

Growth Pattern In Tropical Mangrove Tress Of Bunaken National Park, North Sulawesi, Indonesia

Abstract. Seasonal growth patterns and growth rate variation in tropical mangroves of Bunaken National Park (BNP) were investigated. Dendrometer bands were installed on trees from twelve different sites in tropical mangroves of Bunaken National Park (BNP) to measure stem growth rates. Growth rates measured in trees at the twelve sites varied significantly from 0.83 ± 0.27 to 1.71 ± 0.31 mm month⁻¹. Growth rates were higher on *Sonneratia alba* (1.65 ± 0.69 mm month⁻¹), low on *Rhizophora stylosa*, *Xylocarpus moluccensis*, *Avicennia marina*, *Ceriops tagal* (0.82 ± 0.16 , 0.82 ± 0.18 , 0.85 ± 0.18 , 0.88 ± 0.28 mm month⁻¹, respectively), and medium on *Rhizophora apiculata*, *Bruguiera parviflora*, *Bruguiera gymnorrhiza*, *Rhizophora mucronata* (1.19 ± 0.16 , 1.22 ± 0.69 , 1.25 ± 0.49 , 1.31 ± 0.22 mm month⁻¹, respectively). Statistically, growth rates were higher in trees with initial girths more than 50 cm compared to trees with initial girth less than 50 cm on *B. gymnorrhiza*, *C. tagal* and *R. mucronata*, but these were slower for *R. apiculata* and *S. alba*. Growth rates varied among different site conditions, and the effect of soil water salinity on these was significant at higher intertidal sites. Growth rates exhibited seasonal patterns, and these were correlated positively with rainfall and negatively with temperature. The effect of the 2015-2016 El Nino was significant on reduced growth rates.

Keywords: dendrometer, El Nino, growth rate, tropical mangrove

INTRODUCTION

Mangrove is a relatively small group of higher plants which have been peculiarly successful in colonising tropical and sub-tropical intertidal habitats at the interface between land and sea (Clough 1979; Duke 1992; Kathiresan and Bingham 2001; Maxwell 2015). Mangroves, which are tolerant to salt and brackish waters (Spalding et al. 1997), have developed complex morphological, anatomical, physiological, and molecular adaptations allowing survival and success in their high-stress habitat (Srikanth et al. 2015). Despite of all the ecological services and economic benefits associated with mangrove ecosystems, about 2.1% (2,834 km²) of the existing worldwide mangrove area was estimated to be lost each year during the second half of the 20th century (Valiela et al. 2001) and a total loss of 1.97% (1,646 km²) from 2000 to 2012 (Hamilton and Casey 2016).

Mangroves in many tropical locales exhibit seasonal diameter growth patterns, often as a consequence of distinctive wet and dry seasons, and their seasonal patterns of growth are often related to rainfall, temperature, and relative moisture regimes (Krauss et al. 2006). Mangrove trees of the same species may show different growth rates due to specific environmental factors such as nutrients, salinity or inundation frequency of any localities (Suarez et al. 1998). According to Menezes et al. (2003), abiotic factors may influence the individual growth rates, but their effect on the forest structure is modified by biotic factors, such as neighbourhood competition.

As in many tropical tree species, annual growth rings are commonly said to be absent in mangroves (Gill 1971; Tomlinson 1986), although these are claimed to be present in *Rhizophora mucronata* from the Kenyan coast (Verheyden et al. 2004). It is proposed by Roberts et al. (2011) that the use of growth ring for age and growth rate determinations should be evaluated on a case by case basis. In the absence of annual growth rings, dendrochronological technique may not be applied, and that in this case, dendrometer band to measure increments in circumference growth offers an alternative to document fine-scale growth increments (Krauss et al. 2006). In practice, the growth rates of mangrove species may be a useful indicator of site conditions resulting from change (Krause et al. 2006), key data of demographic processes to investigate the development of mangrove forests (Menezes et al. 2003), necessary for the establishment of sustainable silvicultural practices (Devoe and Cole 1998), important to generate a population model to determine cutting limits for harvesting of the mangrove trees (Rajkaran and Adams 2008), and a fundamental measurement to understand tree biology and physiology (Nasim et al. 2013).

