

LAMPIRAN BUKTI KORESPONDENSI KARYA ILMIAH

**A NEW MODIFIED FMEA MODEL FOR RANKING THE RISK OF MAINTENANCE WASTE  
CONSIDERING ROOT CAUSE AND HIERARCHY**

AGUNG SUTRISNO

10/12/22, 6:55 AM

Yahoo Mail - IJQET\_117177 Submission Acknowledgement

## IJQET\_117177 Submission Acknowledgement

Dari: Online Submissions (noreply@indersciencemail.com)

Kepada: a6un6sutrino@yahoo.com

Tanggal: Jumat, 10 Juli 2015 23.12 PDT

Dear Dr. agung sutrisno,

Thank you for submitting your article entitled "A New Modified FMEA Model for Ranking the Risk of Maintenance Waste Considering Hierarchy of Root Causes and Effects" (Submission code: IJQET-117177) for the International Journal of Quality Engineering and Technology (IJQET).

Your article has been processed to be refereed.

You can track the progress of your article by logging in at the following Web page:

URL: <http://www.inderscience.com/ospeers/login.php>

Username: agung\_s1976

Password: inderscience

How long will take to review your article?

Between three to six months. You should directly contact to the editor of the Journal if you haven't received any review report, recommendations or comments from the editor after four months of submission. If you do not receive a satisfactory reply from the journal editor, please contact [submissions@inderscience.com](mailto:submissions@inderscience.com)

Thank you for your interest in our journal.

Best regards,

pp. IJQET Editor  
Inderscience Publishers Ltd.  
[news submissions@inderscience.com](mailto:news submissions@inderscience.com)

Refereeing Process: Editor comments IJQET-117177

Dari: Inderscience Online (noreply@indersciencemail.com)

Kepada: a6un6sutrino@yahoo.com; indra.gunawan@federation.edu.au; hadi.khorshidi@monash.edu; bcho@clemson.edu

Tanggal: Kamis, 17 September 2015 15.08 PDT

Dear Author(s),

We have received the review reports for your paper "A New Modified FMEA Model for Ranking the Risk of Maintenance Waste Considering Hierarchy of Root Causes and Effects".

We require now that you implement in your submission the following recommendations made by the reviewers:

Reviewer A Comments:

Suggestions which would improve the quality of the paper but are not essential for publication:

The editor of IJQET assigned this paper to three reviewers who were familiar with the subject matter. We had a conference call last week. Please know that all of us really liked the paper. We all agreed that the paper was well written and that the contribution level of this research work to the subject and its body of knowledge was reasonably high. We had several comments, but I will just share one common comment with you because I thought other comments were somewhat trivial. The only comment we would like the author(s) to address in the revised paper is below.

If the author(s) can add one paragraph to highlight the proposed "modified FMEA" in more detailed fashion, the paper would look much stronger. In other words, please explain "why the proposed FMEA approach is more beneficial over the traditional approach."

Reviewer B Comments:

Suggestions which would improve the quality of the paper but are not essential for publication:

Please refer to the suggestions compiled by the lead reviewer. I recommend that the manuscript be accepted for publication after one round of minor revision.

Reviewer C Comments:

Suggestions which would improve the quality of the paper but are not essential for publication:

Reviewer#1 summarized our review comments. Please incorporate them into the revised paper. I thought this paper was well organized and working professions in the field of Lean Six Sigma or quality improvement will benefit from reading this paper. I enjoyed reading your paper.

NOTE: Please send an email to the editor to acknowledge the reception of this email notification. The editor needs to make sure that messages reach the authors and don't delay the review process.

-----  
Instructions

- 1) To help the reviewer(s) verify that you have made the required corrections, please append a summary of the modifications made at the beginning of your revised manuscript.
- 2) Append figures, images and tables at the end of your revised manuscript,
- 3) To upload your revised version, please:  
Login via <http://www.inderscience.com/ospeers/login.php>

Refereeing Process: Editor comments IJQET-117177

Dari: Inderscience Online (noreply@indersciencemail.com)

Kepada: a6un6sutrino@yahoo.com; indra.gunawan@federation.edu.au; hadi.khorshidi@monash.edu; bcho@clemson.edu

Tanggal: Kamis, 17 September 2015 15.08 PDT

Dear Author(s),

We have received the review reports for your paper "A New Modified FMEA Model for Ranking the Risk of Maintenance Waste Considering Hierarchy of Root Causes and Effects".

We require now that you implement in your submission the following recommendations made by the reviewers:

Reviewer A Comments:

Suggestions which would improve the quality of the paper but are not essential for publication:

The editor of IJQET assigned this paper to three reviewers who were familiar with the subject matter. We had a conference call last week. Please know that all of us really liked the paper. We all agreed that the paper was well written and that the contribution level of this research work to the subject and its body of knowledge was reasonably high. We had several comments, but I will just share one common comment with you because I thought other comments were somewhat trivial. The only comment we would like the author(s) to address in the revised paper is below.

