from vanilla stems showing typical stem rot symptoms, including water-soaking, chlorosis, internal discolouration and necrosis. The purification and identification of isolates were undertaken as described by Burgess *et al.* (1994). The overseas isolates were recovered from diseased stems from the Comoros and La Réunion, kindly provided by Dr Michel Grisoni (CIRAD, La Réunion, France), and from Mexico, supplied by Dr Araceli Perez Silva (Instituto Tecnologico de Tuxtepec, Mexico). Cultures of the 19 isolates are maintained in the culture collection of the Royal Botanic Gardens, Sydney, Australia.

Pathogenicity test

The pathogenicity of the 19 Fov isolates was tested on vanilla stems to rule out the possibility of endophytic and nonpathogenic isolates. The glasshouse tests were conducted with three replications for each isolate. The inoculum was generated by incorporating colonized millet seed into sterile potting mix. A control mix was made by adding 10 mL sterile deionized water only to the millet seed. Details of the pathogenicity test and disease assessment were as previously described by Pinaria *et al.* (2010).

DNA extraction, PCR amplification and DNA sequencing

The Fov cultures were grown for 10 days on potato dextrose agar at 25° C under a combination of fluorescent white and ultraviolet light, and DNA was extracted as described by Pinaria *et al.* (2010).

Two gene regions, the translation $EF-1\alpha$ and the *mtSSU*, were sequenced. The $EF-1\alpha$ was amplified using primers EF-1 and EF-2 (Carbone & Kohn, 1999). PCR amplification, purification of PCR product and DNA sequencing of the $EF-1\alpha$ gene were carried out as described by Pinaria *et al.* (2010).

The *mtSSU* gene region was amplified using primers MS 1 and MS 2 (White *et al.*, 1990). PCR amplification, product purification and DNA sequencing of the *mtSSU* were conducted as per the *EF*-1 α gene region. The purified sequencing product was sent to the DNA sequencing facility at the Ramaciotti Centre for gene function analysis at the University of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia.

Phylogenetic analysis

The *EF*-1 α and the *mtSSU* sequences were aligned with reference sequences of the FOSC obtained from GenBank using the multiple alignment program CLUSTALW (v. 1.83) plug-in (Thompson *et al.*, 1997) in the software GENEIOUS v. 5.3.6 (Kearse *et al.*, 2012). The alignment was edited manually using GENEIOUS v. 5.3.6 and all polymorphisms were confirmed by re-examining

the electropherograms. Twenty-four reference sequences downloaded from GenBank were selected based on previous published phylogenetic trees by Baayen *et al.* (2000) and O'Donnell *et al.* (1998) and are listed in Table 1. Sequences generated in this study were deposited in GenBank with accession numbers also listed in Table 1.

Unweighted parsimony and neighbour-joining analyses were conducted using PAUP v. 4.0b10 (Swofford, 2002) on the individual and combined $EF-1\alpha$ and mtSSU data sets. Heuristic searches for maximum parsimony trees were conducted with 1000 random addition sequences and tree bisection reconnection branch swapping algorithm. Gaps were treated as missing data. Trees were rooted using the out-group method. Two Fusarium spp. (NRRL 22903 and 25184) used by O'Donnell et al. (1998) were used as the out-group for rooting gene trees. The partitionhomogeneity test (PHT) implemented within PAUP was used to evaluate concordance of the two gene data sets. The consistency index (CI) and retention index (RI) were calculated for each tree to evaluate the amount of homoplasy present. Bootstrap analysis implemented with PAUP was assessed using 1000 random replications for all trees, with bootstrap values of ≥ 50 indicating clade stability.

The Shimodaira–Hasewaga (SH) test was used for constraint testing under maximum likelihood criteria on the combined $EF-1\alpha$ and *mtSSU* data set. Monophyly of the global and the Indonesian population of Fov were used as the SH test constraints. Monophyly was rejected if the constrained tree (monophyletic) log likelihood score was significantly different from unconstrained topology with 95% confidence level (P < 0.05). All SH testing was implemented in PAUP v. 4.0b10.

