

ArtikelRumania2023_Paraphrasing-1725008480483.docx

by manuru.id

Submission date: 02-Sep-2024 12:26AM (UTC-0700)

Submission ID: 2443034404

File name: ArtikelRumania2023_Paraphrasing-1725008480483.docx (33.63K)

Word count: 2928

Character count: 16193

The Feeding Effects of Uronic Acid Extraction from *Sargassum Crassifolium* on Unsaturated Fatty Acids and the Immunity of Lohman Chicken Eggs

V. G. Kereh^{*)}, I. M. Untu^{*)}, Ch. Pontoh^{*)}, T.F.D. Lumy^{*)}, N.J. Kumajas^{*)}, M. Rotinsulu^{*)}, G.J.V. Assa^{*)}

^{*)}Faculty of Animal Science, Sam Ratulangi University, Jln Kampus Bahu, Manado, 95115

veybekereh@unsrat.ac.id

Abstract

The current recommendation is to avoid the use of antibiotics, as this can result in the development of resistance among harmful microorganisms and the presence of residues in the product. This study sought to determine whether uronic acid, which was extracted from *Sargassum crassifolium* and added to drinking water, had an impact on the immune system of Lohman chicken eggs. Two groups of twenty-two laying hens were provided with different types of commercial feed, one containing antibiotics and the other antibiotic-free. Subsequently, the hens were randomly allocated to receive the brown seaweed content in the drinking water of each of the five treatments (A1 = 0.0% *S. crassifolium* (control); A2 = 2.5% *S. crassifolium*; A3 = 5.0% *S. crassifolium*; A4 = 7.5% *S. crassifolium*; and A5 = 10.0% *S. crassifolium*). The study employed a completely randomized design with three replications, two variables, five treatments, and six laying hens per replication. The outcomes revealed that while *Salmonella* sp. infection persisted, antibody titers and levels of unsaturated fatty acids exhibited variation between treatments. It can be concluded that *S. crassifolium* uronic acid has the potential to elevate Lohman chicken eggs' amounts of unsaturated fatty acids and boost their immunity.

Keywords: *Sargassum crassifolium*, uronic acid, Lohman chicken, immunity, fatty acid

1. Introduction

Sargassum crassifolium, or brown algae, are classified within the Phaeophyceae family. This seaweed exhibits several shapes, a predominate hue that is either brown or yellow and remains that way after the drying process, and a whole structure with real stems, leaves, also root systems (Yenusi et al., 2014). (Merdekawati & Susanto, 2009). A number of studies have demonstrated the antiviral and antibacterial properties of *S. crassifolium*, it contains important components including uronic acid, sucrose, and sulfate (Mandal et al., 2007). Furthermore, this seaweed is rich in polysaccharides and carotenoids. *S. crassifolium* contains polysaccharides that have been proven to possess anti-inflammatory, antiproliferative, anticancer, anticoagulant, antithrombotic, and antioxidant effects, in addition to facilitating digestion and reducing blood fat and cholesterol levels (Zhao et al., 2005). According to several previous studies, a variety of other antioxidants are also present in this seaweed, such as polysaccharides, carotenoids, minerals, proteins, amino acids, and dietary fiber (Burtin, 2007).

Seaweed has the potential to be converted into animal feed, as its uses have yet to be fully realized. An organic source of non-starch polysaccharides with high crude fiber is seaweed. (March et al., 2013; Anggadiredja et al., 1996). Its bioactive content enables laying hens to absorb nutrients more effectively, alter the bacteria in their cecum, and impact their digestive system.

Those who consume eggs as a food product must consider the quality of the eggs in question. Identifying the various unsaturated fatty acids that the body requires to combat ailments represents a

significant research priority. Typically, consumers demonstrate a preference for eggs of superior quality. Another crucial element is the provision of feed that is rich in nutrients, particularly those that can enhance the eggs' quality (Kereh et al., 2019). However, microorganisms that may prove harmful to cattle include viruses and bacteria that may be present in food, the air, or the feed itself. Bacteria, particularly those belonging to the Salmonella species group, have the potential to contaminate chickens and subsequently spread to customers throughout the incubation, development, and post-harvest phases (Gantois, 2009). These microorganisms not only pose a threat to animal welfare but also represent a potential hazard to the safety of meat or eggs intended for human consumption. Various strategies have been employed to address this challenge, including the use of pharmaceuticals, adherence to good hygiene practices, and immunization.