If the author(s) can add one paragraph to highlight the proposed "modified FMEA" in more detailed fashion, the paper would look much stronger. In other words, please explain "why the proposed FMEA approach is more beneficial over the traditional approach."

Reviewer B Comments:

Suggestions which would improve the quality of the paper but are not essential for publication:

Please refer to the suggestions compiled by the lead reviewer. I recommend that the manuscript be accepted for publication after one round of minor revision.

Reviewer C Comments:

Suggestions which would improve the quality of the paper but are not essential for publication:

Reviewer#1 summarized our review comments. Please incorporate them into the revised paper. I thought this paper was well organized and working professions in the field of Lean Six Sigma or quality improvement will benefit from reading this paper. I enjoyed reading your paper.

NOTE: Please send an email to the editor to acknowledge the reception of this email notification. The editor needs to make sure that messages reach the authors and don't delay the review process.

Instructions

- 1) To help the reviewer(s) verify that you have made the required corrections, please append a summary of the modifications made at the beginning of your revised manuscript.
- 2) Append figures, images and tables at the end of your revised manuscript,
- 3) To upload your revised version, please:  
Login via <http://www.inderscience.com/ospeers/login.php>

Refereeing Process: Editor comments IJQET-117177

Dari: Inderscience Online (noreply@indersciencemail.com)

Kepada: a6un6sutrino@yahoo.com; indra.gunawan@federation.edu.au; hadi.khorshidi@monash.edu; bcho@clemson.edu

Tanggal: Kamis, 17 September 2015 15.08 PDT

Dear Author(s),

We have received the review reports for your paper "A New Modified FMEA Model for Ranking the Risk of Maintenance Waste Considering Hierarchy of Root Causes and Effects".

We require now that you implement in your submission the following recommendations made by the reviewers:

Reviewer A Comments:

Suggestions which would improve the quality of the paper but are not essential for publication:

The editor of IJQET assigned this paper to three reviewers who were familiar with the subject matter. We had a conference call last week. Please know that all of us really liked the paper. We all agreed that the paper was well written and that the contribution level of this research work to the subject and its body of knowledge was reasonably high. We had several comments, but I will just share one common comment with you because I thought other comments were somewhat trivial. The only comment we would like the author(s) to address in the revised paper is below.

If the author(s) can add one paragraph to highlight the proposed "modified FMEA" in more detailed fashion, the paper would look much stronger. In other words, please explain "why the proposed FMEA approach is more beneficial over the traditional approach."

Reviewer B Comments:

Suggestions which would improve the quality of the paper but are not essential for publication:

Please refer to the suggestions compiled by the lead reviewer. I recommend that the manuscript be accepted for publication after one round of minor revision.

Reviewer C Comments:

Suggestions which would improve the quality of the paper but are not essential for publication:

Reviewer#1 summarized our review comments. Please incorporate them into the revised paper. I thought this paper was well organized and working professions in the field of Lean Six Sigma or quality improvement will benefit from reading this paper. I enjoyed reading your paper.

NOTE: Please send an email to the editor to acknowledge the reception of this email notification. The editor needs to make sure that messages reach the authors and don't delay the review process.

-----  
Instructions

- 1) To help the reviewer(s) verify that you have made the required corrections, please append a summary of the modifications made at the beginning of your revised manuscript.
- 2) Append figures, images and tables at the end of your revised manuscript,
- 3) To upload your revised version, please:  
Login via <http://www.inderscience.com/ospeers/login.php>

10/13/22, 6:21 AM

Yahoo Mail - Refereeing Process: Author comments IJQET-117177

## Refereeing Process: Author comments IJQET-117177

---

Dari: Inderscience Online (noreply@indersciencemail.com)

Kepada: bcho@clermson.edu; a6un6sutrino@yahoo.com

Tanggal: Senin, 9 November 2015 06.26 PST

---

Dear Prof Byung Rae Cho,

Due to some sudden works in my office, I have to apologize for the delay in sending back the accepted manuscript with its related documents to be processed by editorial office of IJQTECH. I will do my best in sending it as soon as possible and it is hoped at the third week of November 2015. I am so sorry for this inconvenience and Thank You for the understanding.

