



# Bioactive component, antibacterial, and toxicity of mangrove *Bruguiera gymnorrhiza* fruit extract

<sup>1</sup>Helen J. Lohoo, <sup>2</sup>Siegfried Berhimpon, <sup>1</sup>Desy M. H. Mantiri,  
<sup>2</sup>Roike I. Montolalu

<sup>1</sup>Study Program of Marine Science, Faculty of Fisheries and Marine Science, Sam Ratulangi University Manado-95115, Indonesia; <sup>2</sup>Study Program of Fish Processing, Sam Ratulangi University, Manado-95115, Indonesia. Corresponding author: H. J. Lohoo, helen\_lohoo@unsrat.ac.id

**Abstract.** Mangrove plants in Indonesia are the most in the world, from either area quantity or number of species. Plant extract and natural material-derived compounds recently became popular because of their low side effects and strong resistance to various microorganisms. *Bruguiera gymnorrhiza* is known as a wide leaf-mangrove that is a potential source of bioactive compounds. This study aims to know the bioactive compounds, antibacterial activity, and toxicity of mangrove *B. gymnorrhiza* fruit extract. Extraction was carried out through maceration in 96% ethyl acetate solution. The bioactive components were tested through phytochemical screening. The antibacterial activity was measured using agar diffusion method (Kirby and Bauer method) using disc paper, while toxicity test used LC50. The bioactive components were qualitatively analyzed, antibacterial test used ANOVA, and toxicity test used probit analysis. Results showed that the extract of *B. gymnorrhiza* fruit contained flavonoid, tannin, saponin, steroid, triterpenoid, and phenolic. ANOVA indicated that the extract concentrations of 10%, 10%, 20%, 40%, 60%, and 80% inhibited the bacterial growth in the range of strong to very strong category. Ethyl acetate-extracted mangrove *B. gymnorrhiza* fruit had toxicity on brine shrimp larvae with LC50 of 271.6439 ppm.

**Key Words:** brine shrimp larvae, diffusion method, LC50, maceration, phytochemistry.

**Introduction.** Mangrove plants in Indonesia are the most in the world, either in number of areas ( $\pm 42,550$  sq.km) or number of species ( $\pm 45$  species) (Spalding et al. 2001). Mangrove forests of Indonesia reach 50% of total mangrove forests in Asia and nearly 25% of total world mangrove forests, about 3.7 million ha (Quriza 2010). Most of mangrove plants are useful as medicinal material. The extract and raw materials of the mangrove have been benefited by coastal communities as natural medicine (Purnobasuki et al. 2001). Previous studies have been conducted on some mangrove species such as *Sonneratia alba*, to examine its antibacterial activity to inhibit the growth of bacterium *Vibrio alginolyticus* (Karim et al. 2018) and leaf extract of *Agiceras corniculatum* as antibacterial against *Vibrio harveyi* and *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* (Triyanto et al. 2004).

Scientists' interest in plant extract and the compounds isolated from natural materials have recently become a trend because they have low side effects and strong resistance to various macroorganisms (Saad et al. 2013). The antimicrobe contained in mangrove plants is a very large source, but not maximally been utilized yet, and thus further exploration is needed since mangrove plants have very high therapeutic potential. Mohamad et al. (2017) stated that nature has provided source of medicinal agents since the last thousands years. During the last several decades, search for natural compounds has increased, especially from marine environment that is well-known as rich in biological and chemical diversity. The compounds isolated from marine organisms have proved their potential as medicine due to their extensive spectrum against a variety of diseases, without dangerous side effects (Bordbar et al. 2011).

*Bruguiera gymnorrhiza*, known as wide-leafed mangrove, is one of the most remarkable mangrove species and widely distributed in the Pacific region, living in the intertidal areas from the coastline of Southeast Asia to Ryukyu Island, Japan (Allen &

H home  
perhaps components?  
Reply

H home  
perhaps antibacterial activity?  
Reply

H home  
it has no meaning, needs to be rephrased  
Reply

H home  
this is kind of confusing. Do you refer to the fact that these compounds derived from plants have a strong influence on some resistant microorganisms?  
Reply

H home  
be more specific. Also improve the English  
Reply

H home

Duke 2006). *B. gymnorrhiza* is a potential source of bioactive compounds (Kunwar et al 2013; Anuluddin, et al 2019). Several previous studies were done on bioactive components extracted from stem, leaf, and root (Nurjanah et al 2015), but only few active component explorations in *B. gymnorrhiza* fruit are conducted. This study aims to find out the secondary metabolites potency of this species, the antibacterial ability, and the toxicity.