Results

Pathogenicity test

The first sign of discolouration was observed 7 days after inoculation. All 19 Fov isolates induced necrosis and discolouration symptoms. Five isolates (2, 155, 176, 424 and 397) caused symptoms of discolouration in two replicates, whereas the remaining isolates produced symptoms in all three replicates. No symptoms were detected in the negative control at the completion of the pathogenicity test (60 days). The pathogen was successfully re-isolated from each isolate tested.

Phylogenetic analysis

The *EF*-1 α gene data set consisted of 656 nucleotide characters, of which 36 (5.5%) were parsimony informative. Parsimony analysis generated 26 most parsimonious trees (MPT) of 83 steps with three distinct clades (CI = 0.96, RI = 0.98; Fig. 1). Clade I included one isolate of Fov (from Indonesia) and five isolates from other *formae speciales* (NRRL 25603, 26038, 26035, 26029 and 22550) with strong bootstrap support (90%). Clade II consisted of two isolates of Fov (both from Indonesia) and 10 isolates from other *formae speciales* (NRRL 26178, 28356, 25609, 26961, 25420, 25598, 28923, 28928, 28401 and 25607) with bootstrap support of 61%. Clade III grouped together 16 isolates of Fov (all overseas and remaining Indonesian isolates) and seven

Table 1 Sources and accessions of *Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. vanilla isolates used in the study and GenBank and fungal herbarium accessions of reference strains

F. oxysporum forma specialis	Fungal herbarium accession ^a	Study accession	GenBank accession			
			mtSSU rDNA	EF-1α	Country of origin	
F. oxysporum f.sp. batatas	NRRL 26409 ^b		AF008450	AF008484		
F. oxysporum f.sp. canariensis	NRRL 26035 ^b		AF008451	AF008485		
F. oxysporum f.sp. cubense	NRRL 25603 ^b		AF008453	AF008487		
	NRRL 25607 ^b		AF008455	AF008489		
	NRRL 25609 ^b		AF008456	AF008490		
	NRRL 26029 ^b		AF008459	AF008493		
	NRRL 26038 ^b		AF008460	AF008494		
F. oxysporum f.sp. dianthi	NRRL 26961°		AF250568	AF246840		
	NRRL 28356 ^c		AF250582	AF246854		
	NRRL 28401 ^c		AF250587	AF246859		
F. oxysporum f.sp. gladioli	NRRL 26989 ^c		AF250575	AF246847		
	NRRL 26992°		AF250573	AF246845		
F. oxysporum f.sp. glycines	NRRL 25598 ^b		AF008462	AF008496		
F. oxysporum f.sp. lini	NRRL 28923°		AF250604	AF246876		
	NRRL 28928°		AF250605	AF246877		
F. oxysporum f.sp. melonis	NRRL 26178 ^b		AF008469	AF008503		
F. oxysporum f.sp. opuntiarum	NRRL 28368 ^c		AF250599	AF246871		
	NRRL 28279 ^c		AF250564	AF246836		
F. oxysporum f.sp. passiflorae	NRRL 22549 ^b		AF008471	AF008505		
F. oxysporum f.sp. perniciosum	NRRL 22550 ^b		AF008472	AF008506		
F. oxysporum f.sp. tulipae	NRRL 26954 ^b		AF250566	AF246838		
F. oxysporum f.sp. vanillae	RBG 5370	2	KM102470	KM115168	Indonesia (North Sulawesi)	
	RBG 5391	57	KM102475	KM115183	Indonesia (North Sulawesi)	
	RBG 5371	77	KM102477	KM115184	Indonesia (West Java)	
	RBG 5373	102	KM102486	KM115171	Indonesia (West Java)	
	RBG 5392	104	KM102488	KM115173	Indonesia (West Java)	
	RBG 5393	149	KM102484	KM115174	Indonesia (Jogjakarta)	
	RBG 5375	155	KM102471	KM115169	Indonesia (West Nusatenggara)	
	RBG 5394	173	KM102483	KM115181	Indonesia (West Nusatenggara	
	RBG 5376	176	KM102472	KM115170	Indonesia (West Nusatenggara)	
	RBG 5382	331	KM102474	KM115182	Indonesia (Lampung)	
	RBG 5395	397	KM102481	KM115186	Réunion	
	RBG 5396	401	KM102478	KM115175	Réunion	
	RBG 5397	408	KM102473	KM115177	Réunion	
	RBG 5398	410	KM102480	KM115178	Réunion	
	RBG 5399	424	KM102479	KM115172	Comoros	
	RBG 5400	434	KM102476	KM115179	Mexico	
	RBG 5401	439	KM102487	KM115180	Mexico	
	RBG 5402	441	KM102482	KM115185	Mexico	
	RBG 5403	442	KM102485	KM115176	Mexico	
F. oxysporum f.sp. vasinvectum	NRRL 22550 ^b		AF008478	AF008512		
Fusarium sp.	NRRL 22903 ^b		U34509	AF008513		
Fusarium sp.	NRRL 25184 ^b		U61608	AF008514		