Sincerely Yours,

Agung Sutrisno

Dr. agung sutrisno  
a6un6sutrino@yahoo.com  
for: Int. J. of Quality Engineering and Technology (IJQET)

10/13/22, 6:21 AM

Yahoo Mail - Refereeing Process: Author comments IJQET-117177

## Refereeing Process: Author comments IJQET-117177

---

Dari: Inderscience Online (noreply@indersciencemail.com)

Kepada: bcho@clermson.edu; a6un6sutrino@yahoo.com

Tanggal: Senin, 9 November 2015 06.26 PST

---

Dear Prof Byung Rae Cho,

Due to some sudden works in my office, I have to apologize for the delay in sending back the accepted manuscript with its related documents to be processed by editorial office of IJQTECH. I will do my best in sending it as soon as possible and it is hoped at the third week of November 2015. I am so sorry for this inconvenience and Thank You for the understanding.

Sincerely Yours,

Agung Sutrisno

Dr. agung sutrisno  
a6un6sutrino@yahoo.com  
for: Int. J. of Quality Engineering and Technology (IJQET)

10/12/22, 6:12 AM

Email UNSRAT - IJQET 05030X SUTRISNO proof of paper for confirmation

On behalf of Inderscience Publishers

Newsletter: <http://www.inderscience.com/info/highlights>

**From:** Agung Sutrisno [mailto:[agungsutrisno@unsrat.ac.id](mailto:agungsutrisno@unsrat.ac.id)]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, December 09, 2015 9:26 AM  
**To:** Inderscienceproofs  
**Subject:** Re: IJQET 05030X SUTRISNO proof of paper for first checking

Dear Publishing Editor,

Please find as attached all amendments and corrected version of our accepted paper as attached both in MS Word and Pdf (colored in BLUE).

Thank You very much for your kindness in accommodating the amendments

Sincerely Yours,

Agung Sutrisno

2015-11-26 18:21 GMT+08:00 Inderscienceproofs <[inderscienceproofs@athenaeservices.com](mailto:inderscienceproofs@athenaeservices.com)>:

**PROOF OF PAPER FOR CHECKING**

**Title: A new modified FMEA model for ranking the risk of maintenance waste considering hierarchy of root causes and effects**

Dear Author

I attach the proofs of your paper for inclusion in the International Journal of Quality Engineering and Technology to be published by Inderscience Publishers.

**Please check the paper and confirm acceptance or let me have any amendments/ changes within 2 weeks of the date of this e-mail.**

Where there is more than one author, please indicate who is the corresponding author if not already shown and kindly respond to any queries in the paper.

**Please ensure that you send ALL amendments with your reply as it is unlikely that any further changes will be possible. You will be sent a final revised version to approve after your amendments have been incorporated.**

**Detailed requirements for the Abstract and Keywords** can be found on the Inderscience website [www.inderscience.com](http://www.inderscience.com) under Notes for Authors

<https://mail.google.com/mail/u/0/?ik=65eba505d5&view=pt&search=all&permthid=thread-f%3A1521140638620110606&simpl=msg-f%3A15211406386...> 2/7



10/12/22, 6:12 AM

Email UNSRAT - IJQET 05030X SUTRISNO proof of paper for confirmation

On behalf of Inderscience Publishers

Newsletter: <http://www.inderscience.com/info/highlights>

**From:** Agung Sutrisno [mailto:[agungsutrisno@unsrat.ac.id](mailto:agungsutrisno@unsrat.ac.id)]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, December 09, 2015 9:26 AM  
**To:** Inderscienceproofs  
**Subject:** Re: IJQET 05030X SUTRISNO proof of paper for first checking

Dear Publishing Editor,

Please find as attached all amendments and corrected version of our accepted paper as attached both in MS Word and Pdf (colored in BLUE).

Thank You very much for your kindness in accommodating the amendments

Sincerely Yours,

Agung Sutrisno

2015-11-26 18:21 GMT+08:00 Inderscienceproofs <[inderscienceproofs@athenaeservices.com](mailto:inderscienceproofs@athenaeservices.com)>:

**PROOF OF PAPER FOR CHECKING**

**Title: A new modified FMEA model for ranking the risk of maintenance waste considering hierarchy of root causes and effects**

Dear Author

I attach the proofs of your paper for inclusion in the International Journal of Quality Engineering and Technology to be published by Inderscience Publishers.

**Please check the paper and confirm acceptance or let me have any amendments/ changes within 2 weeks of the date of this e-mail.**

Where there is more than one author, please indicate who is the corresponding author if not already shown and kindly respond to any queries in the paper.

**Please ensure that you send ALL amendments with your reply as it is unlikely that any further changes will be possible. You will be sent a final revised version to approve after your amendments have been incorporated.**

**Detailed requirements for the Abstract and Keywords** can be found on the Inderscience website [www.inderscience.com](http://www.inderscience.com) under Notes for Authors

<https://mail.google.com/mail/u/0/?ik=65eba505d5&view=pt&search=all&permthid=thread-f%3A1521140638620110606&siml=msg-f%3A15211406386...> 2/7