

Physico-Chemical Characteristics and Fatty Acid Profiles of Smoked Skipjack Tuna (*Katsuwonus pelamis*)

by Christine Mamuaja 2

Submission date: 31-Jul-2018 01:47PM (UTC+0700)

Submission ID: 986515551

File name: uwonus_pelamis_from_Several_Producers_in_Bitung_Municipality.pdf (234.5K)

Word count: 3804

Character count: 18969

Physico-Chemical Characteristics and Fatty Acid Profiles of Smoked Skipjack Tuna (*Katsuwonus pelamis*) from Several Producers in Bitung Municipality, North Sulawesi, Indonesia

Netty Salindeho^{1*} and Christine F. Mamuja²

¹Fisheries Product Technology, Faculty of Fisheries and Marine Sciences, Sam Ratulangi University, Manado, North Sulawesi, Indonesia.

²Department of Agricultural Technology, Faculty of Agriculture, Sam Ratulangi University, Manado, North Sulawesi, Indonesia

Abstract: This study was aimed to analyze the physico-chemical characteristics and fatty acid profiles of the skipjack tuna smoked using smoking material of coconut skin under traditional smoking technique of three producers (A, B, and C), in Bitung Municipality, North Sulawesi. The skipjack tuna smoked produced by Producer A contained the lowest water content and the highest protein, fat, and ash content and no significant difference ($P < 0.05$) from those of Producer B and C. The fatty acid profile of the smoked skipjack of Producer A showed the lowest total saturated fatty acid (SFA) and the highest monounsaturated fatty acid (MUFA) and polyunsaturated fatty acid (PUFA) and it was significantly different ($P < 0.05$) from Producer B and Producer C.

Keywords : skipjack tuna, smoking, fatty acid profile, coconut skin.

Introduction

Fish smoking is one of the oldest fish processing technology traditionally done for many years. Smoking can be defined as volatile compound penetration process into the fish meat generated from wood burning which is known to be able to produce specific taste and aroma. Some phenolic compounds, formaldehyde, and other compounds absorbed in the flesh derived from smokes act as preservatives to prolong the storage duration of the end product and give peculiar good flavor with typical aroma produced by the smoking process³.

Skipjack tuna (*Katsuwonus pelamis*) is locally called "cakalang" is one of the most popular fish preserved through traditional smoking process in Bitung Municipality, North Sulawesi. Nevertheless, one of the problems faced in smoking process is no standard process implemented yet so that the quality of smoked fish produced can change. Different smoking temperature, different smoking duration, different number of smoking materials, different quality of smoking material, and different water content have caused different quality of smoked fish produced affecting the consumer's demand level²¹.

The chemical compounds of smoking wood are generally phenol as antioxidant, organic acids, alcohol, carbonyl, hydrocarbon, and nitrogen compounds, such as nitrooxide⁸, aldehyde, ketone, ester, and ether, attached on flesh surface and then penetrate into the fish flesh¹³.

According to^{2,3,6,21,24,32} fish shape, sampling location, and smoking method affect the smoked fish quality. Since information on the characteristic of smoked skipjack tuna in Bitung Municipality has not been available, this study was aimed at providing information on the physico-chemical characteristics and fatty acid profiles of smoked skipjack tuna produced by 3 producers in Bitung Municipality, North Sulawesi, using coconut skin as smoking material.

Materials and Method

Fresh skipjack tuna (*Katsuwonus pelamis*) samples were purchased from the local fish processors, and the coconut skin was collected from farmers in Bitung Municipality. The study used fish with mean weight of 2.5 kg/ind., cleansed, gill and intestine discarded, and the fish were divided by half and clipped within bamboo sticks. One-hundred and fifty individuals were taken and smoked using the coconut skin. The smoking process was carried out in a smoking room of 6 m long, 4 m wide, and 60 cm high. Each treatment needed 60 kg of smoking materials per cycle to have a smoked fish product. The smoking process was done for 180 minutes to have cooked fish and goldenish silver to goldenish yellow color. The smoked skipjacks were then characterized their physico-chemistry and fatty acid profile. The former, a_w , followed the method of¹², in which water content, protein, fat, and ash were determined using AOAC method⁵. The fatty acid profile was carried out using Chromatography (GC 210A SHIMADZU).