## Material and Method

**Materials.** The main research material was brownish-colored mangrove fruit of *B. gymnorrhiza*, collected in Tiwoho village, North Minahasa Regency, the Province of North Sulawesi (Figure 1).




Figure 1. Map of sampling location.

**Active compounds extraction.** Active compound extraction used maceration method in ethyl acetate solvent. Extraction, phytochemical screening, antibacterial activity, and toxicity test were accomplished in the Laboratory of Faculty of Fisheries and Marine Science, UNSRAT, Manado. Ripe mangrove *B. gymnorrhiza* was weighed as much as  $\pm 3$  kg, placed in polyethylene plastic bag, and wind-dried at room temperature up to having  $\pm 15\%$  water content (Prihanto et al 2018). The dry sample was then ground, macerated in ethyl acetate at the ratio of 1:3 for 72 hours, and filtered through Whatman 42 filter paper. The filtrate was then dried using a rotary vacuum evaporator at 50°C.

**Phytochemical screening.** The phytochemical content of *B. gymnorrhiza* fruit was analyzed following Richardson & Harborne (1985) and presented in table.

**Antibacterial activity test.** The antibacterial activity was examined by measuring the inhibition zone diameter using agar diffusion method (diffusi Kirby-Bauer) (Tjisia et al 2018). As much as 20  $\mu$ L of liquid isolate of bacteria *Escherichia coli*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* at a density of  $10^7$  CFU  $\text{mL}^{-1}$  was poured on Mueller Hinton Agar (MHA) media, then distributed with a spreader. A 6 mm disc paper that has been immersed for 15 min in *B. gymnorrhiza* fruit extract at the concentrations



H home ...  
you say several studies and then provide a single one (Nurjanah et al 2015)

Reply



H home ...  
rephrase the English and sustain this statement with reference(s)

Reply



H home ...  
you may add that the study is based on the mangrove species fruit

Reply



H home ...  
when did you perform the study?

Reply



H home ...  
may be ripe fruit?

Reply



H home ...  
this is not an English word

Reply



of 10%, 20%, 40%, 60%, and 80%, was then pasted on the MHA media. Positive control was set with a disc paper immersed in an antibiotic, namely chloramphenicol, while the negative control was prepared as neutral disc paper (dipped in distilled water). After incubated for 24 hours, the inhibition zone diameter formed around the disc paper was measured using a caliper. It is intended to know the potential of antibacterial activity in the mangrove *B. gymnorhiza* fruit extract against the bacteria *Escherichia coli*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* using agar diffusion method.

The effect of ethyl acetate-extracted mangrove *B. gymnorhiza* fruit on the inhibition zone diameter against the growth of *E. coli*, *S. aureus* and *P. aeruginosa* was examined using One-way ANOVA facilitated with Statistical Product Services Solution (SPSS 23) program at 95% significance level, then continued with Duncan test.

**Toxicity test.** The toxicity test of *B. gymnorhiza* fruit extract followed Brine Shrimp Lethality Test (BSLT) method of Meyer et al (1982).

**Artemia salina larvae preparation.** *A. salina* egg hatching was carried out by immersing 100 mg of eggs in sea water-containing tank under 25 watt-light. The eggs hatched to larvae after approximately 24 hours. When the larvae reached 48 hours old, they were ready to use for toxicity test (Widiyana et al 2019).

For toxicity test, 10 experimental tanks were prepared for 4 treatments and control, each with 2 replications. Each treatment concentration contained 10 shrimp larvae. Mortality was recorded during 24 hours with 6-hour intervals, i.e. at hour-6, hour-12, hour-18, and hour-24. Larval mortality was calculated as follows:

$$\text{Mortality} = \frac{\text{Number of dead larvae}}{\text{Number of test larvae}} \times 100$$

Standard criterion to determine the mortality of *A. salina* larvae is no movement shown by the larvae after several seconds. The toxicity data were analyzed using probit analysis (Finney 1952) with SPSS 23.0 for Windows and presented with table and figure to determine LC<sub>50</sub>.