While this endeavor offers certain advantages, it also presents a number of drawbacks. For instance, a number of bacterial strains have demonstrated antibiotic resistance (Devegowda et al., 1997). The typical objective of antibiotics is to impede the proliferation of infections (Farhad and Farida, 2011; Tete et al., 2016). But recently antibiotic usage in feeding has been restricted as a result of the possibility of harmful microorganisms to develop heightened resistance (Abdulhasan, 2018; Santoso et al., 2018). To create safer, healthier, and more competitive meat and egg products (Mattjik and Sumertajaya, 2002; Rusli et al., 2015), it is necessary to develop alternatives made of natural ingredients that can replace antibiotics in feed formulation (Abaza, 2007; Winsisch et al., 2008; Abbas, 2013; Aqil, 2016; Mahfuz et al., 2017; Voemesse et al., 2018). *S. crassifolium*, which has a high concentration of uronic acid, which might be used in place of antibiotics. This research aims to investigate the effects of uronic acid-derived from *S. crassifolium* on the immune system of Lohman chicken eggs as a substitute for antibiotics. Although there does not seem a lot of data available on the usage of this plant as an extra for feeding, particularly as a potential feed additive, the investigation is warranted.

2. Materials and Methods

Research Material

In this investigation, brown seaweed (*S. crassifolium*) was utilized in conjunction with 120 Lohman strain hens that were 22 weeks old. Both commercial feed and feed lacking antibiotics were employed. The drinking water was treated with a brown seaweed extract (*S. crassifolium*) at percentages of 5.0%, 0%, 10%, 2.5%, and 7.5%. Table 1 presents the nutritional composition of the feed. The chickens were housed in 35 by 36 by 42 centimeter cages with battery-operated 16L/8D lighting system lights. The hens were provided with water and feed for a period of one week prior to the commencement of the trial in order to facilitate their adaptation to the experimental conditions. The course of treatment lasted for a period of 3 months.

Table 1. Nutrient content of feed

| | Nutrient composition |
|------------------------|----------------------|
| Dry mater (%) | 93.02 |
| Ash (%) | 10.77 |
| Crude protein (%) | 18.12 |
| Ether extract (%) | 5.63 |
| Crude fibre (%) | 6.16 |
| BETN (%) | 52.34 |
| Gross energy (ccal/kg) | 37.34 |
| Calcium (%) | 5.85 |
| Phosphor (%) | 0.71 |

Preparation of Seaweed extract

A combination of 90% ethanol and 100 grams of dried seaweed was prepared in a 5:1 ratio. The mixture was then swirled for three hours and subsequently allowed to rest at room temperature for a full day. Subsequently, the mixture was heated to 50 degrees Celsius, which facilitated the melting of the seaweed.

Feeding Trial

A total of 22 Lohman hens were randomized into two experimental groups at the age of eighteen weeks. The initial cohort was provided with commercial feed that included antibiotics, whereas the subsequent cohort was administered feed that was free of antibiotics. The five treatments, comprising 0%, 2.5%, 5.0%, 7.5%, and 10% brown seaweed in drinking water, were randomly allocated to each group. Water and food were available to the animals at all times, at 7 a.m. and 7 p.m. respectively.

Variables observed

This investigation examined several elements, such as the quantity of unsaturated fats in eggs, and the coagglutination tests' capacity to measure laying hens' resistance to Salmonella sp. and the ability to produce antibody titers through serological testing.