Sample preparation

Ten grams of sample were homogenized in 10 ml of concentrated HCL, heated in a water bath at 70°C until boiling about 30 minutes, cooled, extracted with 25 ml of diethyl ether, then vortex. Add with 25 ml of petroleum benzene (40-60°C), and then vortex. Separate the clear upper part, poured into a 100 ml flask, and¹⁴aporate in the water bath at 60°C by flowing nitrogen gas (N₂), then add \pm 3 ml of 0.5 N Sodium methanolic, heated in the water bath at 60°C for about 10 minutes, and after cooled, add 3 ml of 20% BF₃-CH₃OH solution. Reheat it in the water bath at 60°C for about 10 minutes and cooled. Methyl-ester formed was then extracted with 1 ml of n-Heptane (vortex) and added with 2 ml of saturated NaCl, so that 2 layers were formed. One- μ l of the upper layer was injected into GC at the running condition of 140°C-260°C, column length of 30m, RTX-5-semipolar column, He gas carrier, Flame Ionization Detector (FID).

Statistical Analysis

²¹ Data were analyzed using One-Way ANOVA to estimate the effect of physico-chemical composition and fatty acid profile of the smoked fish processed by Producer A, Producer¹³ and Producer C. The statistical analysis used version 20-SPSS Software (Chicago, IL, USA). The value was expressed as mean and standard deviation at $P < 0.05$.

Results and Discussion

Deskripsi rata-rata (mean) dan keragaman SD variabel pada ketiga perlakuan Produsen A, Produsen B dan Produsen C, shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Physico-chemical content of smoked skipjack tuna in 3 producers in Bitung Municipality, North Sulawesi Province.

Treatment	Chemical Parameters				
	a_w	Water Content (%)	Protein (%)	Fat (%)	Ash (%)
Producer A	0.94 \pm 0.006a	57.04 \pm 0.742a	37.76 \pm 1.484a	1.80 \pm 0.146a	2.33 \pm 0.315a
Producer B	0.96 \pm 0.007a	58.48 \pm 2.028a	35.96 \pm 0.026a	1.22 \pm 0.155a	2.20 \pm 0.195a
Producer C	0.95 \pm 0.003a	57.38 \pm 2.335a	37.44 \pm 3.457a	1.37 \pm 0.542a	2.11 \pm 0.116a

Note: similar alphabets in the same column indicate no difference between treatments ($P > 0.05$)

The physico-chemical composition data of the smoked skipjack show that a_w values of the producers range from 0.94 to 0.96. Statistical analysis found no difference in a_w values of the smoked product between treatments ($P > 0.05$). It could result from the use of same smoking material and relatively same smoking duration so that water content reduction of the flesh is relatively same as well. The present study found that the smoked fish a_w of the three producers was lower than previous report. ¹²Fuentes A et al reported that the average a_w value of the skipjack tuna smoked using beech in Spain ranged from 0.92 to 0.96

Water content analysis of the smoked skipjack of the studied producers ranged from 57.04 % - 58.48 %. Statistical analysis found no difference in water content of the smoked skipjacks between the producers ($P > 0.05$). The lowest water content occurred in producer A, 57.04% and the highest in producer B, 58.48%. It could result from relatively same smoking material and number of smoking materials used by the three

producers, so that the produced heat degree is relatively the same, in which distance of smoke source and length of smoking duration could result in low water content of the smoked fish. According^{11,22} longer the smoking duration and higher smoking room temperature could bring about low water content of the smoked fish. Heat from the burning causes drying process which could reduce the water content of fish tissue through smoking process¹⁷. Also stated that water content reduction from product evaporation is affected by air temperature and surrounding environmental humidity²⁹.

The loss of water content of the smoked fish could result from hot smoking process¹⁹, in which the water content of catfish (*Clarias gariepinus*) smoked for 4 hours at 100°C in Nigeria possessed mean water content between 10.86% and 26.50%, while ²⁸Swastawati F. reported that mean water content of milkfish (*Chanos chanos*) smoked for 3 hours at 40°C to 80°C was 68.11%. The present study also found lower water content of smoked skipjack tuna than previous reports. Toisuta B.R. et al found that the higher the difference between heating media and smoked material, the faster the heat moves into the product material causing water evaporation of the product be higher.

¹² The protein content of the smoked skipjacks from all producers ranged between 35.96% to 37.76%, and there was no significant difference in the product protein level between producers ($P>0.05$). Since protein level is often related with water content, the protein level is influenced by flesh water content, in which higher water content will result in lower protein level and vice versa¹⁷. The highest mean protein level was recorded in the smoked skipjack of producer A (37.76 %) and the lowest in producer B (35.96 %). Fuentes A et al reported that protein level of the smoked tuna in Spain ranged from 15.4% to 34.5%. the present study found higher smoked skipjack protein level than previously reported. Vasiliadou S et al stated that reduction in water content causes increased protein level.