## Results and Discussion

**Phytochemical screening.** Maceration method is used because it is easy and inexpensive. Longer maceration could yield more extract, since cell wall and membrane break as a result of pressure difference between inside and outside the cell, so that the secondary metabolites in the cytoplasm will dissolve in the ethyl acetate.

Phytochemical screening is aimed to know the presence of active compounds potential as antibacterial, such as phenol, flavonoid, tannin, saponin, alkaloid, and terpenoid (Ciptaniorum & Putri 2019). These active compounds can act as defense to the environment, disease, predation. Several secondary metabolites can be produced in different phases and paths of the metabolisms. In the present study, the phytochemical compounds of mangrove *B. gymnorhiza* fruit extract are given in Table 1.

Table 1. Chemical compounds in mangrove fruit *Bruaviera gymnorhiza* extract

Group	Outcome	Color change
Alkaloid (Dragendorff, wagner, meyer)	-	No color change
Flavonoid	+	Red
Tannin	+	Green
Saponin	+	Foam
Steroid	+	Blue
Triterpenoid	+	Orange
Phenolic	+	Brown-orange



home  
since only few readers will have access to this reference (Meyer et al 1982), briefly describe this method.

Reply



home  
???

Reply



home  
make it more clear

Reply



home  
what "-" and "+" mean? Add a short explanation below the table

According to Hanin & Pratiwi (2017) and Prihantini et al (2018), different composition and amount of chemical compounds can give different effect on the bioactivity. Dalimunthe & Rachmawan (2017) stated that basic composing component of the secondary metabolite was primary metabolite that played its role in photosynthesis and respiration. The plant part that has important role in photosynthesis is leaf, because leaf contains chloroplast functioning to capture the sunlight (Hanin & Pratiwi 2017). Green color in the filtrate indicates the presence of chlorophyll or tetrapyrrole group, brownish yellow color indicates the presence of flavonoid or O-heterocyclic group, reddish brown indicates the presence of phenolic compound, yellowish brown indicates the presence of flavonoid, and blackish brown indicates the presence of alkaloid. These findings are in agreement with Bandaranayake (2002) that these compounds are found in mangrove plant and have important toxic, pharmacological, and ecological effects. Several studies on mangrove plants found that they contained bioactive compounds, such as saponin, tannin, flavonoid, diterpenoid, and phenol as active antimicrobials (Prihanto et al 2018). The presence of bioactive components in plants is affected by many factors, such as habitat type, geography, climate, topology, and parts of plant, and plants of the same genus or family tend to contain similar chemical compounds, but in different quantities.¶

Mangrove plants are resistant to highly extreme environmental conditions. Phenolic compound is one produced by plant as response to environmental stress. The presence of phenolic compound in the sample is indicated by the formation of green, red, purple, blue or black color (Richardson & Harborne 1985; Tahir et al 2017). Phenol functions as protection from UV-B light and cell mortality to protect DNA from dimerization and damage (Dhurhanja & Novianto 2018). The presence of phenolic compounds functions to prevent damages or to maintain the survival ability (Purwaningsih et al 2013). The hydroxyl group of phenol is capable of scavenging the free radicals and able to dampen the radical feature of the reactive oxygen compounds, such as superoxide, peroxide radical, hydroxyl radicals, peroxide nitrite (Setianingrum, 2016). Phenolic compounds protect the plant from grazing, competition, and damages from excessive light exposure, and support reproduction as well (Purwaningsih et al 2013).¶ The component in this compound is known to have important role as preventive and treatment agents against several disease disorders, such as arteriosclerosis, brain dysfunction, diabetes, and cancer.¶

Flavonoid is an acidic phenolic compound that changes to typical color for each group with the presence of ammonia (Markham 1988). Therefore, if it is not mixed with other pigment, flavonoid can be detected with ammonia vapor. Flavon and flavanol give reddish yellow color. Anthocyanin yields red blue color, while flavanon gives orange or brown color. Red and crimson colors that appear at the sudden in alkaline condition could result from the presence of khalkon or auron (Robinson 1995).¶

Tannin functions as astringent, anti diarrhea, antibacterial, and antioxidant (Widi & Indriati 2007). This compound generally occurs in the plant stem, skin, and fruit. Tannin acts as fruit protection, and it will disappear when the fruit is mature (Pari 1990). Desmiaty et al (2008) stated that tannin is a very complex organic component consisting of phenolic substances that are difficult to separate and crystallize, precipitate protein from the solution and bind it. According to Priyanto (2012), tannin has complex biological roles from protein precipitating to metal chelating and can also function as an antioxidant.¶