Trial Design and Data Analysis

A 5x2 factorial arrangement was employed to conduct a fully randomized design experiment with three replications. Six genuine laying chickens were used in each reproduction. First, the quantity of brown seaweed in the drinking water had to be determined. The control group, designated A1, was provided with no brown algae. The remaining groups, A2 through A5, were provided with the following levels of *S. crassifolium*: 2.5%, 5%, 7.5%, and 10%, respectively. The second component was the inclusion of feed contains antibiotics. B1 represented feed treated with antibiotics, while the feed without antibiotics represented by B2.

The data were evaluated utilize SPSS® 21.0 statistical software, which included orthogonal polynomial test, analysis of variance, and Duncan's multiple range test.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Results

The immune systems of Lohmann hens were affected by the use of uronic acid produced by brown algae in chicken's water rather of antibiotics, as shown by serology and coagglutination tests. Coagglutination tests were conducted on hens fed with *S. crassifolium* uronic acid with and without antibiotics in their diet. The results demonstrated that the chickens exhibited resistance to Salmonella sp. The outcomes showed that every hen administered uronic acid derived from brown algae was resistant to Salmonella sp. Antibody titers in chicken blood serum are quantified through serological testing. The statistical analysis revealed that the feed treated without antibiotics and containing 10% *S. crassifolium* (B2A5) at week 34 of the trial produced most elevated antibody titer in contrast to alternative methods. Conversely, at the outset of the trial (week 3), there was no notable distinction in uronic acid levels between the treatments ($P > 0.05$).

Table 2. Effect of uronic acid level on chicken antibody titer.

| Factor | 3 th week | | | 34 th week | | |
|---------|----------------------|------|---------|-----------------------|-------------------|---------|
| | B1 | B2 | average | B1 | B2 | Average |
| A1 | 2.33 | 0.33 | 1.33 | 2.33 ^a | 2.33 ^a | 2.33 |
| A2 | 2.67 | 2.00 | 2.33 | 3.67 ^b | 2.33 ^a | 3.00 |
| A3 | 3.00 | 1.67 | 2.33 | 2.67 ^a | 3.00 ^a | 2.84 |
| A4 | 2.67 | 2.67 | 2.67 | 3.33 ^a | 3.00 ^a | 3.17 |
| A5 | 3.00 | 3.33 | 3.17 | 3.00 ^a | 4.67 ^b | 3.84 |
| Average | 2.73 | 2.00 | | 3.00 | 3.07 | |

A2 contains 2.5% uronic acid, A3 contains 5% uronic acid, A4 contains 7.5% uronic acid, and A5 contains 10% uronic acid. A1 serves as the control with 0% uronic acid. Meanwhile, B1 refers to feed

with antibiotics, and B2 refers to feed without antibiotics. Statistically significant differences ($P < 0.05$) are shown by various superscripts in the same row.

Table 3 demonstrates the impact of providing brown seaweed extract to laying hens in their water on the levels of palmitic acid present in their eggs. The 10% concentration of *Sargassum crassifolium* generated considerably more unsaturated fatty acids than the 0% and 2.5% concentrations ($P < 0.01$). Besides, there was no noticeable change between the 7.5% and 10% concentrations ($P > 0.05$).

Table 3. Effect of uronic acid level on egg fatty acid.

| Factor | palmitic | | oleic | | linoleic | |
|---------|----------|-------|-------|-------|----------|-------|
| | B1 | B2 | B1 | B2 | B1 | B2 |
| A1 | 19.20 | 19.28 | 33.41 | 33.35 | 7.67 | 7.40 |
| A2 | 20.37 | 20.78 | 36.68 | 36.18 | 10.27 | 10.81 |
| A3 | 20.66 | 22.18 | 37.80 | 39.71 | 10.28 | 11.14 |
| A4 | 23.27 | 22.68 | 38.07 | 38.69 | 10.50 | 9.62 |
| A5 | 21.52 | 22.04 | 38.98 | 38.38 | 10.03 | 10.43 |
| Average | 21.00 | 21.39 | 36.99 | 37.26 | 9.75 | 9.88 |

A2 has 2.5% uronic acid, A3 has 5% uronic acid, A4 has 7.5% uronic acid, and A5 has 10% uronic acid. A1 is the control, having 0% uronic acid. Antibiotic-containing feed B1 and antibiotic-free feed B2 are available in the meanwhile. Statistically significant differences ($P < 0.05$) are shown by various superscripts in the same row.