Fat content of the smoked skipjack ranged from 1.22% to 1.80%. There is no significant difference in fat content of the smoked skipjack product ($P>0.05$). Nevertheless, producer A produced the highest fat content, 1.80 % and producer B produced the lowest fat content, 1.22%. It could result from relatively similar smoking duration among the producers. The closer the smoke source to the product, the longer the smoking duration, and the higher the room temperature, the higher the fat level of the fish will be reduced through oxidation. Ahmed E.O et al found that increased protein and fat levels could result from reduction of water content during the smoking process. Also found that fat level variation is affected by habitat, season, food source, activity and growth phase⁷.

Ash level analysis of the smoked skipjack tuna ranged from 2.11% to 2.33%. ¹⁷ there was no significant difference in ash level of the smoked fish between producers in Bitung Municipality ($P>0.05$). It could result from relatively same processing method, in which there was no salt addition producing low ash level. Ahmed E.O et al reported that increased protein, fat, and ash could result from reduction of water content, particularly ash level due to increased salt content during the smoking process. The ash level is nutritive value parameter of the product material generated from inorganic components of the fish flesh. According to Pokorny J et al²³ smoke contains compounds capable of preventing the¹⁶ oxidation of fat. ¹⁰Daramola J. A et al found that the ash level of Tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) was 9.14 %. Fatty acid profile of the smoked skipjack tuna is presented in Table 2.

²³ **Table 2. Fatty acid profile of the smoked skipjack in three producers in Bitung Municipality, North Sulawesi Province.**

Fatty Acids	Producer		
	Producer A (%)	Producer B (%)	Producer C (%)
¹⁰ pric acid (C10:0)	0.035±0.005a	0.057±0.006a	0.058±0.008 a
Lauric acid (C12:0)	0.110±0.064a	0.048±0.018 a	0.299±0.447 a
Miristic acid (C14:0)	2.882±0.24 a	2.232±0.171 a	1.363±0.169 a
Pentadecanoic acid (C15:0)	1.221±0.171b	2.471±0.311 c	1.949±0.011 a
Palmitic acid (C16:0)	31.229±0.106a	30.035±0.926 a	30.171±0.639a
Heptadecanoic acid (C17:0)	1.322±0.073a	1.628±0.070 a	2.525±0.065a
Stearic acid (C18:0)	12.147 ±0.180a	12.556±0.542ab	14.150±0.265b
Arachidic acid (C20:0)	2.131±0.107a	3.445±0.018 b	3.319±0.137ab
Total SFA	51.077±0.304a	52.472±0.235 b	54.723±0.060c

Palmitoleic acid (C16:1n-7)	3.668±0.421a	3.300±0.066 a	3.529±0.074a
Oleic acid (C18:1n-9)	8.103±0.365a	4.025±0.017 b	3.795±0.109b
Elaidic acid (C18:1)	0.305±0.122a	1.406±0.605a	1.595±0.387a
Cis Eicosenoic acid (C20:1)	2.099±0.058a	2.162±0.229 a	2.713±0.206b
Euric acid (C20:1)	24.988±0.423a	26.746±0.113 b	26.444±0.375b
Nervonic acid (C24:1)	0.311±0.229a	0.316±0.446 a	0.421±0.137a
Total MUFA	39.474±0.315a	37.973±0.405 b	38.497±0.309b
Linoleic acid (C18:2n-6)	0.541±0.041a	0.394±0.153 b	0.485±0.188ab
Linolenic acid (C18:3n-3)	0.605±0.771a	0.807±0.370 a	0.387±0.260a
Gamma Linolenic acid (C18:3n-6)	4.485±0.315a	3.505±0.283 a	3.428±0.069a
Eicosatricoic acid (C20:3)	0.039±0.003	0.876±0.132a	0.894±0.135a
Arachidonic acid (C20:4)	0.431±0.031a	0.105±0.155 a	0.282±0.268a
Eicopentanoic acid (EPA)	0.296±0.446a	0.156±0.869 a	0.677±0.384a
Docosadinoic acid (DHA)	0.126±0.098 a	0.376±0.148 b	0.212±0.037a
Total PUFA	6.523±0.544a	6.019±0.707 b	6.367±0.51a