Saponin is a glycoside, a mixture of simple carbohydrate and aglycone occurring in various plants. Saponin is separated based on hydrolysis to carbohydrate and sapogenin, in which sapogenin is divided into two groups, saponin steroid and saponin triterpenoid. Saponin has foam-like characteristic, so that when it is reacted with water and shaken, the foam will be formed and stays for long. It is soluble in the water, but insoluble in ether, has bitter taste, and causes sneezing and irritation of mucous membranes. Saponin is a toxin that can rupture the blood cell or cause hemolysis, and toxic to blood-blood animals (Suharto et al 2012).¶

Steroid is one of the important in pharmacy and widely used in treatment, such as antibacterial, antiinflammation, and painkiller (Firdayani et al 2015). Triterpenoid is a crystal colorless compound that often has high melting point (Harborne 1987) and is



H home ...  
to be put on the list as well

Reply



H home ...  
to be put on the list as well

Reply



H home ...  
to be put on the list as well

Reply



H home ...  
to be supported by reference(s)

Reply

H home ...  
may be flavone?

Reply

beneficial for treating several types of human diseases, including various types of cancer (Patolla & Rao 2012).

**Antibacterial activity test.** Table 2 shows the effect of treatment concentration on the inhibition zone diameter against the growth of *E. coli*, *S. aureus*, and *P. aeruginosa*. The inhibition one increases with treatment concentration, except that it declines against the growth of *S. aureus* at 80% extract concentration.

Table 2

Inhibition zone diameter of *B. gymnorhiza* fruit extract against bacteria *E. coli*, *S. aureus*, and *P. aeruginosa*

Extract concentrations (%)	Mean diameter of inhibition zone against bacterial growth (mm)					
	<i>E. coli</i>	<i>K (+)</i>	<i>S. aureus</i>	<i>K (+)</i>	<i>P. aeruginosa</i>	<i>K (+)</i>
10	12.8	12.8	24.1	16.5	16.5	24.1
20	20.4	20.4	22.0	21.1	21.7	20.3
40	20.8	20.8	22.3	21.7	21.7	23.9
60	22.9	22.5	23.1	22.1	22.1	22.7
80	23.6	26.0	33.6	19.0	19.0	20.7

All treatments yield nearly similar, despite lower, inhibition zone to that of positive control, chloramphenicol. According to Rusmi (2015), chloramphenicol is a wide-spectral antibiotic that is bacteriostatic and active against aerobic and anaerobic Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria. It is also a strong inhibitor in the synthesis of microbial protein since it can reversibly bind with 50s ribosomal subunit of the bacteria and inhibit peptide formation. The study results showed that the extract of *B. gymnorhiza* fruit could be used as antibacterial substance. The inhibition zone diameter against bacteria *E. coli* is larger with extract concentration. For *S. aureus*, the extract concentration of 20% yields large inhibition zone, but it tends to decline at higher extract concentrations. The effect of mangrove *B. gymnorhiza* fruit extract on *P. aeruginosa* growth tends to rise at the concentrations of 10%, 20%, 40%, then the inhibition zone declines at the concentration of 60% and rises again at the concentration of 80%.

Furthermore, ANOVA shows significantly different effect of *B. gymnorhiza* fruit extract on the test bacteria, in which all treatment concentrations gave inhibition activity against the bacterial growth. Duncan test indicates that only treatment concentrations of 20% and 40% yielded non-significant inhibition zone against *E. coli*, but different from the other treatments. The extract concentration of 10% gave significantly different inhibition zone from other treatments, and concentration of 60% has significantly different effect from that of 80% (Table 3).