3.2 Discussion

Without the use of antibiotics, feed intake per head per day (g/head/day) is often higher than that of animals taking antibiotics when drinking water is mixed with uronic acid that has been separated from *S. crassifolium*. This indicates that *S. crassifolium* uronic acid has the potential to enhance feed intake and accelerate the digestive process by increasing water intake. According to Zhao et al. (2005), *S. crassifolium* has the ability to accelerate the digestive process of feed. The study's findings indicate that uronic acid that has been separated from *S. crassifolium*, which is used to make alginate, is a key element in the Lohman hens' increased meal consumption. It has been demonstrated that the soluble fiber alginate has several advantageous impacts on the digestive tract. These include the reduction of blood glucose levels, the elimination of harmful microbial colonies, the absorption of toxins in the large intestine, alterations to the intestinal microbiota, and a reduction in toxicity in the intestinal lumen (Brownlee et al., 2005). This means there is a rise in feed intake and a quicker pace of digestive tract emptying.

Due to the nature of the fatty acid transfer mechanism, eggs typically have a low amount of unsaturated fatty acids. Egg yolk linoleic content will rise and oleic content will decrease when a supply of polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA) is provided. A comparable outcome is observed when *S. crassifolium*'s isolated uronic acid is combined with water for consumption.

The results demonstrated that *Salmonella* sp. protection was shown by all hens given *S. crassifolium* uronic acid. This outcome is likely attributable to the immunomodulatory effects of polysaccharides present in brown seaweed. These immunomodulators stimulate non-specific cellular and humoral defensive processes, as well as reinforcing the immune system's general defenses. Due to their inability to be broken down by saliva and hydrolyzed in the mouth, small intestine, and stomach, these non-starch polysaccharides remain intact when they enter the gut and operate as immunostimulants (Ale et al., 2011).

The investigation concluded that higher levels of uronic acid in laying hens often correlated with higher antibody titer values (see Table 1). This indicates that *S. crassifolium*'s uronic acid has the potential to enhance laying hens' antibody levels. Uronic acid that produced by *S. crassifolium* contributes to the development of antibodies that can stop the spread of viruses. As stated by Han and Marasco (2011), the immune response mediated by antibodies contributes significantly to the body's defense against viruses. Antibodies function by attaching themselves to viral proteins, which stops the virus from replicating and prevents the process from continuing.

4. Conclusion

As an alternative to antibiotics, From *S. crassifolium*, uronic acid is extracted and that was diluted and mixed with water, thereby increasing the concentration of unsaturated fatty acids and enhancing immune function in Lohman chicken eggs.