Note: the same alphabets in the same row indicate no difference between treatments (P>0.05)

Table 2 reveals that the smoked fish of producer A, B and C contain total SFA (Saturated Fatty Acid) comprising capric acid (C10:0), lauric acid (C12:0), myristic acid (C14:0), pentadecanoic acid (C15:0), palmitic acid (C16:0), heptadecanoic acid (C17:0), stearic acid (C18:0), and arachidic acid (C20:0). The smoked skipjack tuna of producer A has the lowest total SFA of 51.077% and no difference (P>0.05) from those of producer B with total SFA of 52.472% and producer C with total SFA of 54.723%. It could result from similar smoking material used, relatively same smoking duration and distance of smoking source to smoked product which could influence the chemical characteristic of the smoked fish products^{16,25,28} reported that total SFA of several smoked marine fish ranged from 24.2% to 28.0%. This study found higher total SFA than the previous finding.

Total MUFA (Monounsaturated Fatty Acid), palmitoleic acid (C16:1n-7), oleic acid (C18:1n-9), cis eicosenoic acid (C20:1), euric acid (C20:1) and nervonic acid (C24:1) shows that the smoked skipjack of producer A has the highest total MUFA, 39.474% and it is significantly different from that of producer B, 37.973% (P<0.05), but not different from that of producer, 38.497% (P>0.05). It reflects that the smoked fish product of producer A has the highest total MUFA among the treatments. It could be brought about by the interaction of the coconut skin components that could increase the MUFA content. The present study also found higher total MUFA than that of previous report, in which Ilow B.R et al reported that total MUFA of several smoked marine fish ranged between 26.0% to 39.8%.

Total PUFA (Polyunsaturated Fatty Acid) consists of linoleic acid (C18:2n-6), linolenic acid (C18:3n-3), gamma linolenic acid (C18:3n-6), arachidonic acid (C20:4n-6), eicopentanoic acid (EPA) and docosadinoic acid (DHA). The present study found that the smoked skipjack product of producer A has the highest average total PUFA, 6.523%, but it is not different from those of producer B, 6.019% and producer C, 6.367% (P>0.05). Therefore, the smoked fish produced by the producer A has the best total PUFA over the other two producers. Ilow (2013) reported that total PUFA of the smoked marine fish ranged between 31.9% to 45.4%, while the present study found lower PUFA than previous study.

According Little S.O et al, the unsaturated fatty acid is not resistant to heat, and its stability will increase with saturation level. On the other hand, found that average omega-3 fatty acids, particularly eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) and docosahexanoic acid (DHA), are 1.67% and 2.50%, respectively²⁶.

Conclusion

This study concluded that the smoked skipjack tuna of Producer A had the lowest water content, a_w and fat level and the highest protein level. It had the lowest SFA and the highest MUFA and PUFA.

