AGENCY CONFLICT AND EARNINGS MANAGEMENT

by Winston Pontoh 35

Submission date: 02-Apr-2020 11:23AM (UTC+0700)

Submission ID: 1287561778

File name: AGENCY_CONFLICT_AND_EARNINGS_MANAGEMENT.pdf (353.51K)

Word count: 3196

Character count: 16958

AGENCY CONFLICT AND EARNINGS MANAGEMENT

Winston Pontoh¹, Novi Swandari Budiarso²

^{1,2}Accounting Study Program, Economics and Business Faculty, Sam Ratulangi University, Jl. Kampus Bahu, Manado, 95115, Indonesia

Corresponding e-mail: winstonpontoh@unsrat.ac.id

ABSTRACT

This conflict between firm insiders and firm outsiders in case to allocate the free cash still clear in the context of agency theory. This study examines the sample of 25 public firms in consumer goods industry listed in Indonesia Stock Exchange over period of 2010 until 2018 in term to setect agency conflict with earnings management as its application. This study finds that discretionary accruals as the proxy of earnings management is insignificant on debt policy and growth opportunities. Those findings indicate that, Indonesian public firms do not practicing earnings management which means agency conflict is not exist over the sample of this study.

Keywords: agency conflict; earnings management; discretionary accruals; Indonesia

1. INTRODUCTION

The issues arround internal conflict between insiders and outsiders in context of agency theory have been testing by recent studies especially in field of finance and accounting. The internal conflict or agency conflict conceptually begins as firms have more profitable and large free cash which available for further profitable investments or to ditribute as dividends but managers tend to spend it for their own benefits (Jensen, 1988; Aivazian et al., 2005; Fairchild et al., 2014). The recent studies of Roychowdhury (2006), Cornett et al. (2008), An et al. (2016), and Alzoubi (2018) prove that earnings management as an application to detect agency conflict of the firms.

Most of the empirical findings of earnings management refers the ways of how to control the accounting report from such manipulations (Jones, 1991; Dechow et al., 1995; Roychowdhury, 2006). Most of empirical findings show that the earnings management practiced in two basis which called real activities which most focuses on expenses discretionary (Roychowdhury, 2006; Xue and Hong, 2016 Lo et al., 2017) and discretionary accruals which most focuses on accounting discretions (Jones, 1991; Dechow et al., 1995; Cornett et al., 2008; Lo et al., 2017).

As emerging market, the Indonesian firms are the good object to test the issues of agency conflict as this information will become basic consideration for investors to set strategies of investments. Some of local studies show the results of earnings management as the manifest of agency conflict in context of Indonesian firms (Nurim et al., 2017; Prihaster, 2018; Sunata and Haryanto, 2019).

The objective of this study is to provide empirical evidence whether Indonesian firms practice earnings management as the manifest of agency conflict. This study finds that the discretionary accruals is insignificant on debt and assets growth. Those results imply that Indonesian public firms especially in consumer goods industry not indicate for practicing earnings management in context of agency conflict. The next sections of this study are as follow: Section 2 reviews the interactures to develop hypotheses, Section 3 explains the research method of this study, Section 4 discusses the results of the study, and Section 5 concludes the findings of this study.

2. LITERATURE REVIEWS

Agency theory. The basic theory of agency theory is theory of the firm which assumes that outsiders know about the input and output of the firms but uninform about how they process the input to become output (Jensen and Meckling, 1976). Under this assumption then Jensen and contract between outsiders as principals and insiders as agent where ideally agent will act on behalf of principals in term to maximize their value. The problem on agency relationship is rising while agents act not in-line with the interests of principals which refer to agency conflict (Jensen and Meckling, 1976).

Earnings management. Jones (1991) underlines that managers tend to practice the earnings management in objective to increase firm profits with motive to increase their compensation such as bonuses. The findings of Leuz et al. (2003) show that firm insiders tend to apply earnings management for private benefit in conditions that firms have weak investor protection, concentrated ownership, and stock markets are less developed, In addition, Leuz et al. (2003) also confirm that investor protection will determine the firm policies such as firms' financing and dividend policies. Similarly, Gopalan and Jayaraman (2012) also confirm that lower practice of earnings management by insiders for private benefits mostly found in firms with higher investor protection and more diversified ownership structure. Consistent with those findings, An et al. (2016) use earnings management as a proxy of agency conflict between insiders and outsiders.