^aNRRL: United States Department of Agriculture Northern Regional Research Laboratory (also American Research Service, ARS) culture collection; RBG: Royal Botanic Gardens Sydney culture collection.

^bO'Donnell *et al.* (1998).

^cBaayen *et al.* (2000).

from other *formae speciales* (NRRL 22549, 26409, 26989, 26992, 28368, 28279 and 26954) with bootstrap support of 62%.

had relatively high bootstrap support with clustering of taxa as per the $EF-1\alpha$ tree.

Analysis of the *mtSSU* gene data set resulted in 695 nucleotide characters, of which 23 (3.3%) were parsimony informative. Parsimony analysis generated 100 MPTs of 52 steps, also with three distinct clades (CI = 0.87, RI = 0.95; Fig. 2). Again, the three clades Results of the PHT (P = 0.15) suggested that the *EF-1* α gene tree and the *mtSSU* gene tree generated the same underlying phylogeny. The two gene regions were then combined for further phylogenetic analysis. No major topological variations were found between trees generated by neighbour-joining and unweighted



Figure 1 One of 26 most parsimonious trees generated from the *EF*-1α gene rooted by out-group method. NRRL 25184 and 22903 *Fusarium* sp. were used as the out-group. Bootstrap values are indicated above nodes. Isolates beginning with NRRL are reference strains obtained from GenBank. *Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. *vanillae* isolates are marked with numbers followed by f.sp. *vanillae*, and capital letters indicate the origin of the isolates (C, Comoros; I, Indonesia; R, Réunion Island; M, Mexico). Clade designation is according to O'Donnell *et al.* (1998). The Phylogenetic Species boundary *sensu* Laurence *et al.* (2014) is indicated (PS1 and PS2).

parsimony on the combined gene data set (data not shown). For the parsimony analysis, the combined $EF-1\alpha$ and *mtSSU* gene data set consisted of 1351 nucleotide characters, 57 (4.2%) of which were parsimony informative. Parsimony analysis of the combined data set yielded 99 MPT of 134 steps (CI = 0.93, RI = 0.97) with three distinct clades (Fig. 3).

Overall bootstrap support increased when characters from the two gene regions were combined, in particular values on the nodes separating the three distinct clades (Fig. 3). The combined gene data set tree shows that isolates of Fov from Indonesia are scattered across the different clades. In Clade III, the Indonesian isolates are clustered with isolates from the Comoros, Mexico and Réunion Island, whereas Clade I and II consist of Indonesian isolates alone. The combined gene tree also reveals that Fov isolates from Indonesia in Clade III (102, 104 and 149) are identical with one isolate from Réunion Island (401) and one from Mexico (442). The SH test confirmed the topology observation, rejecting the monophyly of both the global and Indonesian Fov populations (P < 0.05).

Discussion

Phylogenies inferred from the $EF-1\alpha$, mtSSU and combined data sets clearly showed that isolates of Fov resolved into three distinct clades in both phylogenetic species (*sensu* Laurence *et al.*, 2014), suggesting the pathogen of vanilla stem rot has not evolved from a recent common ancestor. This finding revealed that Fov is polyphyletic, consisting of at least three distinct lineages. The first hypothesis that isolates of Fov obtained from Indonesia and overseas form a monophyletic evolutionary lineage is rejected.