References

1. Abolagba O.J and Melle O.O. Chemical composition and keeping qualities of a scaly fish tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) smoked with two energy sources. African Journal of General Agriculture, 2008, 4(2): 113-117.
2. Adewoye S.O. and Omotosho J.S. Nutrient composition of some freshwater fishes in Nigeria. Bioscience Research Communication, 1997, 11(4): 333-336.
3. Ahmed E.O., Ali M.E., Kalid R.A., Taha, H.M. and Mahammed A.A. Investigating the quality changes of raw and hot smoked *Oreochromis niloticus* and *Clarias lazera*. Pakistan Journal of Nutrition, 2010, 9(5): 481-484.
4. Andrew A.E. Fish Processing Technology. University of Ilorin press, Nigeria, 2001, pp.7-8.
5. AOAC. Official Methods of Analysis (18th ed), Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Washington, DC. 2005.
6. Birkeland S. and Skara T. Cold smoking of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) fillets with smoke condensate-an alternative processing technology for the production of smoked salmon. Journal of Food Science, 2008, 73(6): 326-332.
7. Bligh E.G., Shaw S.J. and Woyewoda, A.D. Effects of Drying and Smoking On Lipids Of Fish. In: Fish Smoking and Drying, Burt, J.R (Ed). Elsevier Applied Science, London, 1998, pp.41-52.
8. Bower C.K., Hietala K.A., Oliveira A.C.M. and Wu T.H. Stabilizing oils from smoked pink salmon (*Oncorhynchus gorbuscha*). Journal of Food Science, 2009, 74(3): 248-257.
9. Cardinal M., Knockaert C., Torrissen O., Sigurgisladottir S., Morkore T., Thomassen M. And Vallet J.L. Relation of smoking parameter to the yield colour and sensory quality of smoked Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*). Food Research International, 2001, 34: 537-550.
10. Daramola J. A., Fasakin E.A. and Adeparusi E.O. Changes in Physicochemical and Sensory Characteristics of Smoked Dried Fish Species Stored at Ambient Temperature. African Journal of Food, Agriculture Nutrition and Development, 2007, 7(6): 1684-5358.
11. Daramola J. A., Kester C.T. and Allo O.O. Biochemical changes of hot smoked African catfish (*Clarias gariepinus*) Samples from sango and Ota Markets in Ogun State. The Pacific Journal of Science and Technology, 2013, 14(1): 380-386.
12. Fuentes A., Fernandez I.S., Barat J.M, and Serra J.A. Physicochemical characterization of some smoked and marinated fish product. Journal of Food Processing and Preservation, 2010, 34: 83-103.
13. Gomez-Guillen M.C., Gomez-Estaca J., Gimenez B., and Montero P. Alternative fish species for cold-smoking process. International Journal of Food Science & Technology, 2009, 44: 1525-1535.
14. Ghering C.K., Gigliotti J.C., Moritz J.S., Tou J.C. and Jaczynski J. Functional and nutritional characteristics proteins and lipids recovered by isoelectric processing of fish by-products and low-value fish a review. Food Chemistry, 2011, 124(2): 422-431.
15. Hayward P. and Mosse J.W. The dynamics and sustainability of Ambon's smoked tuna trade. Journal of Marine and Island Cultures, 2012, 1: 3-10.
16. Ilow B.R., Ilow R. Konikowska N., Kawicka A., Rozanska D. and Bochinska A. Fatty acid profile of the in Selected Smoked Marine Fish. National Institute of Public Health-National Institute of Hygiene, 2013, 64(4): 299-307.
17. Isamu K.T., Purnomo H. and Yuwono S.S. Physical, chemical and organoleptic characteristics of smoked skipjack tuna (*Katsuwonus pelamis*) produced in Kendari-South East Sulawesi. African Journal of Biotechnology, 2012, 11(91): 15819-15822.
18. Kostyra E. and Pikielna N.B. Volatiles composition and flavour profile identity of smoke flavourings. Food Quality and Preference, 2006, 17: 85-95.
19. Kumolu-Johnson C.A., Aladetohun N.F. and Endimele P.E. The effect of smoking on the nutritional qualities and shelf-life of *Clarias gariepinus* (Burchell 1822), African Journal of Biotechnology, 2010, 9(1): 73 – 76.
20. Little S.O., Armstrong S.G. and Bergan J.G. Factors affecting stability and nutritive value of fatty acid, *Culin. Prac.*, 2000, 2: 427-437.
21. Oduor-Odote P.M., Obiero M. and Odoli C. Organoleptic effect of using different plant materials on smoking of marine and freshwater catfish. African Journal of Food Agriculture Nutrition and Development, 2010, 10(6): 2658-2677.
22. Oyero J.O., Sadiku S.O.E. and Eyo A.A. The Effect of Various Smoking Methods on the Quality of Differently Salted *Oreochromis niloticus*. International Journal of Advanced Biological Research, 2012, 2(4): 717-723.