Table 3

Inhibition zone of mangrove *B. gymnorhiza* fruit extract against *Escherichia coli* growth

Bacteria	Extract concentrations (%)				
	10	20	40	60	80
<i>E. coli</i>	12.8±0.000 <sup>a</sup>	20.4±0.000 <sup>b</sup>	20.8±0.000 <sup>b</sup>	22.7±0.282 <sup>c</sup>	24.8±1.697 <sup>d</sup>
<i>S. aureus</i>	16.5±0.000 <sup>a</sup>	22.0±0.000 <sup>b</sup>	21.7±0.000 <sup>b</sup>	22.2±0.282 <sup>b</sup>	19.0±1.697 <sup>c</sup>
<i>P. aeruginosa</i>	18.5±0.000 <sup>a</sup>	21.8±0.070 <sup>b</sup>	23.6±0.000 <sup>c</sup>	20.6±0.000 <sup>d</sup>	22.1±1.414 <sup>e</sup>

Note: the same alphabet indicates no significant difference at  $\alpha = 0.05$ .

The treatment concentration of 10% *B. gymnorhiza* fruit extract has significantly different effect on the inhibition zone against the growth of *S. aureus* from all other treatments. The extract concentrations of 20%, 40%, and 60% do not have significantly different inhibition zone against the growth of *S. aureus*, but different inhibition zone from that 80%. The inhibition zone against the growth of *P. aeruginosa* is significantly different among the treatment concentrations (Table 3).



home  
check the English

Reply



home  
what does mean?

Reply



home  
why do you have two values for each bacteria species?

Reply



home  
this sentence has no meaning and needs to be rephrased

Reply



home  
make it more clear

Reply



home  
if we look in to the table, we see higher values for 40% and 60%

Reply

→ The ability to inhibit the bacterial growth is influenced by several factors, such as extract concentration, type of antimicrobial, and active compounds contained, such as flavonoid, tannin, saponin, steroid, terpenoid, and phenol. The criteria of the antibacterial zone are as follows: the diameter of  $\leq 5$  mm is categorized as weak, 5-10 mm as moderate, 10-20 mm as strong, and  $> 20$  mm as very strong (Sari & Ferdian, 2017; Morales et al 2003). Therefore, the present study confirms that the antibacterial ability of mangrove *B. gymnorrhiza* fruit extract against *E. coli* and *P. aeruginosa* is strong at the concentration of 10%, and very strong at the concentration of 20%, 40%, 60% and 80%, while against *S. aureus*, the strong ability was recorded at the concentration of 10% and 80% and very strong at the concentration of 20%, 40%, and 60%. ¶

Toxicity test is one of the toxicological evaluations of herbal medicinal extracts done before clinical test (Sharwan, et al 2015). Lethal dose of 50% ( $LD_{50}$ ) is used to examine the acute toxicity (Cyrus et al 2008; Surya 2018). Mortality observations at 24 hours showed that mangrove *B. gymnorrhiza* fruit extract could be toxic to brine shrimp *A. salina* larvae at the lowest treatment concentration of 250 ppm, and higher extract concentration application caused higher mortality (Table 4). ¶

Table 4. ¶  
Toxicity test of *B. gymnorrhiza* fruit extract on *Artemia salina* at 24 hours ¶

Dose (ppm) ×	log ppm ×	Probit (Y) ×	Mortality ×	% Mortality ×
0 ×	0 ×	0 ×	0 ×	0 ×
250 ×	2.398 ×	4.01 ×	5 ×	16 ×
500 ×	2.699 ×	4.56 ×	10 ×	33 ×
750 ×	2.875 ×	4.90 ×	14 ×	46 ×
1000 ×	3.000 ×	8.09 ×	30 ×	100 ×

The toxicity effect of *B. gymnorrhiza* fruit extract on *A. salina* was obtained through probit analysis (Table 4). Lethal concentration ( $LC_{50}$ ) was estimated using regression line of  $Y = a + bx$ . Based on data of Table 6, a regression line of the extract concentration and larva mortality at 24 hours as  $Y = 2.0839x - 0.2609$  and  $R^2 = 0.8101$  (Figure 3). The correlation coefficient ( $R^2$ ) reveals that 81% of the extract concentration could explain the percent mortality of the brine shrimp *A. salina* larvae. ¶




Figure 3. Relationship between mangrove *B. gymnorrhiza* fruit extract and mortality of *Artemia salina* larvae. ¶



H home ...  
contained by who?  
Reply



H home ...  
??? may be antibacterial inhibition zone?  
Reply



H home ...  
LD or LC? Further in text you used LC instead of LD...  
Reply



H home ...  
be more specific  
Reply

H home ...  
to be put on the list as well  
Reply

H home ...  
make this more clear. Actually the mortality occurred in all the doses, from the lowest one (250 ppm) to the highest one (1000 ppm)  
Reply