The three clades within the FOSC were first documented by O'Donnell *et al.* (1998). It was assumed, without clear scientific justification, that isolates within a

4



Figure 2 One of 100 most parsimonious trees generated from the *mtSSU* gene rooted by out-group method. NRRL 25184 and 22903 *Fusarium* sp. were used as the out-group. Bootstrap values are indicated above nodes. Isolates beginning with NRRL are reference strains obtained from GenBank. *Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. *vanillae* isolates are marked with numbers followed by f.sp. *vanillae*, and capital letters indicate the origin of the isolates (C, Comoros; I, Indonesia; R, Réunion Island; M, Mexico). Clade designation is according to O'Donnell *et al.* (1998). The Phylogenetic Species boundary *sensu* Laurence *et al.* (2014) is indicated (PS1 and PS2).

forma specialis were genetically more similar than isolates with different host specificities, and likely to have a common origin (Kistler, 1997). Many formae speciales have now been shown not to be so (e.g. O'Donnell et al., 1998; Baayen et al., 2000; Skovgaard et al., 2001; Jimenez-Gasco et al., 2002; Mbofung et al., 2007; Wunsch et al., 2009). Formae speciales with a polyphyletic origin tend to have at least two or more VCGs (Baayen et al., 2000). Similar to this finding, at least four different VCGs were found within the Indonesian Fov isolates (Pinaria, 2010).

On the basis of the evidence that Fov isolates are scattered across the three different lineages, the issue as to the origin of the pathogen in Indonesia is not a simple one, raising the question of how pathogenicity to the same host evolved. Covert (1998) proposed that horizontal (vegetative) spread of dispensable chromosomes carrying pathogenicity genes or gene clusters across evolutionary distinct lineage could shed light on that question. Indeed, Ma *et al.* (2010) demonstrated horizontal gene transfer (HGT) *in vitro* of one such chromosome from a pathogenic strain, *F. oxysporum* f.sp. *lycopersici*, to a nonpathogenic strain, giving the latter the ability to cause the same symptoms on tomato as the pathogenic strain. This chromosome is now understood to contain effector genes that confer cysteine-rich proteins found in xylem sap during infection, designated Secreted In Xylem (*SIX*; Rep *et al.*, 2002, 2004; Rep, 2005; De Wit *et al.*, 2009). Furthermore, HGT is the **G** most probable explanation of the incongruence between the *EF*-1 α and *SIX* gene phylogenies in the *forma specialis canariensis* at the population level and is probably widespread within the FOSC (Laurence *et al.*, 2015).

The 16 isolates of Fov in Clade III represent not only isolates from Indonesia but all other geographic origins included in the investigation, suggesting a common ancestor for these isolates. Clades I and II contain the remaining three Indonesian isolates with no overseas



Figure 3 One of 99 most parsimonious trees generated from the combined *EF*-1α and *mtSSU* data sets and rooted by out-group method. NRRL 25184 and 22903 *Fusarium* sp. were used as the out-group. Bootstrap values are indicated above nodes. Isolates beginning with NRRL are reference strains obtained from GenBank. *Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. *vanillae* isolates are marked with numbers followed by f.sp. *vanillae*, and capital letters indicate the origin of the isolates (C, Comoros; I, Indonesia; R, Réunion Island; M, Mexico). Clade designation is according to O'Donnell *et al.* (1998). The Phylogenetic Species boundary *sensu* Laurence *et al.* (2014) is indicated (PS1 and PS2).

isolates. These findings suggest that there are multiple possibilities for the origin of Fov in Indonesia. One origin is most likely introduction from overseas, while the second origin is either a separate introduction or local evolution, combined with perhaps the horizontal transfer of pathogenicity elements.