The mangrove forests of Bunaken National Park (BNP) are among the most distinctive and unusual in Southeast Asia, because of the species that the forests contain and the ecological processes that are now taking place (Davie et al. 1996; Djamaluddin 2004). It is believed that the interplay between geophysical, geomorphic and biological factors has supported the mangrove distribution and diversity in the intertidal environments of the Park. Last study reported that there were at least 27 plant species distributed over ten sub-habitat types (Djamaluddin 2018). A common dieback phenomenon associated with big trees of several species within the Park is also an important factor. While we do not know the lifespan of mangrove trees, this dieback is presumed to relate to old age. Long lifespan of mangroves in this area may be supported by their stable physical intertidal environments and the absence of catastrophic events (Djamaluddin 2004).

In this study, the growth dynamic of nine common encountered species in BNP was investigated. This study was designed to examine growth rates of trees growing at different conditions of intertidal environment over a period of 59

Commented [A1]: Delete it

Commented [A2]: Previous

Commented [A3]: Delete it

57 months, including the period of the 2015-2016 El Nino. This allowed for comparison of growth rates by sites with
58 different conditions of tidal inundation and soil water salinity, by species from the same climatic zone and between the
59 same trees species of large sizes and small sizes. In addition, seasonal pattern of growth rates was investigated using data
60 of stem growth rates over several continuous wet and dry seasons, and growth dynamic in relation to rainfall and
61 temperature was analysed. This study was conducted to test the hypothesis that growth rates are influenced by conditions
62 of intertidal environments, and that distinct wet and dry seasons may have a consequence on seasonal pattern of growth
63 rates.

64 MATERIALS AND METHODS

65 Description of climate

66 The rainfall in the study area is strongly affected by the wind systems (Djamaluddin 2004). The north-westerly winds
67 blow over the South China Sea and bring moisture during September and April. In November, these winds arrive in the
68 North Sulawesi via the Sulawesi Sea and to the west coast of South Sulawesi in late of November or early of December.
69 Dry south-easterly winds blow from the wintery Australian land mass towards Eastern Sulawesi. These dry winds cause a
70 short dry season in Manado from August to October. Based on data released by Manado Meteorology and Geo-physic
71 Office, the total annual rainfall ranges from 2,501 to 3,000 mm. During 1973 to 2016, the annual temperature of North
72 Sulawesi varies little between 25.5 °C and 27.0 °C. The minimum annual mean temperature of 25.5 °C is recorded in 1984
73 and the maximum of 27.0 °C in 2015.

74 Describe the study site here, mentions several important things such as the Park status, how large area occupied
75 by mangroves, which mangroves are dominant in general and each site. How is the general performance of
76 mangroves, etc. How many individual trees of each species in each site.
77

78 Data collection and analysis

79 Four canopy trees were selected from each of the twelve different sites to measure stem growth rate in the form of girth
80 increment. These sites represented conditions at high intertidal environment including Site 1 (highly accreting inland
81 fringe habitat; 01°22'00.50" N, 124°33'18.84" E), Site 2 (less steep and eroding landward habitat; 01°22'01.53" N,
82 124°33'16.09" E), Site 3 (less steep landward habitat; 01°22'05.50" N, 124°33'16.37" E), Site 11 (freshwater influenced
83 habitat; 01°19'43.85" N, 124°31'14.14" E); low intertidal environment or seaward fringe habitat including Site 4
84 (01°22'24.37" N, 124°33'10.31" E), Site 5 (01°22'20.01" N, 124°33'04.72" E), and Site 7 (01°22'13.57" N, 124°32'58.41"
85 E); middle intertidal environment including Site 10 (01°21'38.79" N, 124°32'40.84" E); tidal stream edge or river levee
86 habitat including Site 6 (01°22'21.08" N, 124°33'09.00" E) and Site 8 (01°22'07.20" N, 124°33'04.20" E); and transgressed
87 bedrock coast habitat including Site 12 (01°19'43.17" N, 124°31'45.00" E).

88 The growth rates of selected trees were measured every two months during July 1999 to June 2001 and March 2014 to
89 December 2016, using fixed dendrometer bands made with DYMO labelling tape produced by DYMO Esselte Pendaflex
90 Corporation. These bands were attached around tree trunks using a spring and expand as the tree grows. The extent of girth
91 expansion was measured on the tape using a micrometre.

92 Before the setting up of dendrometer in August 1999, data of structural attributes, soil water salinity, and tidal
93 inundation were measured during July 1999. Structural attributes in the form of species composition and height of tree
94 canopy were assessed to describe structural attributes of ecological mangrove communities at sample sites. Field
95 determination of the flora were confirmed by a range of systematic reviews (e.g. Ding Hou 1958; Tomlinson 1986; Noor et
96 al. 2006). The canopy height was measured directly by means of a long fixed stick for trees with height up to 5 m and, for
97 taller trees, it was indirectly measured by using of a clinometer (SUNTO MC 1 Type).