LC<sub>50</sub> calculation was done using the probit value (Y) of 5 to represent 50% mortality, so that the equation becomes  $5 = 2.0839x - 0.2609$ , then x value was obtained, 2.526537. The antilog of x is 334.559 meaning that LC<sub>50</sub> of *B. gymnorhiza* fruit extract effect on *A. salina* is 334.559 ppm (Figure 3). This result is consistent with Meyer et al (1982) that the fruit extract of mangrove *B. gymnorhiza* possesses toxicity activity on the brine shrimp. ¶

¶ **Conclusions.** Ethyl acetate-extracted mangrove *B. gymnorhiza* fruit had secondary metabolite of flavonoid, tannin, saponin, steroid, triterpenoid, and phenol. The antibacterial activity of *B. gymnorhiza* fruit extract against bacteria *E. coli*, *S. aureus*, and *P. aeruginosa* is categorized from strong to very strong at the concentration applications of 10%, 20%, 40%, 60%, and 80%. This indication reconfirms the potentiality of mangrove extract as active compound. However, it could also be toxic to brine shrimp larvae at lower concentration with LC<sub>50</sub> of 334.559 ppm. ¶

## References ¶

- Allen J. A., Duke N. C., 2006 *Bruquieria gymnorhiza* (large-leaved mangrove), ver. 2.1. In: Species profiles for Pacific island agroforestry. Elevelitch C. R. (ed), Permanent Agriculture Resources (PAR), Hōlualoa, Hawaii, 15 pp. ¶
- Analuddin K., Sentiana A., Nasaruddin, Sahilu Y., Sharma S., 2019 Mangrove fruit bioprospecting: nutritional and antioxidant potential as a food source for coastal communities in the Raja Aopa Watumohai National Park, Southeast Sulawesi, Indonesia. International Journal of Fruit Science 19(4):423-436. ¶
- Bordbar S., Anwar F., Saari N., 2011 High-value components and bioactives from sea cucumbers for functional foods -- a review. Marine Drugs 9(10):1761-1805. ¶
- Cintaninrum I., Putri R. A., 2019 [Effect antimicrobial *Rhizophora apiculata* for inhibits growth of bacteria]. Jurnal Farmasetis 8(2):75-82. [in Indonesian] ¶
- Dalimunthe C. I., Bachmawan A., 2017 [The prospect of plant secondary metabolite as botanical pesticide against pathogens on rubber]. Warta Perkaratan 36(1):15-28. [in Indonesian] ¶
- Dhurchania C. E., Novianto A., 2018 [Total phenolic content examination and its effect on the antioxidant activity of various herbal medicine *Myrmecodia pendens*]. Jurnal Farmasi Dan Ilmu Kefarmasian Indonesia 5(2):62-68. [in Indonesian] ¶
- Finney D. J., 1952 Probit analysis. 2<sup>nd</sup> edition. Cambridge University Press, 318 pp. ¶
- Haini N. N. F., Pratiwi R., 2017 [Phenol, flavonoid, and antioxidant activity of fertile and sterile golden leather fern (*Acrostichum aureum* L.) leaf extract in mangrove area of Kulon Progo, Yogyakarta]. Journal of Tropical Biodiversity and Biotechnology 2(2): 51-56. [in Indonesian] ¶
- Karim Z., Sulistiawati R., Yusuf N., 2018 [Antibacterial activity of flavonoid extract of mangrove *Sonneratia alba* against *Vibrio alginolyticus*]. Jurnal Ilmiah Perikanan Dan Kelautan 6(2):55-60. [in Indonesian] ¶
- Kunwar R. M., Mahat L., Acharya R. P., Bussmann R. W., 2013 Medicinal plants, traditional medicine, markets and management in far-west Nepal. Journal of Ethnobiology and Ethnomedicine 9(1):24. ¶
- Markham K. R., 1988 [The techniques of flavonoid identification]. ITB Bandung, pp. 1-27, 38-51. [in Indonesian] ¶
- Meyer B. N., Ferrigni N. R., Putnam J. E., Jacobsen L. B., Nichols D. E., McLaughlin J. L., 1982 Brine shrimp: a convenient general bioassay for active plant constituents. Planta Medica 45(1):31-34. ¶
- Mohamad H., Rosmiati, Muhammad T. S. T., Andriani Y., Bakar K., Ismail N., Saidin J., Latip J., Musa N., Parenrengi A., 2017 Potential secondary metabolites from marine sponge *Aaptos aaptos* for atherosclerosis and vibriosis treatments. Natural Product Communications 12(8):1227-11230. ¶
- Morales G., Sierra P., Mancilla A., Paredes A., Loyola L. A., Gallardo O., Borquez J., 2003 Secondary metabolites from four medicinal plants from northern Chile: antimicrobial