Relationship between discretionary accruals and debt. Jensen (1986) convinces that stockholders use debt as disciplining action on managers in condition where managers behave on their own interests especially in case to allocate the free cash. Consistent with Jones (1991), the study of Christiawan and Rahmiati (2015) on Indonesian public firms during period of 2012 to 2013 find that managers tend to practice earnings management while firms have higher debt especially for long term debt. In addition, the stude of Zamri et al. (2013) on Malaysian public firms during 2006 to 2011 find that abnormal case flow from operations (CFO) negatively associates with debt which implies that debt able to reduce the practice of earnings management. Based on those literatures, this study posits that earnings management associates with higher debt.

H1: Earnings management positive significant on debt.

Relationship between discretionary accruals and growth opportunities. The study of Gopalan and Jayaraman (2012) on East Asian and European public firms over period of 1992 to 2006 find that good growth opportunities are able to not gate the practice of earnings management by firm insiders. But, Jensen (1988), Aivazian et al. (2005), and Fairchild et al. (2014) also suggest that the source of agency conflict arise while the moral hazard of firm insiders tend to spend free cash on unprofitable investments which give them private benefit. Based on those literatures, this study posits that earnings management associates with growth opportunities in assumption that investments contains negative present value.

H2: Earnings management positive significant on growth opportunities.

3. RESEARCH METHOD

This study drawn the data from Indonesia Stock Exchange and uses 25 Indonesian public firms in consumer goods industry over period of 2010 to 2018 as sample. As the sample, this study selects by purposive the firms which published consistently audited annual financial report of all observe period, the firms should listed in capital market, and all data required for this study are available. Also, in term to keep the data consistently then this study excludes the firms who restate the financial report with significant changes.

This study conducts multivariate analysis of covariance (MANCOVA) at significance of 5% and therefore two dependent variables are used for hypothesis testing namely debt ratio and growth opportunities. This study uses debt ratio (measured by ratio of total debt over total asset and symbolized as DAR) as Jensen (1986) suggest this ratio plays the role as a control mechanism on firm insiders. This study follows Gopalan and Jayaraman (2012) to use growth opportunities in objective to detect the earnings management. Following Fairchild et al. (2014), this study uses assets growth (symbolized as AG) as proxy of growth opportunities. This study uses earnings management (symbolized as DA) and profitability (symbolized as ROA) as independent variables. This study adopts the model of Jones (1991) to capture the earnings management through estimated discretionary accruals and a easures it by residuals of predicted model for each firms. The model of Jones (1991) is note as follows:

$$TA_{it}/A_{it-1} = \alpha_i(1/A_{it-1}) + \beta_i(\Delta REV_{it}/A_{it-1}) + \beta_i(\Delta PPE_{it}/A_{it-1}) + \epsilon_{it}$$

 TA_{it} is total accruals in year t for firm i; ΔREV_{it} is require difference of current year and previous year; PPE_{it} is difference of acquisition cost of property, plant, and equipment of current year and previous year; A_{it-1} is total assets of previous year; and ϵ_{it} is residual error. This study estimates total accruals (TA_{it}) with model as follows:

$$TA_t = (\Delta CA_t - \Delta Cash_t) - (\Delta CL_t - DepExp_t)$$

1

 ΔCA_t is difference of current year and previous year; $\Delta Cash_t$ is difference of cash between current year and previous year; ΔCL_t is difference of current liabilities (exclude tax payables and part of long-term debt) of current year and previous year; and DepExp_t is depreciation plus amortization expense of current year. This study also uses profitability as the control variable which measured by dummy and calculated based on median of average return on assets of each firms. The dummy of profitability is 1 if above or equal to median and 2 for vise versa, where the median of average return on assets of each firms is 0.097170631.

29

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

4.1. Results

Table 1 presents the result of descriptive statistics of this study with control of profitability. The results of mean show that firms with higher profitability have lower debt ratio relative to firms with lower profitability which indicates earnings management possibly less practiced for those firms. Furthermore, firms with higher profitability also have more growth opportunities as reflected by their assets growth rather than firms with lower profitability which indicates those firms spend more for investments to reduce practice of earnings management. But, the mean of DA shows that both firms have zero value which indicates neither those firms practicing earnings management.

Table 1. Descriptive statistics

Variables	ROA	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	N
DAR	1.00	0.3397	0.09	0.75	117
	2.00	0.4629	0.13	1.25	108
AG	1.00	0.1355	-0.10	0.64	117
	2.00	0.1321	-0.16	0.82	108
DA	1.00	0.0000	-1.73	1.59	117
	2.00	0.0000	-1.67	1.64	108

Notes: DAR is debt ratio 16 d measured by ratio of total debt over total asset. AG is assets growth and measured by difference of current year total assets and previous year total assets divided by previous year total assets. DA is discretionary accruals and estimated by residuals of predicted model for each firms.