5. Reference

1. Abaza, I.M, 2007. Effects of using fenugreek, camomile and radish as feed additives on productive performance and digestibility coefficients of laying hens. *Poult. Sci.* 27:199-218.
2. Abbas, T.E., 2013. The use of *Moringa oleifera* in poultry diets. *Turk. J. Vet. Anim. Sci.*, 37: 492-496.
3. Abdulhasan, S.D., 2018. Effect of Digestrom® and Poultry Star® on the body performance and immunity status of broiler chickens. *Int. J. Poult. Sci.*, 17: 385-391.
4. Ale MT, Mikkelsen JD, Meyer AS. 2011. Important determinants for fucoidan bioactivity: A critical review of structure-function relation and extraction methods for fucose-containing sulfated polysaccharides from brown seaweeds. *Mar Drugs*. 9: 2106-2130.
5. Anggadiredja, Hasanudin, Sidiq A.S, Pratomo S, Rudyansyah A, 1996. Screening of marine algae from Warambadi Seachore Sumba Island of Indonesia for antibacterial activity. *Photomedicine.*, 1996(3): 1-37
6. Aqil, A.A., 2016. Effect of adding Dietary Fenugreek (*Trigonella foenum graecum* L.) powder on productive performance and egg quality of laying hens. *Int. J. Poult. Sci.* 15(7): 259-263.
7. Brownlee, I.A., A. Allen, J.P. Pearson, P.w. dettmar, M.E. Havler and M.R. Atherton. 2005. Alginate as a source of dietary fiber. *Critical review i Food Science and Nutrition.*, 45:497-510.
8. Burtin, P, 2003. Nutritional value of seaweeds. *Electron. J. Environ. Agric. Food Chem.*, 2: 498–503
9. Devegowda, G., B.I.R. Aravind and M.G. Morton, 1997. Immunosuppression in poultry caused by aflatoxin and its alleviation by *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (Yea Sacc, 1026) And Mannanoligosaccharides. *Proc. Alltech 11 Th Annual Asia Pacific Lecture Tour.*121-132.
10. Farhad, A. and R.A. Farida, 2011. Factor affecting quality and quantity of egg production in laying hens ; A review. *World Appl. Sci. J.*, 12: 372-384.
11. Gantois, I., R. Ducatelle, F. Pasmans, F. Haesebrouck, R. Gast, T.J. Humphrey and F.V. Immerseel, 2009. Mechanisms of egg contamination by *Salmonella enteritidis*. *Federation of European Microbiological Societies.*Belgium : Blackwell publishing.
12. Han T, Marasco WA. 2011. Structural basis of influenza virus neutralization. *Ann NY Acad Sci.* 1217:178-90.
13. Mahfuz, S.U., M.J. Nahar, C. Mo, Z. Ganfu, L. Zhongjun and S. Hui, 2017. Inclusion of probiotic on chicken performance and immunity: A review. *Int. J. Poult. Sci.*, 16: 328-335.
14. Mandal, P., C.G. Mateu, K. Chattopadhyay, C.A. Pujol, E.B. Damonte and B. Ray, 2007. Structural features and antiviral activity of sulphated fucans from the brown seaweed *Cystoseira indica*. *Antiviral Chemistry & Chemotherapy.*, 18: 153-162.

15. March, W., N. Hamid, T. Liu, J. Lu and W.L. White, 2013. Fucoidan from New Zealand *Undaria Pinnatifida*: monthly variations and determination of antioxidant activities. *Carbohydr Polym.*, 95: 606-614.
16. Mattjik, A.A dan M. Sumertajaya. 2002. Perancangan Percobaan dengan Aplikasi SAS dan Minitab. Ed ke-2. Bogor : IPB Press.
17. Merdekawati W dan A.B. Susanto, 2009. Kandungan dan komposisi pigmen rumput laut serta potensinya untuk kesehatan. *Squalen.*, 4(2): 41-47.
18. Rusli, R.K., K.G. Wiryawan, T. Toharmat, Jakaria and R. Mutia, 2015. Supplementation of mangosteen pericarp meal and vitamin e on egg quality and blood profile of laying hens. *Media Peternakan.*, 38(3):198-203
19. Santoso, U., Y. Fenita and Kususiyah, 2018. The effect of fermented *Sauropus androgynus* plus bay leaf inclusion on the hematologic and lipid profiles of female broiler chicken. *International Journal of Poultry Science.*, 17(9):1-8
20. Tete, A., M. Gbeassor, E. Decuypere and K. Tona, 2016. Effects of *Moringa oleifera* leaf on laying rate, egg quality and blood parameters. *Int. J. Poult. Sci.*, 15(7): 277-282.
21. Voemesse, K., A. Tete, D. Nideou, O. N'nanlé, M. Gbeassor, E. Decuypere and K. Tona, 2018. Effect of *Moringa oleifera* leaf meal on growth performance and blood parameters of egg type chicken during juvenile growth. *Int. J. Poult. Sci.*, 17: 154-159.
22. Winsisch, W., K. Shedle, C. Plitzner and A. Kroismayr, 2008. Use of pyrogenic product as feed additives for swine and poultry. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 86: 140-148.
23. Yenusi, T.N.B., A. Sabdono dan I. Widowati, 2014. Studi komposisi dan potensi antioksidan dari pigmen rumput laut *Turbinaria conoides* yang berasal dari perairan pantai Hamadi Jayapura Papua. Seminar Nasional Kimia dan Pendidikan Kimia VI : "Pemantapan Riset Kimia dan Asesmen dalam pembelajaran Berbasis pendekatan Saintifik" Surakarta, 21 Juni 2014. P.316-325.
24. Zhao, X., C.H. Xue, Y.P. Cai, D.F. Wang and Y. Fang, 2005. The study of antioxidant activities of fucoidan from *Laminaria japonica*. *High Technology Letters.*, 11: 91-94