H

home

this replace Nichols (2014), because Meyer et al (1982) and Nichols (1982) on the references list is actually the same article (Nichols et al was simply a wrong editing)

Reply



- activity and biotoxicity against *Artemia salina*. Journal of the Chilean Chemical Society 48(2):13-18. ¶
- Nurdiana, N., Jacob, A. M., Hidayat, T., Shylina, A., 2015. Bioactive compounds and antioxidant activity of linden stem bark (*Bruguiera gymnorhiza*). International Journal of Plant Science and Ecology 1(5):182-189. ¶
- Qorizal, O., 2010. [Change in mangrove forest cover in east coast of North from 1977 to 2006]. Jurnal Biologi Indonesia 6(2):163-172. [in Indonesian] ¶
- Patolla, J. M. R., Rao, C. V., 2012. Triterpenoids for cancer prevention and treatment: current status and future prospects. Current Pharmaceutical Biotechnology 13(1):147-155. ¶
- Prihantini, A. I., Krisnawati, Rahayu, A. A. D., Nugraheni, Y. M. M. A., Samawardana, G., 2018. [Phytochemical and antibacterial activity test of pranaiwa (*Euchresta horsfieldii* (Lesh.) Benn.) plant]. Jurnal Ilmu Kehutanan 12(12):223-233. [in Indonesian] ¶
- Prihanto, A. A., Firdaus, M., Nurdiani, R., 2011. [Phytochemical screening and methanol-extracted antibacterial of mangrove (*Excoecaria agallocha*) from Porong downstream]. Berkala Penelitian Hayati 17(1):69-72. [in Indonesian] ¶
- Prihanto, A. A., Timur, H. D. L., Jaziri, A. A., Nurdiani, R., Pradarameswari, K. A., 2018. [Isolation and identification of endophytic bacteria of gelatinase-producing mangrove *Sonneratia alba* from Sendang Biru, Malang]. Indonesian Journal of Halal 1(1):31-42. [in Indonesian] ¶
- Richardson, P. M., Harborne, J. B., 1985. Phytochemical methods. Chapman and Hall, London and New York, 288 pp. ¶
- Robinson, T., 1995. [The organic constituents of higher plants, their chemistry and interrelationship]. Ed. VI, Penerbit ITB, Bandung, pp.190-216. [in Indonesian] ¶
- Rusmini, H., 2015. [Analysis on chloramphenicol and seftriaxone utilization effectivity in children's typhoid fever treatment in dr. H. Abdul Moelok hospital, Lampung province 2012-2014]. Jurnal Ilmu Kedokteran Dan Kesehatan 2(4):534-536. [in Indonesian] ¶
- Saad S., Taher M., Susanti, D., Qaralleh, H., 2013. Antimicrobial activity of mangrove plant *Acrostichum speciosum*. Journal of Pure and Applied Microbiology 7:253-257. ¶
- Sari R., Ferdinan, A., 2017. [Antibacterial activity assay of the liquid soap from the extract of Aloe vera leaf peel]. Pharmaceutical Sciences and Research 4(3):111-120. [in Indonesian] ¶
- Sharma, G., Jain P., Pandey R., Shukla S. S., 2015. Toxicity profile of traditional herbal medicine. Journal of Ayurvedic and Herbal Medicine 1(3):81-90. ¶
- Siluh, Putu S. D., Nurdiana, N., Jacob, M. A., 2015. Chemical composition, bioactive components and antioxidant activities from mangrove root, bark and leaf. Jurnal Pengolahan Hasil Perikanan Indonesia, 18(2):205-219. ¶
- Spalding M. D., Ravilious, C., Green E. P., 2001. World atlas of coral reefs. Prepared at the UNEP World Conservation Monitoring Centre, University of California Press, Berkeley, USA, 424 pp. ¶
- Suharto, M. A. P., Edy, H. J., Dumanauw, J. M., 2012. [Isolation and identification of saponin compound from methanol extract of banana (*Musa paradisiaca* var. *sapientum* L.)]. E-Journal Pharmacoo 1(2):86-92. [in Indonesian] ¶
- Surya, A., 2018. [Toxicity of methanol-extracted brain (*Pithecellobium jiringa*) brain using brine shrimp lethality test method on shrimp (*Artemia salina*)]. Jurnal Bekavasa Sistem Industri 3(2):149-153. [in Indonesian] ¶
- Tahir, M., Muflihunna, A., Svafrianti, 2017. [Determination of total phenolic content of Patchoili (*Pogostemon cablin* Benth.) leaf using UV-VIS spectrophotometric method]. Jurnal Fitofarmaka Indonesia 4(1):215-218. [in Indonesian] ¶
- Trisia, A., Philyria, R., Toeman, A. N., 2018. [Antibacterial activity test of ethanol extract from kalanduyung leaf (*Guazuma ulmifolia* Lam.) on *Staphylococcus aureus* growth of diffusion method (Kirby-Bauer)]. Anterior Jurnal 17(2):136-143. [in Indonesian] ¶
- Triyanto, A., Wibowo E., Suryono, S., Santa, R. S., 2004. [Mangrove *Aegiceras coriiculatum* leaf extract as antibacterial of *Vibrio parva* and *Vibrio parahaemolyticus*]. Jurnal