Winston Pontoh, Novi Swandari Budiarso

This study continue to run homogeneity test of covariances before conducts multivariate analysis of covariance (MANCOVA). Table 2 shows that the F test is insignificant on Box's test which means the data of the model for this study is homogent. On this result, then this study can continue to analyze the data by MANCOVA.

Table 2. Result of Box's Test of Equality of Covariance Matrices

1	
Box's M	6.657
F	2.197
df1	3
df2	11255176.449
Sig.	0.086

Table 3 shows the result of musicivariate analysis of covariance (MANCOVA) for the effect of discretionary accruals on dust ratio and assets growth. The results show that discretionary accruals insignificant on debt ratio and also on assets growth. The results also show that profitability insignificant on assets growth but significant on debt ratio.

Solution 2. Result of multivariate analysis of covariance

22 Die 5. Result of indutvariate analysis of covariance						
Source	Dependent	Type III	df	Mean	F	Sig.
	Variable	Sum of		Square		
		Squares				
Corrected Model	DAR	0.853 ^a	2	0.427	14.906	0.000
	AG	$0.010^{\rm b}$	2	0.005	0.228	0.796
Intercept	DAR	36.176	1	36.176	1264.046	0.000
	AG	4.022	1	4.022	192.385	0.000
DA	DAR	0.001	1	0.001	0.035	0.852
	AG	0.009	1	0.009	0.424	0.516
ROA (dummy)	DAR	0.852	1	0.852	29.776	0.000
	AG	0.001	1	0.001	0.032	0.858
Error	DAR	6.353	222	0.029		
	AG	4.642	222	0.021		
Total	DAR	42.997	225			
	AG	8.684	225			
Corrected Total	DAR	7.207	224			
12	AG	4.651	224			

a. R Squared = 0.118 (Adjusted R Squared = 0.110)

Notes: DAR is debt rational measured by ratio of total debt over total asset. AG is assets growth and measured by difference of current year total assets and previous year total assets divided by previous year total assets. DA is discretionary accruals and estimated by residuals of predicted model for each firms.

4.2. Discussions

Relationship between discretionary accruals and debt. The result on Table 3 shows that discretionary accruals insignificant on debt ratio which means this study rejects H1. This result indicates that earnings management is not practiced by Indonesian public firms in consumer goods industry. On this finding, then this study is not consistent with Jensen (1986) which implies that shareholders of those firms do not use debt as a tool to control the managers. The finding of this study also inconsistent with the finding of Zamri et al. (2013) and Christiawan and Rahmiati (2015) and confirms that there is no agency conflict on Indonesian public firms in consumer goods industry.

b. R Squared = 0.002 (Adjuste17R Squared = -0.007)

Relationship between discretionary accruals and growth opportunities. The result on Table 3 shows that discretionary accruals insignificant on assets growth which means this study rejects H2. The finding of this study inconsistent with the finding of Gopalan and Jayaraman (2012) and at once confirm the result for H1 which indicates that Indonesian public firms in ited to the sample of this study do not practicing earnings management. Inconsistent with the findings of Jensen (1988), Aivazian et al. (2005), and Fairchild et al. (2014), this study also confirms that the managers do not have tendency to spend free cash on unprofitable investments for their own benfits which means there is no agency conflict as confirmed by the result of H1.

Furthermore, Table 3 shows that profitability as control variable is insignificant on assets growth which implies that shareholders do not tend to rise the investments as they are concern about manager's moral hazard. Reversely, Table 3 shows that profitability is significant on debt ratio. As the resulta of discretionary accruals show inconsistency with agency conflict then this result possibly refers to the case of tax shield. According to Modigliani and Miller (1958), as the tax-payers then firms normally looking for the tax shield for their income and set certain debts as an alternative. On this case, Modigliani and Miller (1958) refer to trade-off theory in relationship with capital structure. Myers (2001) confirms that the income tax of firms practially based on profits which means more profits then higher the income tax.

5. CONCLUSION

The objective of this study is to analyze whether Indonesian firms practice earnings management as the manifest of agency conflict. This study finds that the discretionary accruals are insignificant on debt and assets growth which indicate that firms limited to sample of this study are less practicing earnings management. This findings also imply that agency conflict for Indonesian public firms in consumer goods industry is not exist. This study suggests that further studies in same area to include the relationship between earnings management and firm dividend policy.

