

Reviewer's Information

| | |
|-----------------|---|
| E-Mail: | m_ekindi@yahoo.fr |
| Title: | Dr |
| First Name: | J-Hude |
| Last Name: | Moudingo |
| Affiliation: | The University Douala (Faculty of Science) |
| Country: | Cameroon |
| Specialization: | Forestry and Environmental Science, Mangrove ecology, Invasive species, |

Manuscript Information

| | |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Article Number | |
| Title | The practice of hydrological restoration to rehabilitate abandoned shrimp ponds in Bunaken National Park, Northern Sulawesi, Indonesia |
| Author(s): | |
| Date Sent To Reviewer | 21 septembre 2018 |
| Date Expected From Reviewer | October 14, 2018 |

Layout/Organization

| Criteria | Excellent | Good | Poor | Comments |
|---|-----------|------|------|---|
| Paper structure (organization into sections, subsections, appendix) | x | | | |
| Clearly stated purpose and objective (s) | x | | | |
| Transitions used | | x | | |
| Introduction & conclusion focus clearly on the main point | | x | | But mos of the pictures dimension should be increase to visible level |

Development & Support

| Criteria | Excellent | Good | Poor | Comments |
|--|-----------|------|------|----------|
| Major ideas/topics received enough attention and explanation | x | | | |
| Supporting material persuasive | | x | | |
| Adequate references and resource material | x | | | |

Style

| Criteria | Excellent | Good | Poor | Comments |
|---|-----------|------|------|----------|
| Topic and level of formality appropriate for audience | | x | | |
| Sentences and words varied | | x | | |

Grammar and Mechanics

| Criteria | Excellent | Good | Poor | Comments |
|-------------|-----------|------|------|----------|
| Grammar | | x | | |
| Punctuation | | x | | |
| Spelling | | x | | |

Recommendations

| | |
|--|---|
| Accept As It Is | |
| Requires Minor Corrections | x |
| Requires Moderate Revision | |
| Requires Major Revision | |
| Not Suitable for Further Processing (Please be clear and specific) | |

Additional Comments

1. This is a carefully done paper and the research questions are of considerable valuable.
2. In this paper, we could get a clear understanding of the authors' research questions, methods, results and conclusions.
3. Once the minor correction are done it is substance to be published.