98 Surface soil sample were taken at 0 to 300 mm depth at five random points in every location, and soil water salinity
99 was measured using a Hand-Held Refractometer (Atago Master-S/Mill) of water samples of a known volume eluted
100 through sediment samples. Level of tidal inundation was observed at all sites during early neap-tide, full moon-tide and
101 late neap-tide. A pole gage was used to measure level of tidal inundation. Data of rainfall and temperature were based on
102 the reports from Manado Meteorology and Geo-Physic Office.

103 Add section: Data Analysisi here

104 In analysing the differences in stem growth rate by species and sites, the one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was
105 applied. Regression Analysis was used to analyse the effect of rainfall and temperature on stem growth rate. The twelve
106 sites that were regarded as having different environmental conditions and community attributes can be seen in Figure. 1
107 and these are summarised in Table. 1.

108 You need to add analysis the comparison of the growth rate and RGR of stem and tree height for
109 each mangrove species of between sites and time series. This is much better than whole species of
110 between sites and time series. If it is possible, please draw growth curves using Gompertth or sigmoid
111 growth curves.
112

Formatted: English (United States)

Commented [A4]: Delete it and write in separation section

Commented [A5]:

Commented [A6]: What is four canopy tress means, is there canopy layers??, or growth stages???

Commented [A7]:

Commented [A8]: Put this part in study site

Commented [A9]: Environmental factors such as soils water salinity, tidal inundation etc. were measured

Commented [A10]: For what you have taken surface soil samples???

Commented [A11]: Write section of Data analysis here. I think that this is better to analyse also the relative growth rate RGR of stem diameter and tree height for each mangrove species. Could you analyse the growth rate and RGR of each species with separation of the measurement periods of 1999 to 2001, and 2014 to 2016. These analyses will give more deep information regarding growth rate and RGR for both time series measurement.

Commented [A12]:


Commented [A13]:

Formatted: English (United States)

113 growth curve analyses to explore more interesting phenomena of the growth pattern of mangrove trees
114 for example the intrinsic rate of increase of stem and height of each species.
115

Formatted: English (United States)

116



Commented [A14]: Map of study site was written incorrectly manner.

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0.5 cm

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

Figure 1. Locations of the twelve sites sampled at Southern Section of Bunaken National Park. Inserted Figure. 1A is dendrometer on trees number 3 at Site 1, inserted Figure. 1B is map of Indonesia with red dot indicating study location on Sulawesi Island and equator line across the Country

Table 1. Description of the twelve sites sampled where four canopy trees in each site were selected for the measurement of stem growth rate: SD (Standard Deviation) of five random soil samples, tidal inundation frequency represented number of day month⁻¹ a site being inundated by seawater


Site	Species of Tree Sampled	Initial Tree Girths (cm)	Average Height of Canopy (m)	Soil Water Salinity (average \pm SD, $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$)	Tidal Inundation Frequency (%)
Site 1	<i>A. marina</i>	61.5 – 98.4	17	14.3 \pm 0.47	< 13
Site 2	<i>C. tagal</i>	17.0 – 22.8	2.5	19.5 \pm 0.00	13 – 22
Site 3	<i>R. apiculata</i>	16.0 & 18.5	4	19.0 \pm 0.00	22 – 30
Site 4	<i>C. tagal</i>	21.4 & 30.0	6	14.0 \pm 0.00	45 – 50
	<i>R. apiculata</i>	39.0 & 41.5			
	<i>R. mucronata</i>	22.5			
Site 5	<i>B. gymnorrhiza</i>	29.0	8	14.0 \pm 0.02	45 – 50
	<i>B. gymnorrhiza</i>	91.5 &			
	<i>R. mucronata</i>	104.5			
Site 6	<i>A. marina</i>	30.0 & 40.0	7	18.0 \pm 0.82	30 – 45
	<i>B. gymnorrhiza</i>	93.5			
	<i>X. moluccensis</i>	71.0			
	<i>R. apiculata</i>	55.0			
Site 7	<i>R. mucronata</i>	15.0	8	18.0 \pm 0.00	45 – 50
	<i>S. alba</i>	34.0			
	<i>R. apiculata</i>	47.5			
Site 8	<i>A. marina</i>	47.5	8	17.5 \pm 0.21	30 – 45
	<i>B. parviflora</i>	118.0			
	<i>R. apiculata</i>	38.5			
Site 9	<i>R. apiculata</i>	53.5 & 59.5	22	14.0 \pm 0.00	45 – 50
	<i>R. stylosa</i>	72.5 & 88.0			
	<i>S. alba</i>	64.0 &			
Site 10	<i>R. apiculata</i>	118.5	22	19.7 \pm 1.25	30 – 45
	<i>B. gymnorrhiza</i>	102.0 &			
		105.0			
Site 11	<i>C. tagal</i>	90.0 & 96.0	12	6.5 \pm 0.50	13 – 22
		50.0			
Site	<i>S. alba</i>	102.0	27	13.3 \pm 0.47	> 90
Site		129.5			