The host V. *planifolia* is thought to have originated in Central America. Given that many important plant pathogens are believed to have co-evolved with their host (Fetch *et al.*, 2003), Central America is a possible source of Fov in Indonesia. It is also well understood that human activities play a significant role in contributing to the global migration of pathogens through commerce, especially via the movement of host germplasm. Vanilla was introduced from Mexico into Europe around 1510 by Dr Fransisco Hernandez (Webster, 1995), which was

then sent to Indonesia from Antwerp to Buitenzorg (now Bogor), Java in 1819 (Weis, 2002). Although vanilla is a relatively new crop in Indonesia, the high global demand since its introduction has been a driving force for the rapid expansion of vanilla cultivation throughout the country via vegetative propagation. In the absence of enforceable regulations on plant movement and inspections, such dissemination of planting material could potentially be spreading plant pathogens including Fov. There have been many reports of F. oxysporum being an endophytic fungus (e.g. Leslie et al., 1990; Kuldau & Yates, 2000; Vu et al., 2006; Athman et al., 2007; Wang et al., 2007) and Fov is no exception. It has been isolated as an endophyte from vanilla stems without any external or internal symptoms, yet such an endophytic isolate was shown to be pathogenic in greenhouse trials (Liew

6

et al., 2008) and genetically ide to pathogenic isolates (authors' unpublished data).

An interesting finding in this investigation is the polyphyly of Foy isolates obtained from Indonesia and that some of these isolates could have evolved from indigenous sources. These isolates are not opportunistic endophytes co-isolated from diseased tissue but rather have been shown to be true pathogens of vanilla. It is speculated that the pathogen may be derived from indigenous nonpathogenic strains having acquired the pathogenicity elements from an introduced pathogenic strain via HGT. The concept of the pathogen of an introduced crop having an indigenous origin is not novel. It has been shown in the cotton wilt pathogen, F. oxysporum f.sp. vasinfectum, in Australia (Davis, 1996; Wang et al., 2004; Kim et al., 2005). More recently Laurence et al. (2014) demonstrated evidence for the HGT of SIX genes in F. oxysporum f.sp. canariensis, which have also been shown to be polyphyletic.

In summary, the vanilla stem rot pathogen in Indonesia appears to have been derived from multiple origins, whereby introduction from overseas is one probable scenario, although a separate indigenous derivation or introduction from the surrounding region cannot be ruled out. This has important implications for further investigations as well as disease management. While work is on-going in obtaining a more representative and comprehensive collection of Fov isolates throughout the various vanilla-growing regions in Indonesia to further understand the diversity within this polyphyletic population, quarantine measures should be in place. These measures should not only include screening of germplasm introduced from overseas but should also consider inter-regional transfer of planting materials. Acknowledging the challenge of implementing internal quarantine, education on hygiene measures in disease management should be stepped up. Current and future work on screening for host resistance should include representatives of genetically disparate isolates. Investigations into the presence and nature of SIX genes should also be conducted.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank the Australian Centre for International Agricultural Research (ACIAR) and the Royal Botanic Gardens and Domain Trust for sponsoring this research.

References

- Athman SY, Dubois T, Coyne D, Gold CS, Labuschagne N, Viljoen A, 2007. Effect of endophytic *Fusarium oxysporum* on root penetration and reproduction of *Radopholus similis* in tissue culture-derived banana (*Musa* spp.) plants. *Nematology* 9, 599–607.
- Baayen RP, O'Donnell K, Bonants PJM et al., 2000. Gene genealogies and AFLP analyses in the Fusarium oxysporum complex identify monophyletic and nonmonophyletic formae speciales causing wilt and rot disease. Phytopathology 90, 891–900.
- Booth C, 1971. *The Genus Fusarium*. Kew, UK: Commonwealth Mycological Institute.