ORIGINALITY REPORT

17%

SIMILARITY INDEX

16%

INTERNET SOURCES

11%

PUBLICATIONS

0%

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

| | | |
|---|---|----|
| 1 | animalsciencejournal.usamv.ro Internet Source | 6% |
| 2 | ojs.uho.ac.id Internet Source | 3% |
| 3 | download.atlantis-press.com Internet Source | 3% |
| 4 | www.agricultureforlife.usamv.ro Internet Source | 1% |
| 5 | V G Kereh, I M Untu, M Naj Joan, T F D Lumi, M M Telleng. "Extraction of uronic acid from Sargassum crassifolium and its feeding effects on the immunity of Lohman chicken eggs", IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 2021 Publication | 1% |
| 6 | www.ajol.info Internet Source | 1% |
| 7 | MM Telleng, SD Anis, CIJ Sumolang, WB Kaunang, S Dalie. " The Effect of Planting Space on Nutrient Composition of in Coconut | 1% |

Plantation ", IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 2020

Publication

8

www.mdpi.com

Internet Source

1 %

9

Osama Mohawesh, Husam Al-Hamaiedeh, Ammar Albalasmeh, Samer Qaraleh, Maisaa Haddadin. "Effect of Olive Mill Wastewater (OMW) Application on Soil Properties and Wheat Growth Performance Under Rain-Fed Conditions", Water, Air, & Soil Pollution, 2019

Publication

<1 %

Exclude quotes Off

Exclude matches Off

Exclude bibliography On



Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.



Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.



Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.



Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.



Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.



Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.



Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.



Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.



Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.



Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.



Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.



Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.



Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.



Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.



Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.



Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.



Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.



Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.



Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.



Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.



Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.



Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.



Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.



Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.



Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.



Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.



Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.



Article Error You may need to use an article before this word.



Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.



Hyph. Review the rules for using punctuation marks.



P/V You have used the passive voice in this sentence. You may want to revise it using the active voice.



S/V This subject and verb may not agree. Proofread the sentence to make sure the subject agrees with the verb.



Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.



Proper Nouns You may need to use a capital letter for this proper noun.



Proper Nouns You may need to use a capital letter for this proper noun.



Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.



Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.



Verb This verb may be incorrect. Proofread the sentence to make sure you have used the correct form of the verb.



Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.



Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.



P/V You have used the passive voice in this sentence. You may want to revise it using the active voice.



Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.



Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.



Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.



Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.



Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.



Article Error You may need to use an article before this word.



Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.



Article Error You may need to use an article before this word. Consider using the article **the**.



Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.



Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.



Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.



Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.



Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.



Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.



P/V You have used the passive voice in this sentence. You may want to revise it using the active voice.



Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.



Article Error You may need to remove this article.



Article Error You may need to remove this article.



Article Error You may need to use an article before this word. Consider using the article **the**.



Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.



Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.



Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.



Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.



Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.



Article Error You may need to remove this article.



Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.



P/V You have used the passive voice in this sentence. You may want to revise it using the active voice.



Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.



Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.



Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.



Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.



Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.



Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.



Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.



Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.



Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.



Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.



Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.



Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.



Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.



P/V You have used the passive voice in this sentence. You may want to revise it using the active voice.



Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.



Missing ", " Review the rules for using punctuation marks.



Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.



Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.



Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.



Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.



Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.



Sp. This word is misspelled. Use a dictionary or spellchecker when you proofread your work.