REFERENCES

- Aivazian, V. A., Ge, Y., & Qiu, J. (2005). The impact of leverage on firm investment: Canadian evidence. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 11, 277-291. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0929-1199(03)00062-2
- Alzoubi, E. S. S. (2018). Audit quality, debt financing, and earnings management: Evidence Type Jordan. *Journal of International Accounting*, *Auditing and Taxation*, 30, 69-84. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intaccaudtax.2017.12.001
- An, Z., Li, D., & Yu J. (2016). Earnings management, capital structure, and the role of titutional environments. *Journal of Banking and Finance*, 68, 131-152. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbankfin.2016.02.007
- Christiawan, Y. J., & Rahmiati, A. (2015). Earnings management of firms reporting long debt: An alternative method. *Jurnal Akuntansi dan Keuangan*, 16(2), 113-120. doi:https://doi.org/10.9744/jak.16.2.113-120
- Cornett, M. M., Marcus, A. J., & Tehranian, H. (2008). Cornett governance and pay-for-performance: The impact of expings management. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 87(2), 357-373. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfineco.2007.03.003
- Dechow, P. M., Sloan, R. G., & Sweeney, A. P. (1995). Detecting earnings management. *The Accounting Review*, 70(2), 193-225. https://www.jstor.org/stable/248303

Winston Pontoh, Novi Swandari Budiarso

- 3
- Fairchild, R., Guney, Y., & Thanatawee, Y. (2014). Corporate dividend policy in Thailand: Theory and evidence. *International Review of Financial Analysis*, 31, 129-151. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.irfa.2013.10.006
- Gopalan, R. & Jayaraman, S. (2012). Private control benefits and earnings management: Evidence from 31 sider controlled firms. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 50, 117-157. https://dc2org/10.1111/j.1475-679X.2011.00431.x
- Jensen, M. C. (1986). Agency costs of free cash flow, corporate finance, and takeovers.

 American Economic Review, 76(2), 323-329. http://www.jstor.org/stable/1818789
- Jensen, M. C. (1988). Takeovers: Their causes and consequences. *The Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 2(1), 21-48. https://doi.org/10.1257/jep.2.1.21
- Jensen, M. C., & Meckling, W. H. (1976). Theory of the firm: Managerial behavior, agency costs and ownership structure. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 3(4), 305-360. https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-405X(76)90026-X
- Jones, J. J. (1991). Earnings management during import relief investigations. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 29(2), 1932328. https://doi.org/10.2307/2491047
- Leuz, C., Nanda D., & Wysocki, P. D. (2003). Earnings management and investor protection: An international comparison. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 69(3), 505-527. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-405X(03)00121-1
- Lo, K., 35 amos, F., & Rogo, R. (2017). Earnings management and annual report readability.

 11 urnal of Accounting and Economics, 63(1), 1-25. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jageco.2016.09.002
- Modigliani, F., & Miller, M. H. (1958). The cost of capital, corporation finance and the theory of investment. The American Economic Review, 48(3), 261-297. http://www.jstor.org/stable/1809766
- Myers, S. C. (2001). Capital structure. The Journal of Economic Perspectives, 15(2), 81-102. http://www.jstor.org/stable/2696593
- Nurim, Y., Sunardi, S., & Raharti, R. (2017). The Type I versus Type II agency conflict on earning management. *Jurnal Dinamika Manajemen*, 8(1), 44-58. https://doi.org/10.15294/jdm.v8i1.10410
- Prihastomo, E. D., & Khafid, M. (2018). The effect of bonus compensation and leverage on earnings management with financial performance as intervening variable. *Accounting Analysis Journal*, 7(1), 52-60. http://doi.org/10.15294/aaj.v5i3.18490
- Roychowdhury, S. (2006). Earnings management through real activities manipulation.

 34 urnal of Accounting and Economics, 42(3), 335-370.