12	<i>R. apiculata</i>	79.0
	<i>R. mucronata</i>	104.0
	<i>A. marina</i>	99.0

128 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

129 Variation between sites

130 Figure 2 shows the stem growth rates at different sites. The result from the ANOVA statistical test suggested that the
 131 differences in stem growth rate were significant between the twelve sites ($F(11,324) = 5.848$, p -value = 0.00, < 0.05). The
 132 highest growth rates were found in trees at Site 5 and 7 where increments were 1.53 ± 0.54 and 1.71 ± 0.31 mm month⁻¹
 133 respectively. At Site 1 the average stem growth rates varied in the range of 1.01 ± 0.30 mm month⁻¹. This range was
 134 slightly lower comparing to that on sample trees at Site 4 of 1.05 ± 0.15 mm month⁻¹, Site 6 of 1.07 ± 0.19 mm month⁻¹,
 135 and Site 11 of 1.05 ± 0.42 mm month⁻¹. Slightly lower stem growth rates were measured on trees at Site 3, 12, 2, and Site 8
 136 of 0.83 ± 0.27 , 0.84 ± 0.25 , 0.90 ± 0.39 , 0.92 ± 0.31 mm month⁻¹ respectively. Trees at Site 9 and 10 had average stem
 137 growth rates which were comparable, measured at 1.24 ± 0.24 and 1.20 ± 0.53 mm month⁻¹ respectively.




139 Figure 2. Average stem growth rates of tagged trees at various sites over 59 months. Error bars are standard deviations representing
 140 variability over 59 months

144 Differing conditions at the study sites resulted in different growth rates of mangrove species. Results from this study
 145 indicate that higher growth rates were measured at Site 5 and 7 (low intertidal environment) where soil water salinity was
 146 at intermediate level (14.0 ± 0.02 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ and 18.0 ± 0.00 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$, respectively), and a relatively lower growth rate was
 147 recorded for trees growing at Site 2 and 3 (high intertidal environment) where soil water salinity was relatively higher
 148 (19.5 ± 0.00 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ and 19.0 ± 0.00 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$, respectively). The effect of soil water salinity on growth rate might be
 149 inconsistent considering a relative lower growth rate at Site 8 and 12 where soil water salinity was at intermediate level
 150 (17.5 ± 0.21 and 13.3 ± 0.47 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$). This inconsistency was probably due to the existence of micro-topography in form of
 151 the river levee at Site 8 and transgressed bedrock coast at Site 12. These micro-topography variations according to Thom
 152 (1982) can cause varying physiological responses in different species and there may be more or less favourable plant
 153 growth in a specific habitat due to the response of species to stress conditions.

154 Although soil water salinity was relatively higher (19.7 ± 1.25 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$) at Site 10, growth rates of trees at this site were
 155 measured at medium growth rate. This rate of growth was probably affected by tidal inundation frequency of this site that
 156 was measured at 30 to 45% compared to 13 to 30% at Site 2 and 3. How trees of *B. gymnorhiza* and *R. apiculata* respond
 157 to specific conditions at Site 10 needs further investigation. The effect of salinity on mangrove growth has been reported
 158 by various studies (e.g. Robert et al. 2009; Meneses et al. 2003; Nasim et al. 2013; Noor et al. 2015). In Pakistan, for
 159 example, Nasim et al. (2013) reported that the maximum growth rate of *A. marina* was presumably due to low salinity and
 160 the minimum growth rate was related to higher salinity. Similar pattern was reported by Meneses et al. (2003) in the
 161 mangroves from Braganca Peninsula, North Brazil. In mangrove forests, conditions of high salinity can cause osmotic
 162 stress and reduce the availability of water, resulting in stomatal closure and reduced supply of carbon dioxide (Li et al.
 163 2008). Furthermore, membrane disorganisation and disturbance of nutrient balance may be induced by salt stress
 164 (Hasegawa et al. 2000).