home ...  
it is not quoted in text  
Reply



home ...  
it is not quoted in text  
Reply



~~Ilmu Kelautan~~: Indonesian Journal of Marine Sciences 9(4):186-189. [in Indonesian]

~~Widiya~~ S. R., ~~Bodhi~~ W., ~~Yudistira~~ A., 2019 [Phytochemical screening, antioxidant activity test, and toxicity of cherry (*Muntingia calabura* L.) leaf extract using 1.1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) method and brine shrimp lethality test (BSLT)]. ~~Pharmaco~~ 8(2):315-324. [in Indonesian]

Received: 25 July 2021. Accepted: 27 September 2021. Published online: xx October 2021.

Authors:

Helen J. ~~Lohoo~~, Study Program of Marine Science, Faculty of Fisheries and Marine Science, Sam ~~Ratulaogi~~ University Manado-95115, ~~Indonesia~~, e-mail: helen\_lohoo@unsrat.ac.id

Siegfried ~~Bechman~~, Study Program of Fish Processing, Sam ~~Ratulaogi~~ University, Manado-95115, ~~Indonesia~~, e-mail: sberhimpon@yahoo.com


~~Desy~~ M. H. ~~Mantiri~~, Study Program of Marine Science, Faculty of Fisheries and Marine Science, Sam ~~Ratulaogi~~ University Manado-95115, ~~Indonesia~~, e-mail: dmh\_mantiri@unsrat.ac.id

~~Roika~~ I. ~~Montolalu~~, Study Program of Fish Processing, Sam ~~Ratulaogi~~ University, Manado-95115, ~~Indonesia~~, e-mail: rmontolalu@yahoo.com

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, ~~distribution~~ and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

How to cite this article:

~~Lohoo~~ H. J., ~~Bechman~~ S., ~~Mantiri~~ D. M. H., ~~Montolalu~~ R. I., 2021 **Bioactive component, antibacterial, and toxicity of mangrove *Bougainvillea gymnochaiza* fruit extract**. ~~AAAL~~ ~~Biarlux~~ 14(5):xxxx-xxxx.



Bioflux (publishing house)  
54 Ceahlau Street,  
Cluj-Napoca 400488,  
Romania, European Union

**Certificate/Letter of acceptance**

This certificate shows that your manuscript entitled:

**Bioactive component, antibacterial, and toxicity of mangrove  
*Bruguiera gymnorrhiza* fruit extract**

Authors:

**Helen J. Lohoo, Siegfried Berhimpon, Desy M. H. Mantiri, Roike I. Montolalu**

was accepted for publication in the scientific/academic journal:  
Aquaculture, Aquarium, Conservation & Legislation –  
International Journal of the Bioflux Society.

Thank you for publishing with us!

Cordially yours,

Editor-in-chief:  
Claudiu Gavrioloaie, PhD