23. Pokorny J, Yanishlieva N. and Gordon M. Antioxidants in Food. Woodhead Publishing Limited. Abington Hall. Abington Cambridge, 2001.
24. Rora A.M.B., Monfort M.C. and Espe M. Effect of country origin on consumer preference of smoked Atlantic salmon in a French hypermarket. *Journal Aquatic Food Production Technology*, 2004, 13(1): 69-85.
25. Sinclair A.J., Oon K.S., Lim L.D and Mann N.J. The fatty acid content of canned, smoked and fresh in Australia, *Aust. J Nutr. Diet*, 1988, 55: 116-120.
26. Stephen M.L., Jeya S.R., Jeyasekaran G. and Sukumar D. Effect of different types of heat processing on chemical changes in tuna, *J. Food Sci. Tech.*, 2010, 47(2): 174-181.
27. Sudhakar M., Manivannan K. and Soundrapandian P. Nutritive Value of hard and soft shell crabs of *Portunus sanguinolentus* (herbst). *Journal of Animal and Veterinary Advances*, 2009, 1(2): 44-48.
28. Swastawati F. The Effect of smoking duration on the quality and DHA composition of milkfish (*Chanos chanos* F). *Journal of Coastal Development*, 2004, 7(3): 137-142.
29. Swastawati F., Agustini T.W., Darmanto Y.S. and Dewi E.N. Liquid smoke performance of lamtoro wood and corn cob, *J. Of Coastal Develop.*, 2007, 10(3): 189-196.
30. Tenyang N., Womeni H.M., Tiencheu B., Foka N.H.T., Mbiapo F.T., Villeneuve P. and Linder M. Lipid oxidation of catfish (*Arius maculatus*) after cooking and smoking by different methods applied in Cameroon. *Food and Nutrition Sciences*, 2013, 4: 176 – 187.
31. Toisuta B.R., Ibrahim B. and Herisuseno S. Characterization of fatty acid from By Product of Skipjacktuna (*Katsuwonus pelamis*). *Global Journal of Biology, Agriculture and Health Science*, 2014, 3(1): 278 – 282.
32. Vasiliadou S., Ambrosiadis I., Vareltzis K., Fletouris D. and Gavrilidou I. Effect of smoking on quality parameters of farmed gilthead sea bream (*Sparus aurata* L.) and sensory attributes of the smoked product. *European Food Research Technology*, 2005, 22(17): 232-236.

Physico-Chemical Characteristics and Fatty Acid Profiles of Smoked Skipjack Tuna (*Katsuwonus pelamis*)

ORIGINALITY REPORT

14%

SIMILARITY INDEX

13%

INTERNET SOURCES

9%

PUBLICATIONS

%

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1

journal.ipb.ac.id

Internet Source

2%

2

sphinxsai.com

Internet Source

2%

3

cdn.aphca.org

Internet Source

1%

4

mdpi.com

Internet Source

1%

5

m.scirp.org

Internet Source

1%

6

K. Duchén. "Fatty acid composition in colostrum and mature milk from non-atopic and atopic mothers during the first 6 months of lactation", *Acta Paediatrica*, 1998

Publication

1%

7

Erkan, N.. "The changes of fatty acid and amino acid compositions in sea bream (*Sparus aurata*) during irradiation process", *Radiation Physics*

1%

8	beilstein-journals.org Internet Source	<1 %
9	cjes.guilan.ac.ir Internet Source	<1 %
10	www.nesciences.com Internet Source	<1 %
11	Mary Adeyinka Adepoju, Bamidele Oluwarotimi Omitoyin, Emmanuel Kolawole Ajani, Kurukkan Asha. "Effect of Smoking Time and Temperature on the Proximate Composition and Quality of Milkfish Steaks", Journal of Aquatic Food Product Technology, 2018 Publication	<1 %
12	www.adsa.org Internet Source	<1 %
13	departamentos.cardiol.br Internet Source	<1 %
14	journals.plos.org Internet Source	<1 %
15	www.ajol.info Internet Source	<1 %
16	Hoffman, L.C.. "Meat quality characteristics of springbok (<i>Antidorcas marsupialis</i>). 3: Fatty acid	<1 %

composition as influenced by age, gender and production region", Meat Science, 200708

Publication

17

gala.gre.ac.uk

Internet Source

<1 %

18

Kamler E.. "Comparison of lipid content and fatty acid composition in muscle and liver of two notothenioid fishes from Admiralty Bay (Antarctica): an eco-physiological perspective", Polar Biology, 10/01/2001

Publication

<1 %

19

www.freepatentsonline.com

Internet Source

<1 %

20

jsaer.com

Internet Source

<1 %

21

Bligh, E. G., and W. J. Dyer. "A RAPID METHOD OF TOTAL LIPID EXTRACTION AND PURIFICATION", Canadian Journal of Biochemistry and Physiology, 1959.

Publication

<1 %

22

Kobajashi, T Isamu, Purnomo Hari, and S Yuwono Sudarminto. "Physical, chemical and organoleptic characteristics of smoked skipjack tuna (*Katsuwonus pelamis*) produced in Kendari-South East Sulawesi", AFRICAN JOURNAL OF BIOTECHNOLOGY, 2012.

Publication

<1 %

23

Shakila, R. J., B. E. Raj, and N. Felix. "Quality and safety of fish curry processed by sous vide cook chilled and hot filled technology process during refrigerated storage", Food Science and Technology International, 2012.

Publication

<1%

Exclude quotes On

Exclude matches Off

Exclude bibliography On