166 **Variation between species**

167 Figure 3 shows the stem growth rate for nine species. The result from the ANOVA statistical test indicated that average
 168 stem growth rate was significantly different between species ($F(8,243) = 7.063, p\text{-value} = 0.00, < 0.05$). A relatively
 169 highest growth was measured on *S. alba* ($1.65 \pm 0.69 \text{ mm month}^{-1}$) and a relatively lowest growth on *R. stylosa*, *X.*
 170 *moluccensis*, *A. marina*, *C. tagal*, measured at $0.82 \pm 0.16, 0.82 \pm 0.18, 0.85 \pm 0.18, 0.88 \pm 0.28 \text{ mm month}^{-1}$ respectively.
 171 A relatively medium growth rate was measured on *R. apiculata*, *B. parviflora*, *B. gymnorrhiza*, *R. mucronata*, measured at
 172 $1.19 \pm 0.16, 1.22 \pm 0.69, 1.25 \pm 0.49, 1.31 \pm 0.22 \text{ mm month}^{-1}$, respectively. When the growth rates were expressed as a
 173 percentage of the initial girth of each tagged tree, there was apparently higher stem growth rates on tagged trees of *B.*
 174 *gymnorrhiza*, *C. tagal* and *R. mucronata* with an initial girth of more than 50 cm compared to trees with an initial girth of
 175 less than 50 cm. Conversely, there was a relatively slower growth rate on tagged trees of *R. apiculata* and *S. alba* with an
 176 original girth of more than 50 cm compared to trees with an initial girth of less than 50 cm. For other species, comparison
 177 of growth rates between trees with an initial girth more than 50 cm and less than 50 cm was not evaluated because the
 178 absence of comparable data.
 179



Commented [A15]: It seems that stem growth rate show different trends among two periods of measurement, please separate comparison both on figure 3 and Table 2.

180
 181
 182 Figure 3. Average stem growth rate of nine species over 59 months; error bars (Standard Deviations) represented variability over 59
 183 months

184
 185 Table 2. Average stem growth rate (girth increment and as percentage of initial girth measured for nine species over 59 months); error
 186 bars (Standard Deviations) represented variability over 59 months, n represented number of tagged tree
 187

Species	Stem Growth Rate as Percent Initial Girth in mm/month (mean ± SD)	
	< 50 cm	> 50 cm
<i>A. marina</i>	-	0.85 ± 0.58 (n = 7)
<i>B. gymnorrhiza</i>	0.83 ± 0.55 (n = 1)	1.33 ± 1.05 (n = 5)
<i>B. parviflora</i>	1.22 ± 0.81 (n = 1)	-
<i>C. tagal</i>	0.80 ± 0.59 (n = 8)	1.21 ± 0.76 (n = 2)
<i>R. apiculata</i>	1.37 ± 0.59 (n = 6)	0.96 ± 0.58 (n = 5)
<i>R. mucronata</i>	0.47 ± 0.56 (n = 4)	0.69 ± 0.59 (n = 1)
<i>R. stylosa</i>	-	0.85 ± 0.48 (n = 2)
<i>S. alba</i>	1.73 ± 0.89 (n = 2)	1.60 ± 0.92 (n = 3)
<i>X. moluccensis</i>	-	0.82 ± 0.41 (n = 1)

188
 189 Results from this study confirmed that the nine mangrove species had different growth rate, and the growth rate
 190 recorded in this study is different compared to those conducted elsewhere. For example, the annual diameter increment of
 191 *R. apiculata* was $0.32 \text{ cm year}^{-1}$ in mature mangrove forests in Malaysia (Putz and Chan 1986) and $0.25 \text{ cm year}^{-1}$ in the
 192 mangrove forests in Micronesia (Devoe and Cole 1998) which were lower than the average growth rate by this species in
 193 this study (0.45 cm/year). For *B. gymnorrhiza*, the annual growth increments were reported $0.35 \text{ cm year}^{-1}$ in Micronesia
 194 which were relatively lower compared to the growth rate of this species in this study ($0.48 \text{ cm year}^{-1}$). The annual diameter
 195 increments of *S. alba* were reported $0.49 \text{ cm year}^{-1}$ in the mangrove forests in Micronesia (Devoe and Cole 1998) which
 196 were relatively lower compared to the growth rates of these species measured in this study ($0.60 \text{ cm year}^{-1}$). Differences in
 197 growth rate of similar species from different places explained the important role of local environment and climatic
 198 condition to growth of mangrove species.