 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacceco.2006.01.002
- Sunata, M., & Haryanto, M. (2019). The influence of corporate governance mechanism on earnings management. *Jurnal Ilmiah Bisnis*, *Pasar Modal dan UMKM*, 2(1), 74-88. https://ibn.e-journal.id/index.php/JIBPU/article/view/122
- Xue S., & Hong Y. (2016). Earnings management, corporate governance and expense sckiness. *China Journal of Accounting Research*, 9(1), 41-58. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cjar.2015.02.001
- Zamri, N., Rahman, R24 A., & Isa, N. S. M. (2013). The Impact of Leverage on Real Earnings Management. *Procedia Economics and Finance*, 7, 86–95. https://doi.org/10.1016/s2212-5671(13)00222-0

AGENCY CONFLICT AND EARNINGS MANAGEMENT

ORIGINA	LITY REPORT			
SIMILA	9% RITY INDEX	10% INTERNET SOURCES	11% PUBLICATIONS	11% STUDENT PAPERS
PRIMAR	Y SOURCES			
1	uir.unisa. Internet Source			1%
2	ibn.e-jour			1%
3	Submitte Student Paper	d to University o	of Huddersfield	1%
4	Submitte Student Paper	d to CVC Nigeri	a Consortium	1%
5	Submitte Student Paper	d to Curtin Univ	ersity of Techno	ology 1%
6	Submitte Student Paper	d to University o	of Surrey	1%
7	Submitted Student Paper	d to Griffth Univ	ersity	1%
8	Submitte Student Paper	d to Grand Can	yon University	1%
9	open.uct.			1%

10	Liu Ying, Fan Ke. "Improve the prediction of summer precipitation in the Southeastern China by a hybrid statistical downscaling model", Meteorology and Atmospheric Physics, 2012 Publication	1%
11	Lech Madeyski. "Test-Driven Development", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2010 Publication	1%
12	Submitted to Sunway College Student Paper	1%
13	Submitted to Ryerson University Student Paper	<1%
14	gala.gre.ac.uk Internet Source	<1%
15	Submitted to University of Hull Student Paper	<1%
16	Mwila Joseph Mulenga. "The Relative Ability of Earnings and Cash Flow from Operations in Predicting Future Cash Flows: Evidence from India", International Journal of Accounting and Financial Reporting, 2015 Publication	<1%
17	Alexandra Ryan Ahmad Dina, Ancella Anitawati Hermawan. "THE EFFECT OF BANK MONITORING AS AN ALTERNATIVE OF	<1%

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE MECHANISMS ON THE BORROWERS' FIRM VALUE: EVIDENCE FROM INDONESIAN LISTED FIRMS", Risk Governance and Control: Financial Markets & Institutions, 2012 Publication

"THE EFFECT OF THE TYPE OF <1% 18 CONTROLLING SHAREHOLDERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE ON REAL AND ACCRUALS EARNINGS MANAGEMENT", Corporate Ownership and Control, 2015 Publication www.emeraldinsight.com <1% 19 Internet Source sibresearch.org 20 Internet Source Guan Zhengfei, Alfons Oude Lansink. "The 21 Source of Productivity Growth in Dutch Agriculture: A Perspective from Finance", American Journal of Agricultural Economics, 2006 Publication etd.lib.metu.edu.tr 22 Internet Source

Abiot Tessema, Moo Sung Kim, Jagadish

Dandu. "The impact of ownership structure on

23

earnings quality: the case of South Korea", International Journal of Disclosure and Governance, 2018

Publication

	Fubilcation	
24	www.agriculturejournals.cz Internet Source	<1%
25	Thi Kieu Hoa Phan, Nam Hoai Tran. "Dividend policy and stock price volatility in an emerging market: Does ownership structure matter?", Cogent Economics & Finance, 2019 Publication	<1%
26	G. S. Suhartati Djarkasi, Lana E. Lalujan, Erny Nurali, Maria F. Sumual. "Antioxidant activity of karimenga (Acorus calamus)", AIP Publishing, 2019 Publication	<1%
27	hdl.handle.net Internet Source	<1%
28	repository.usu.ac.id Internet Source	<1%
29	lubswww.leeds.ac.uk Internet Source	<1%
30	Novi Swandari Budiarso, Bambang Subroto, Sutrisno T, Winston Pontoh. "Dividend catering, life-cycle, and policy: Evidence from Indonesia",	<1%

Cogent Economics & Finance, 2019

31	Submitted to Hong Kong Baptist University Student Paper	<1%
32	jurnalakuntansi.petra.ac.id Internet Source	<1%
33	Submitted to Sriwijaya University Student Paper	<1%
34	elifesciences.org Internet Source	<1%
35	Submitted to Vaasan yliopisto Student Paper	<1%
36	Mara Faccio, Larry H. P Lang, Leslie Young. "Dividends and Expropriation", American Economic Review, 2001 Publication	<1%
37	www.emerald.com Internet Source	<1%
38	Submitted to University of Central England in Birmingham Student Paper	<1%
39	Submitted to Monash University Student Paper	<1%

Exclude quotes Off Exclude matches Off

Exclude bibliography Off