- Burgess LW, Summerell BA, Bullock S, Gott KP, Backhouse D, 1994. Laboratory Manual for Fusarium Research. 3rd edn. Sydney, Australia: University of Sydney.
- Carbone I, Kohn LM, 1999. A method for designing primer sets for speciation studies in filamentous ascomycetes. *Mycologia* 91, 553-6.
- Covert SF, 1998. Supernumerary chromosomes in filamentous fungi. *Current Genetics* 33, 311–9.
- Davis RD, 1996. Local and regional variation in populations of *Fusarium oxysporum* from agricultural field soils. *Australian Journal of Agricultural Research* **47**, 1143–56.
- Erras KS, Zamir D, Lichtman-Pleban T, Katan T, 1993. Population structure of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *lycopersici*: restriction fragment length polymorphisms provide genetic evidence that vegetative compatibility group is an indicator of evolutionary origin. *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions* 6, 565–72.
- Fetch TGJ, Steffenson BJ, Nevo E, 2003. Diversity and source of multiple disease resistance in *Hordeum spontaneum*. Plant Disease 87, 1439–48.
- Fravel D, Olivain C, Alabouvette C, 2003. Fusarium oxysporum and its biocontrol. New Phytologist 157, 493–502.
- Jimenez-Gasco MM, Milgroom MM, Jimenez-Diaz RM, 2002. Gene genealogies support *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. ciceris as a monophyletic group. *Plant Pathology* 51, 72–7.
- Kearse M, Moir R, Wilson A et al., 2012. Geneious Basic: an integrated and extendable desktop software platform for the organization and analysis of sequence data. Bioinformatics 28, 1647–9.
- Kim Y, Hutmacher RB, Davis RM, 2005. Characterization of California isolates of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp *vasinfectum*. *Plant Disease* 89, 366–72.
- Kistler HC, 1997. Genetic diversity in the plant-pathogenic fungus *Fusarium oxysporum. Phytopathology* 87, 474–9.
- Kuldau GA, Yates IE, 2000. Evidence for *Fusarium* endophytes in cultivated and wild plants. In: Bacon CW, White JF, eds. *Microbial Endophytes*. New York, NY, USA: Marcel Dekker, 85–117.
- Laurence MH, Summerell BA, Burgess LW, Liew ECY, 2014. Genealogical concordance phylogenetic species recognition in the *Fusarium oxysporum* species complex. *Fungal Diversity* **118**, 374–84.
- Laurence MH, Summerell BA, Liew ECY, 2015. *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *canariensis* from Australia: evidence for the horizontal gene transfer of putative pathogenicity genes. *Plant Pathology*. doi:
- 10.1111/ppa.12350. Leslie JF, Pearson CAS, Nelson PE, Toussoun TA, 1990. *Fusarium* species from corn, sorghum and soybean fields in the central and eastern United States. *Phytopathology* **80**, 343–50.
- Lievens B, Claes L, Vakalounakis DJ, Vanachter ACRC, Thomma BPHJ, 2007. A robust identification and detection assay to discriminate the cucumber pathogens *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *cucumericum* and f. sp. *radices-cucumerinum*. *Environmental Microbiology* 9, 2145–61.
- Liew ECY, Pinaria A, Rondonuwu F, Paath J, Sembel DT, Burgess LW, 2008. Vanilla stem rot pathogen can survive as an endophyte within healthy vines. *Journal of Plant Pathology* **90** (Suppl. 2), S414.
- Ma LJ, van der Does HC, Borkovich KA *et al.*, 2010. Comparative genomics reveals mobile pathogenicity chromosomes in *Fusarium*. *Nature* 464, 367–73.
- Mbofung GY, Hong SG, Pryor BM, 2007. Phylogeny of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *lactucae* inferred from mitochondrial small subunit, elongation factor 1-α, and nuclear ribosomal intergenic spacer sequence data. *Phytopathology* **97**, 87–98.
- O'Donnell K, Kistler HC, Cigelnik E, Ploetz RC, 1998. Multiple evolutionary origins of the fungus causing Panama disease of banana: concordant evidence from nuclear and mitochondrial gene genealogies. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States* of America 95, 2044–9.
- Pinaria AG, 2010. Vanilla Stem Rot in Indonesia: Pathogen Diversity, Population Structure and Origin. Sydney, Australia: University of Sydney, PhD thesis.
- Pinaria AG, Liew ECY, Burgess LW, 2010. Fusarium species associated with vanilla stem rot in Indonesia. Australasian Plant Pathology 39, 176–83.