199 In this study, large trees of *B. gymnorrhiza*, *C. tagal*, *R. mucronata* grew faster than small trees. The case for trees of *B.*
 200 *gymnorrhiza* and *R. mucronata* might explain that trees of these species remained at vigorous growth. Meanwhile, the case
 201 for trees of *C. tagal* might be different. Small trees of this species were found dominant at Site 2 and 3 where salinity is
 202 usually higher, and that trees are growing stunted at this condition. Sample of large trees of this species were found at Site

Commented [A16]: Could you draw the relationship between mean stem size and and mean stem growth rate????

Commented [A17]: Of course because large tree is won, but how is RGR, you need s to analyse RGR to describe relative growth rate of plants to their size. This is much better than growth rate only.


203 11 where soil water salinity was lower. Thus, in the case of *C. tagal*, differences in growth rate between small and large
204 trees were due to primarily soil water salinity.

205

206 Seasonal variation

207 Figure 4 shows average stem growth rates of 48 tagged trees selected from 12 different sites. This figure shows that
208 average stem growth rate started to increase in August or October over a year. This trend reached a maximum value in
209 February at different levels with the highest level of 2.24 mm month⁻¹ in February 2001 and the lowest level of 1.33 mm
210 month⁻¹ in February 2016. From February, stem growth rate decreased and reached minimum value around August and
211 October at different levels ranging from 0.53 mm month⁻¹ in August 2015 to 0.74 mm month⁻¹ in August 2014. Between
212 April 2015 and February 2016 there was abnormality in stem growth rate. During this period rates of average stem growth
213 rates were apparently low.

214



215

216

217


218

Figure 4. Temporal average stem growth rate over 59 months

219 A seasonal pattern in stem growth rates is very clear from data in Figure 4 and this is supported by the result from
220 regression analyses, multiple and simple analyses. When two variables of rainfall and temperature were involved in the
221 analysis the result confirmed that the regression model was significant (p -value < 0.05). Similar result was confirmed from
222 simple regression with single variable of rainfall and temperature (p -value < 0.05). Figure 5 shows relationship between
223 rainfall and stem growth rate. It is clear from this figure that rainfall positively correlated with stem growth. On the
224 contrary, temperature negatively correlated with stem growth as shown in Figure 6.

225

226



227

228

229

230

Figure 5. Relation between rainfall and stem growth rate




Figure 6. Relation between rainfall and stem growth rate

Previous studies indicated that growth rate in mangroves is seasonal (e.g. Jintana et al. 1985; Day 1990; Krauss et al. 2006). Field measurements indicated that growth rate is seasonal in study sites and this correlates positively with rainfall and negatively with temperature. Duke et al. (1981) also found that the growth of the tropical mangrove trees *Diospyros* was related to rainfall, producing 0.272 mm² growth increment per millimetre rain. This positive correlation between rainfall and growth rate was reported for *Bruguiera* and *Rhizophora* species in Thailand (Jintana et al. 1985) and for the mangroves in Laguna de Terminos, Mexico (Day 1990).

The effect of rainfall and temperature on intertidal environment where mangroves grew may be related to two factors; water availability and soil water salinity. Between these two factors, soil water salinity is assigned as the major seasonal variable causing wood anatomical changes and hence growth rings (Robert et al. 2009). In addition, Robert et al. (2011) in their study of the mangrove forest of Gazi Bay at the Kenyan coast confirmed that climatic conditions that result in a range of soil water salinity experienced over the year were a prerequisite for the formation of growth rings. The low growth rate that was recorded between April 2015 and February 2016 corresponds with a dry season. The effect of the 2015/2016 El Niño-Southern Oscillation (ENSO) resulted in reduced rainfall in the region with only 450.3 mm rainfall in total for eight months from March to October 2015. The most severe drought condition lasted for four months from July to October 2015 with only 13 mm rainfall in total.

Although, the volume of rainfall returned to normal by November 2015 measured at 337 mm month⁻¹, the effect of the drought on low growth rate continued until February 2016. Drexler and Ewel (2001) studied the effect of the 1997-1998 ENSO related drought on hydrology and salinity in a Micronesian Wetland Complex. They found that mean salinity during low tide was approximately twice as high during the drought, and short-term climate perturbations such as ENSO can disrupt important coastal processes. Over repeated drought cycles, such perturbations have the potential to affect the structure and function of mangrove forests. The effect of drought on mangroves can be related to the decrease of carbon assimilation rate (Sobrado 1999), decrease of net photosynthetic rate and low water use efficiency (Sobrado 2006).

In conclusion, stem growth rates in mangrove trees growing at different habitats varied considerably, and the factor of soil water salinity seemed to be the major cause of this variation at high intertidal environment. The higher stem growth rate was measured on mangrove trees growing at low intertidal environment with low to medium soil water salinity and higher tidal inundation frequency. Soil water salinity and growth rates relationship may not be significant in the existence of micro-topography such as river levee and transgressed bedrock coast, and in the case at the middle intertidal environment where trees of *B. gymnorrhiza* and *R. apiculata* were dominant in the canopy. The stem growth rates were significantly different between the ten species observed with a relatively higher growth rate on *S. alba*, a medium growth rate on *R. apiculata*, *B. parviflora*, *B. gymnorrhiza*, *R. mucronata*, and a low growth rate on *R. stylosa*, *X. molucensis*, *A. marina*, *C. tagal*. Growth rates on trees with an original girth of more than 50 cm were higher on *B. gymnorrhiza*, *C. tagal* and *R. mucronata*, but these were higher on trees with an original girth of less than 50 cm on *R. apiculata* and *S. alba*. Growth rate patterns in observed mangroves were seasonal, and this pattern correlated positively to rainfall and negatively to temperature. The effect of the 2015-2016 El Niño on reduced growth rates was significant on mangroves in the study site.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported in part by Ausaid-ADS Program. It is a pleasure to thank Brama Djabar for site map preparation, Kelola's staffs, Im and Mubin for field assistance, Professor Eugene Moll, Associate Professor David Lamb, Jim Davie, Norm Duke for supervision of the early work. I also thank Kate Night for helpful edits and comments.