- Rep M, 2005. Small proteins of plant-pathogenic fungi secreted during host colonization. FEMS Microbiology Letters 253, 19–27.
- Rep M, Dekker HL, Vossen JH et al., 2002. Mass spectrometric identification of isoforms of PR proteins in xylem sap of fungusinfected tomato. Plant Physiology 130, 904–17.
- Rep M, van der Does HC, Meijer M et al., 2004. A small, cysteine-rich protein secreted by Fusarium oxysporum during colonization of xylem vessels is required for I-3-mediated resistance in tomato. Molecular Microbiology 53, 1373–83.
- Skovgaard K, Nirenberg HI, O'Donnell K, Rosendahl S, 2001. Evolution of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. vasinfectum races inferred from multigene genealogies. *Phytopathology* 91, 1231–7.
- Swofford DL, 2002. PAUP*. Phylogenetic Analysis Using Parsimony (*and Other Methods). Sunderland, MA, USA: Sinauer Associates.
- Taylor JW, Geiser DM, Burt A, Koufopanou V, 1999a. The evolutionary biology and population genetics underlying fungal strain typing. *Clinical Microbiology Reviews* 12, 126–46.
- Taylor JW, Jacobson DJ, Fisher MC, 1999b. The evolution of asexual fungi: reproduction, speciation and classification. Annual Review of Phytopathology 37, 197–246.
- Thomas J, Vijayan AK, Bhai RS, 2002. Vanilla disease in India and their management. *Indian Journal of Arecanut Spices & Medical Plants* 4, 143–9.
- Thompson JD, Gibson TJ, Plewniak F, Jeanmougin F, Higgins DG, 1997. The CLUSTALX windows interface: flexible strategies for multiple

sequence alignment aided by quality analysis tools. Nucleic Acids Research 24, 4876–82.

- Tombe M, Kobayashi K, Ogoshi A, 1994. Vegetative compatibility grouping of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *vanillae* in Indonesia. *Indonesian Journal of Crop Science* 9, 29–39.
- Vu T, Hauschild R, Sikora RA, 2006. Fusarium oxysporum endophytes induced systemic resistance against Radopholus similis on banana. Nematology 8, 847–52.
- Wang B, Brubaker CL, Burdon JJ, 2004. Fusarium species and fusarium wilt pathogens associated with native Gossypium populations in Australia. Mycological Research 108, 35–44.
- Wang B, Priest MJ, Davidson A, Brubaker CL, Woods MJ, Burdon JJ, 2007. Fungal endophytes of native Gossypium species in Australia. Mycological Research 111, 347–54.
- Webster TM, 1995. New perspectives on vanilla. *Cereal Foods World* 40, 198–200.
- Weis EA, 2002. Spice Crops. Wallingford, UK: CABI Publishing.
 White TJ, Bruns T, Lee S, Taylor JW, 1990. Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. In: Innis MA, Gelfand DH, Sninsky JJ, White TJ, eds. PCR Protocols: A Guide to Methods and Applications. New York, NY, USA: Academic Press, 315–22.
- Wunsch MJ, Baker AH, Kalb DW, Bergstrom GC, 2009. Characterization of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. loti forma specialis nov., a monophyletic pathogen causing vascular wilt of birdsfoot trefoil. *Plant Disease* 93, 58–66.

Author Query Form

Journal: PPA Article: 12365

Dear Author,

During the copy-editing of your paper, the following queries arose. Please respond to these by marking up your proofs with the necessary changes/additions. Please write your answers on the query sheet if there is insufficient space on the page proofs. Please write clearly and follow the conventions shown on the attached corrections sheet. If returning the proof by fax do not write too close to the paper's edge. Please remember that illegible mark-ups may delay publication.

Many thanks for your assistance.

Query reference	Query	Remarks
1	AUTHOR: Please read through the entire proof carefully, paying particular attention to the accuracy of equations, tables, illustrations (which may have been redrawn), other numerical matter and references (which have been corrected for style but not checked for accuracy, which remains the responsibility of the author).	
2	AUTHOR: Please note that the version of your paper that appears online is complete and final, except for volume, issue and page numbers, which are added upon print publication. Therefore, there will be no further opportunity to make changes to your article after online publication.	\bigcirc
3	AUTHOR: Please confirm that given names (red) and surnames/family names (green) have been identified correctly.	
4	AUTHOR: O'Donnell (1998) has not been included in the Reference List, please supply full publication details.	\bigcirc
5	AUTHOR: Skovgaard, 2001 has not been included in the Reference List, please supply full publication details.	\bigcirc
6	AUTHOR: De Wit et al., 2009 has not been included in the Reference List, please supply full publication details.	
7	AUTHOR: Please provide author names with initials for this unpublished data.	\square
8	WILEY: Please provide volume and page range for reference Laurence et al. (2015).	\bigcirc