REFERENCES

- 275 Clough BF. 1979. Mangrove ecosystem in Australia: structure, function and management. Proceedings of the Australian National Mangrove Workshop.
 276 Australian Institute of Marine Science, Cape Ferguson, 18-20 April 1979.
- 277 Day RH. 1990. Growth rates of three species of mangroves bordering Laguna de Terminos, Campeche, Mexico. [Thesis]. The University of Texas,
 278 Texas.
- 279 Devoe NN, Cole TG. 1998. Growth and yield in mangrove forests of the Federal States of Micronesia. *Forest Ecol Manag* 103:33–48.
- 280 Ding Hou. 1958. Rhizophoraceae. *Flora Malesiana*, 1(5):429-93.
- 281 Djamaluddin R. 2004. The dynamics of mangrove forest in relation to die-back and human use in Bunaken National Park, North Sulawesi, Indonesia.
 282 [Dissertation]. University of Queensland, Brisbane.
- 283 Djamaluddin R. 2018. The mangrove flora and their physical habitat characteristics in Bunaken National Park, North Sulawesi, Indonesia. *Biodiversitas*
 284 19(4):1303-1312.
- 285 Drexler JZ, Ewel KC. 2001. Effect of the 1997-1998 ENSO-related drought on hydrology and salinity in a Micronesian Wetland Complex. *Estuaries*
 286 24(3):347-356.
- 287 Duke NC, Botto MC, Ellison JC. 1998. Factors influencing biodiversity and distributional gradients in mangroves. *Global. Ecol Biogeogr* 7:27-47.
- 288 Duke NC. 1992. Mangrove floristics and biogeography. In: Robertson AI, Alongi DM (eds). *Coastal and Estuarine Studies*. American Geophysical
 289 Union, Washington.
- 290 Duke NC, Birch WR, Williams WT. 1981. Growth rings and rainfall correlations in a mangrove tree of the genus *Diospyros* (Ebenaceae). *Aust J Bot*
 291 29:135-142.
- 292 Giesen W, Wulffraat S, Zieren M, Scholten L. 2006. Mangrove guide book for Southeast Asia. FAO and Wetlands International.
- 293 Gill AM. 1971. Endogenous control of growth ring development in *Avicennia*. *For Sci* 17:462-465.
- 294 Hamilton SE, Casey D. 2016. Creation of a high spatio-temporal resolution global database of continuous mangrove forest cover for the 21st century
 295 (CGMFC-21). *Global Ecol. Biogeogr* 25(6):729-738.
- 296 Hasegawa PM, Bressan RA, Zhu JK, Bohnert HJ. 2000. Plant cellular and molecular responses to high salinity. *Ann Rev Plant Phys* 51:463-499.
- 297 Jintana V, Komiya A, Moriya H, Ogino K. 1985. Forest ecological studies of mangrove ecosystem in Ranong, Southern Thailand - 4. Diameter
 298 growth measurement by dendrometry. In: *Studies on the mangrove ecosystem*. Nodai Research Institute (ed), Tokyo University of Agriculture,
 299 Japan.
- 300 Kairo JG. 2001. Ecology and restoration of mangrove systems in Kenya. Ph.D Thesis, Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Belgium.
- 301 Kathiresan K, Bingham BL. 2001. Biology of mangrove and mangrove ecosystem. *Adv Mar Biol* 40:81-251.
- 302 Krauss KW, Keeland BD, Allen JA, Ewell KC, Johnson DJ. 2006. Effects of season, rainfall, and hydrogeomorphic setting on mangrove tree growth in
 303 Micronesia. *Biotropica* 39(2):161-170.
- 304 Li N, Chen S, Zhou Z, Xy, Li C, Shao J, Wang R, Fritz E, Huttermann A, Polle A. 2008. Effect of NaCl on photosynthesis, salt accumulation and ion
 305 compartmentation in two mangrove species, *Kandelia candel* and *Bruguiera gymnorhiza*. *Aquat Bot* 88:303-310.
- 306 Maxwell GS. 2015. Gaps in mangrove science. *ISME/GLOMIS* 13(5):18-38.
- 307 Menezes M, Berger U, Worbes M. 2003. Annual growth rings and long-term growth patterns of mangrove trees from the Bragança peninsula, North
 308 Brazil. *Wetl Ecol Mang* 11:33-242.
- 309 Nazim K, Ahmed M, Shaikat SS, Khani MU, Ali QM. 2013. Age and growth rate estimation of grey mangrove *Avicennia Marina* (Forsk.) Vier from
 310 Pakistan. *Pakistan Journal Botany Pakistan J. Bot.* 45(2):535-542.
- 311 Noor T, Batool N, Mazhar R, Ilyas N. 2015. Effects of siltation, temperature and salinity on mangrove plants. *European Academic Research*
 312 II(11):14172-14179.
- 313 Noor YR, Khazali M, Suryadiputra INN. 2006. Mangrove introduction guide. Ditjen PPHKA-Wetland International, Bogor. [Indonesian]
- 314 Putz FE, Chan HT. 1986. Tree growth, dynamics, and productivity in a mature mangrove forest in Malaysia. *Forest Ecol Manag* 17:211-230.
- 315 Rajkaran A, Adams JB. 2008. The effect of sediment conditions on the growth rate of mangroves. *S Afr J Bot* 74(2):375-376.
- 316 Robert EMR, Schmitz N, Okello JA, Boeren I, Beechman H, Koedam N. 2011. Mangrove growth rings: fact or fiction. *Trees* 25:49-58.
- 317 Robert EMR, Schmitz N, Kirauni HA, Koedam N. 2009. Salinity fluctuations in the mangrove forest of Gazi Bay, Kenya: lessons to take for future
 318 research. *Nature and Fauna* 24:89-95.
- 319 Sobrado MA. 1999. Drought effects on photosynthesis of the mangrove, *Avicennia germinans*, under contrasting salinities. *Trees* 13(3):125-130.
- 320 Sobrado MA. 2006. Differential gas exchange responses to salinity and drought in the mangrove tree *Avicennia germinans* (Avicenniaceae). *International*
 321 *Journal Tropical Biology* 54(2):371-375.
- 322 Spalding MD, Blasco F, Field CD. 1997. *World Mangrove Atlas*. International Society for Mangrove Ecosystems, Okinawa.
- 323 Srikanth S, Lum SKY, and Chen Z. 2015. Mangrove root: adaptations and ecological importance. *Tress* 30(2):451-465.
- 324 Suarez N, Sobrado MA, Medina E. 1998. Salinity effects on the leaf water relations components and ion accumulation patterns in *Avicennia germinans*
 325 (L.) seedlings. *Oecologia* 114:299-304.
- 326 Tomlinson PB. 1986. *The botany of mangroves*. Cambridge University Press, New York.
- 327 Valiela I, Bowen JL, York YK. 2001. Mangrove forests: one of the world's threatened major tropical environments. *BioScience* 51(10):807-815.
- 328 Verheyden A, Kairo JT, Beeckman H, Koedam N. 2004. Growth rings, growth ring formation and age of mangrove *Rhizophora mucronata*. *Ann Bot*
 329 94:59-66.
- 330